



Agenda Items The following items are presented for informational, consent, and discussion purposes.

Item No.	Discussion Items
1	No Items
Consent Items	
2	No Items
Informational Items	
3	HomeStrong USA Housing for Heroes– Jed Davis & Pete Serbantes (30 min.) 9:50 – 10:20 am
4	Street to Home Campaign -Joe Colletti, Ph.D- Urban Initiatives (30 min.) 10:20 – 10:50 am
Closing 10:50 – 11:00 am	

Public Comment Open to the public for comments limited to three minutes

Council Roundtable Open to comments by the Council

Next ICH Meeting The next Interagency Council on Homelessness meeting is scheduled for:

March 27, 2013
9:00 am – 11:00 am
DBH – Training Institute
1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Suite 200
San Bernardino, CA 92408

Mission Statement

The mission of the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership is to provide a system of care that is inclusive, well planned, coordinated and evaluated and is accessible to all who are homeless and those at-risk of becoming homeless.

THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS MEETING FACILITY IS ACCESSIBLE TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. IF ASSISTIVE LISTENING DEVICES OR OTHER AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES ARE NEEDED IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC MEETING, REQUESTS SHOULD BE MADE THROUGH THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING. THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (909) 252-4001 AND THE OFFICE IS LOCATED AT 1950 S. SUNWEST LN., STE 200, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92408. <http://www.sbcounty.gov/SBCHP/>

Minutes for San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership Interagency Council on Homelessness

January 23, 2013
9:00 am – 11:00 am
Department of Behavioral Health-Training Institute
1950 South Sunwest Lane, Suite 200
San Bernardino, CA 92415

Minutes Recorded and Transcribed by Marleana Roman, Secretary I, Office of Homeless Services

TOPIC	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Call to Order	Gary Madden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The meeting was called to order at 9:04 am.
Introductions	Gary Madden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Introductions were made by all ICH Members. Guests were also invited to introduce themselves.
Presentation of Minutes	Gary Madden	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Minutes for November were accepted as presented.
REPORTS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Homeless Provider Network	Sharon Green	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The last HPN Meeting took place on Wednesday, January 16, 2013. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agency spotlight featured: Time for Change Foundation- Homes of Hope by John Kunkel. We celebrate Kim Carter for her commitment to making difference in the lives that she serves. ▪ Debbie Kamrani and Linda Mawby from Community Development and Housing provided us with an excellent presentation on homeowner protection and foreclosure prevention, affordable housing, the CDBG Program and the Emergency Solutions Grant. ▪ Michele Bletcher provided an update on the Point-In-Time Count which will be conducted tomorrow. ▪ A committee update was provided from Outreach and Engagement, which discussed the importance of education and participation.
Office of Homeless Services	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The ICH members were provided with the OHS Report as a hand out with attachments. (Copies are available upon request). All information can also be found at www.sbcounty.gov/SBCHP. ▪ On November 29th and 30th, the State facilitated SOAR training in San Bernardino County to educate local service providers on the SSI/SSDI application process. The training is designed to assist local service providers connect eligible applicants with much needed SSI/SSDI services. Several agencies attended the training including: Public Health, Behavioral Health, Transitional Assistance Department, Inland Empire Health Plan, Loma Linda University, Human Services Program Development Division, Social Security Administration, and Desert Manna. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two of our County staff has been selected to receive free training in Orlando, Florida to be certified trainers. The two staff selected are Michele Bletcher of OHS and Brenda Bovee of PDD.

Office of Homeless Services <i>cont.</i>	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The Sheltered Point-In-Time Count will be conducted on the late evening of the 23rd through the morning of the 24th. The Unsheltered Point-In-Time Count will be conducted tomorrow morning from 6:00 am to 10:00 am. Volunteers will arrive at the facilities by 5:00 am for check-in. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is still time to participate tomorrow. If you would like to sign up, please contact Michele Bletcher as soon as possible at (909) 382-7007 or go to the website at: http://sanbernardinocountyhomelesscounts.com ▪ The Office of Homeless Services released a memo on January 15, 2013, clarifying the current funding levels projected by HUD, and the necessity to approach Congress, potentially for additional funding to cover the finances needed to approve Tier 2 projects. ▪ The CoC Collaborative Application was submitted to HUD by the Office of Homeless Services on January 16, 2013, two days ahead of the deadline. In total there were 19 renewals submitted, 3 new projects, 1 permanent housing bonus project, and 1 request for CoC Planning funds. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The total potential award for San Bernardino County is \$9,547,420. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Renewals: \$4,329,018 ○ New Projects: \$4,254,055 ○ Permanent Housing Bonus: \$856,830 ○ CoC Planning Funds: \$107,517 ▪ The U.S. Conference of Mayors released its annual Hunger and Homelessness report that points out a concerning dilemma for families and individuals experiencing hunger and homelessness: an increase in demand for services, but no increase in services provided (see report at http://usmayors.org/pressreleases/uploads/2012/1219-report-HH.pdf). ▪ The deadline for submitting applications to the VA's Supportive Services for Veteran Families program is February 1, 2013. This year, \$300 million is available for nonprofit organizations to implement the SSVF program, an increase of \$200 million from the previous year's available funds. ▪ The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has released resources for homeless children or children at-risk of homelessness through their website: http://www.acf.hhs.gov/programs/ecd/expanding-early-care-and-education-for-homeless-children. ▪ The Project Connect Planning Committee will be meeting to start the preparation for the next Project Connect. The next area of focus will be the High Desert communities. ▪ The last Reentry Collaborative Meeting was held on January 4, 2013, at the Workforce Development Department meeting room on Third and D Street. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kim Carter and Rowena Concepcion for Time for Change shared with the group their new project, "Positive Futures" that will provide reentry services for eligible women that are within 90 days of release. • Time for Change Foundation was awarded \$1.2 million from the United States Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration to administer this project in our area for 3 years. • The Office of Homeless Services is encouraging the Homeless Provider Network Chairs to also participate in the reentry workgroups that cover and are addressing similar areas of need.
--	---------------	--

Special Presentation Acknowledgment of Appreciation to Past Chair, Josie Gonzales, Fifth District Supervisor	Gary Madden Josie Gonzales	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Mr. Madden provided a presentation giving ICH members some statistics of San Bernardino County. (Copies are available upon request). All information can also be found at www.sbcounty.gov/SBCHP. ▪ Mr. Madden acknowledged Past Chair, Josie Gonzales, Fifth District Supervisor for her continued hard work and dedication with the Interagency Council on Homelessness and with the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership. Mr. Madden presented Supervisor Gonzales with a plaque to show our appreciation. ▪ Supervisor Gonzales thanked the ICH members and emphasized how important each member is to the Interagency Council on Homelessness.
Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) update	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) agreement with Housing and Urban Development (HUD) will transition from Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino (CAPSB) to the County of San Bernardino Department of Behavioral Health (DBH) Office of Homeless Services (OHS) effective March 1, 2013. ▪ Action: Patricia Nickols has requested one last meeting with Tom Hernandez and Christy Hamilton prior to transition.
CONSENT ITEMS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
No Items		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ There were no consent items on the agenda.
INFORMATIONAL ITEMS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Presentation on the Continuum of Care program and Homelessness in the City of Ontario	Brent Schultz, Julie Bjork	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Brent Schultz and Julie Bjork provided an excellent presentation on the Continuum of Care Program, what were the initial goals, accomplishments and future goals for the City of Ontario. (Copies of handouts are available upon request).
PUBLIC COMMENT	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
	Regina Bell-Weatherspoon	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regina Bell-Weatherspoon, representing Robert Lovingood, First District Supervisor, plans on attending the ICH meetings on a monthly basis.
COUNCIL ROUNDTABLE	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
	Anthony Brazier	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Anthony Brazier requested an update on AB109. Mr. Ricketts had to leave the meeting early, therefore no update was available.
Adjournment		Being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 11:00 am.
Next Meeting		Wednesday, February 27, 2013 at 9:00 am – 11:00 am DBH – Training Institute 1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Suite 200, San Bernardino, CA 92408

Office of Homeless Services
 1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Suite 200 ▪ San Bernardino, CA 92408
 Phone: (909) 252-4001 ▪ Fax: (909) 252-4088
 Email: homelessrpf@hss.sbcounty.gov ▪ Website: <http://hss.sbcounty.gov/sbchp/>

Attendees at January 23, 2013 • Interagency Council on Homelessness

BJORK	JULIE	Housing Director - City of Ontario	909-395-2307	bjork@ci.ontario.ca.us
BRAZIER	ANTHONY	Foothill AIDS Project	909-884-2722	abrazier@fapinfo.org
COLEMAN	REGINA	Principal Assistant County Counsel	909-387-3266	rcoleman@cc.sbcounty.gov
COUSINEAU	AMY	Network Officer - Children's Network	909-383-9677	acousineau@hss.sbcounty.gov
DOWDY	BRENDA	Superintendent of County Schools	909-386-2634	brenda_dowdy@sbcss.k12.ca.us
ENGELHARDT	SEAN	Probation	909-387-5982	sengelhardt@prob.sbcounty.gov
ESCALANTE	JOSEPHINE	VA Medical Center HCHV Outreach Program	909-825-7084	josephine.escalante@va.gov
EVEY	DARRYL	Ed Family Assistance	760-843-0701	darryl@familyassist.org
FLORES	DIANA	211 Supervisor	909-980-2857	dflores@ieuw.org
FORD	RENEE	San Bernardino County Probation Department	909-387-5856	renee.ford@prob.sbcounty.gov
FUENTES	DENA	RDA Director - Economic Development Agency	909-387-9804	dfuentes@rda.sbcounty.gov
GONZALES	JOSIE	Supervisor - Fifth District	909-387-4565	jgonzales@bos.sbcounty.gov
GREEN	SHARON	Victor Valley Family Resource Center	760-887-1909	sharongreen50@verizon.net
HAMBLY	ED	Catholic Charities	909-388-1239	ehambly@ccsbriv.org
HAUGAN	LINDA	Asst. Executive Officer- Human Services Department	909-387-4717	lhaugan@hss.sbcounty.gov
HEESEN	CHERYL	Exec. Director - Family Service Agency - Redlands	909-793-2673	rfscheryl@hotmail.com
HERNANDEZ	TOM	Homeless Services Manager - Office of Homeless Svcs.	909-252-4051	thernandez@dbh.sbcounty.gov
KEYES	ROBERTA	Community Member	951-213-8432	roberta.keyes@att.net
LUTTRELL	DEANNA	Program Specialist - OHS	909-252-4019	dluttrell@dbh.sbcounty.gov
MADDEN	GARY	Director - Inland Empire United Way	909-980-2857 ext. 211	gmadden@ieuw.org
MCQUEEN	MIGUEL	Deputy Director - Workforce Development Department	909-387-9885	MMcQueen@wdd.sbcounty.gov
MORRIS	GARNER	Community Service Liaison - Supervisor Mitzelfelt Office	760-955-2017	gmorris@bos.sbcounty.gov
MYLES	ANGELA	Exec. Director - House of Prayer	909-543-5744	director@hopgom.com
MYLES	Victor	Director	909-386-1620	vmyles@ourvisionofhope.org
NICKOLS	PATRICIA	Director - Community Action Partnership	909-723-1514	plnickols@capsbc.sbcounty.gov
OSTERMANN	VIKKI	Legislative Analyst- Legislative Affairs	909-387-4777	vostermann@cao.sbcounty.gov
PAXTON	KENT	Mayor's Office - City of San Bernardino	909-384-5133	paxton_ke@sbcity.org
PERKINS	LOIS	CEO	760-246-0691	jazzlweis@aim.com
RENTERIA	MIGUEL	San Bernardino High School		
RICKETTS	AUDULIO	Probation	909-387-5589	audulio.ricketts@probation.sbcounty.gov
ROMAN	MARLEANA	Secretary- Office of Homeless Services	909-252-4001	mroman@dbh.sbcounty.gov
RYMER	CHRIS	HS Manager	909-370-6172	crymer@ci.colton.ca.us
SCHULTZ	BRENT	Housing and Neighborhood Revitalization Dir. - Ontario	909-395-2317	bschultz@ci.ontario.ca.us
SERNA	MARS	San Bernardino City Unified School District	909-880-4057	marcelino.serna@sscusc.com
THOMAS	CASONYA	Director - DBH	909-382-3080	cthomas@dbh.sbcounty.gov
WEATHERSPOON-BELL	REGINA	Field Representative - First District		Regina.Weatherspoon-Bell@bos.sbcounty.gov
WILLIAMS	KRISTIN	Program Manager	909-379-0000	kristin.williams@childrenfoundation.org



**County of San Bernardino
Office of Homeless Services**

1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Ste. 200 • San Bernardino, CA 92408

Phone: (909) 252-4001 • Fax: (909) 252-4088

Email: homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov • Website: <http://hss.sbcounty.gov/ohs/>

**Office of Homeless Services Report
Prepared for the Interagency Council on Homelessness**

Report purpose The purpose of this document is to present the Office of Homeless Services report and to record action items from prior Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) meetings.

Date February 27, 2013

Presenter Tom Hernandez, Homeless Services Manager

Announcements The table below lists the announcements for today's meeting.

Announcements	
Homeless Management Information System	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• On Tuesday, February 26, 2013, the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) contract transfer went to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance. Along with the Board Agenda Item went a purchase order request to maintain the HMIS services provided by Adsystech, Inc., the current software provider.• As of March 1st, the HMIS will be transferred to the County of San Bernardino and will be hosted by the Human Services Information, Technology and Support Division (ITSD). Both Jim Rosemeyer and Kentrelle Gayles will be transitioning from Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County to the County HS ITSD.• Additional staff members from HS ITSD and the Department of Behavioral Health are in Long Beach being trained this week in the HMIS software system to provide back-up support.• As the transition occurs, access to HMIS will be unavailable on March 1st for software transfer to the DBH Domain, but full accessibility will resume on March 4th. Jim Rosemeyer will continue to be the primary HMIS contact and will be stationed at: 834 Hardt Street San Bernardino, CA 92415 Phone number forthcoming	



County of San Bernardino Office of Homeless Services

1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Ste. 200 • San Bernardino, CA 92408

Phone: (909) 252-4001 • Fax: (909) 252-4088

Email: homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov • Website: <http://hss.sbcounty.gov/ohs/>

2013 Point-In-Time Count (PITC) Debrief

- The PITC was on January 24, 2013 from 6:00am to 10:00am. There was well over 400 volunteers that participated in this year's count. Deployment sites were located in the following cities:
 - Adelanto
 - Barstow
 - Big Bear
 - Chino
 - Chino Hills
 - Colton
 - Fontana
 - Grand Terrace
 - Highland
 - Joshua Tree
 - Loma Linda
 - Montclair
 - Ontario
 - Rancho Cucamonga
 - Redlands
 - San Bernardino
 - Upland
 - Victorville
 - Yucaipa
- Debriefing with the PITC site coordinators are being coordinated this week in area regions (West Valley, East Valley, and Desert) to review what worked and issues that arose during the PITC process.
- It is expected that all data will be entered by the end of this month and a preliminary draft report is expected by mid March. We hope to have the final report available for ICH review by April. This report will contain city specific information as well as overall countywide information.

Secretary Donovan Testimony Regarding Sequestration

- On February 14, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Secretary Shaun Donovan, provided testimony to the Senate Appropriations Committee on the impact that the March 1s sequestration could pose to more than 100,000 formerly homeless people, including veterans, families and children. He noted the impacts of potential cuts will lead to these individuals and families being removed from their current housing and shelter, putting them at risk for homelessness (see report 2A).
- The sequestration's impact on the State of California will be as follows:
 - Over 16,000 families cut from affordable housing
 - Over \$10 million cut from federal housing assistance
 - Over \$15 million cut from homeless assistance programs
 - Over \$2 million cut from Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS
 - Over \$18 million cut from Community Development Block Grant Funding
 - Over \$6 million cut in HOME funds
 - Over \$2 million cut in Native American Housing Grants
- See the report from the Center of Budget and Policy Priorities (Report 2B).
- According to HUD, California makes up one fifth (over 20%) of the nation's homeless population, while only accounting for 12% of the total U.S. population. Almost 3 out of 4 individuals and families living in California attribute their circumstance to the lack of affordable housing.



County of San Bernardino Office of Homeless Services

1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Ste. 200 • San Bernardino, CA 92408

Phone: (909) 252-4001 • Fax: (909) 252-4088

Email: homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov • Website: <http://hss.sbcounty.gov/ohs/>

Homeless and Policy Related News

- HUD and the Department of Health and Human Services announced nearly \$98 million in funding for 13 state housing agencies to provide rental assistance for low-income Americans with disabilities through the Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program. In California, these funds will be used to provide long-term project-based rental assistance for 335 units reserved for extremely low-income people with disabilities. The target population for this program is Medicaid beneficiaries with disabilities transitioning from institutional settings and persons at serious risk of institutionalization in all 58 counties in the state (see press release for further information: http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/press/press_releases_media_advisories/2013/HUDNo.13-024).

Attachments

Written Testimony of Secretary Shaun Donovan– Report 2A-Attached

Estimated Cuts in Federal Housing Assistance – Report 2B-Attached



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
WASHINGTON, DC 20410

**Written Testimony of Secretary Shaun Donovan
Hearing before the Senate Committee on Appropriations
*on The Impacts of Sequestration***

Thursday, February 14, 2013

Chairwoman Mikulski, Ranking Member Shelby, and Members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to testify today regarding the effects of the across-the-board federal cuts that would result from the potential sequestration now scheduled for March 1, just two weeks from tomorrow. As the President stated last week, these arbitrary and indiscriminate cuts “will cost us jobs and significantly slow down our recovery.” With respect to the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) that I am privileged to lead, these cuts would be deeply destructive, would damage the economy, and would harm numerous families, individuals, and communities across the nation that rely on HUD programs.

Harmful Effects of Sequestration on Homeless and Other Vulnerable Populations around the Country

The March 1 sequestration would have devastating effects on homelessness and on other vulnerable groups that HUD works with on housing needs across the country. In particular:

- About 125,000 individuals and families, including elderly and disabled individuals, could lose assistance provided through the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program and be at risk of becoming homeless. The HCV program, which is administered by state and local public housing agencies (PHAs), provides crucial assistance to families and individuals in renting private apartment units. There may be even more families affected by the sequestration cuts to the extent that PHAs are forced to absorb annual funding losses in less than a full twelve month time frame. In addition, since sequestration will also cut PHA administrative fees for the HCV program, numerous PHAs may find continued operation of the program financially untenable and thus stop operating the program entirely, which will harm even more families and individuals, including homeless veterans.
- Sequestration cuts would also result in more than 100,000 formerly homeless people, including veterans, being removed from their current housing or emergency shelter

programs, putting them at substantial risk of becoming homeless. Much of this damage will be done through cuts to HUD's Continuum of Care programs, under which formerly homeless families and individuals are quickly rehoused and given other assistance to move them towards self-sufficiency. In addition, the sequestration cuts would eliminate some of the key funding for the nation's shelter system for the homeless provided by the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program. Because ESG is considered a critical glue, holding together the shelter system across the country, the cuts could have a ripple effect and force some shelters to close down altogether, with even more devastating effects. Over the last several years, we have made significant progress in reducing homelessness and in achieving the national goal of ending veterans' homelessness. These sequestration cuts would lead us in the opposite, and tragically wrong, direction.

- Sequestration cuts to the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS program would result in 7,300 fewer low-income households receiving permanent and short-term supportive housing assistance, including rent or utility assistance. This could result in some people falling into homelessness, which would further exacerbate this tragic problem.
- Safe, decent, and affordable housing is desperately needed in Indian Country, and HUD is an important source of assistance. Sequestration cuts would mean that over 900 fewer Native American families would be able to obtain housing loan guarantees.
- Sequestration would cut important programs offered by HUD's Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control and related HUD programs addressing housing-related health hazards. As a result, more than 3,000 vulnerable children would not be protected from lead poisoning and other safety hazards in the home. Such danger to our nation's most precious resource – our children – would be another tragic result of the arbitrary cuts required by sequestration.

Sequestration's Damaging Effects on Families, Communities, and the Economy across the Nation

From HUD's perspective, the March 1 sequestration would also have even broader harmful effects on middle class families, on communities, and on the economy across the nation. Specifically:

- Sequestration would result in 75,000 fewer households receiving foreclosure prevention, pre-purchase, rental or other counseling through HUD housing counseling grants. This counseling is crucial for middle class and other families who have been harmed by the housing crisis from which we are still recovering, and are trying to prevent foreclosure, refinance their mortgages, avoid housing scams, and find quality, affordable housing. Studies show that housing counseling plays a crucial role in those

efforts. Distressed households who receive counseling are more likely to avoid foreclosure, while families who receive counseling before they purchase a home are less likely to become delinquent on their mortgages.

- The impact of sequestration would force public housing agencies (PHAs) to defer maintenance and capital repairs to public housing, leading to deteriorating living conditions and, over the longer term, risking the permanent loss of this affordable housing that serves 1.1 million of the nation's poorest residents. The cuts would also harm the local economies in the areas served by PHAs. PHA spending on maintenance and capital repairs results in expenditures for goods and services throughout local economies. Sequestration would also reduce the number of families served by HUD programs designed to help families in public housing become more self-sufficient.
- The cuts caused by sequestration would prevent state and local communities that receive funding under the HOME Investment Partnerships program from building and rehabilitating 2,100 affordable housing units for low-income families. These cuts will have an even broader effect on local economies, particularly because historically, every dollar of HOME funding is leveraged with almost four dollars of other governmental or private investment for the production or rehabilitation of affordable single or multi-family housing. This will mean fewer jobs in and more harm to local construction and related industries.
- Sequestration will also result in significant cuts to community development funding for public services, facilities, and infrastructure improvements across the country. This will harm middle class families who rely on such services and reduce jobs in local economies across the nation. These funds improve our local communities, and also support jobs for construction workers and others who build or rehabilitate public facilities, infrastructure, and housing, and for those providing social services at the local level. Historically, it has been estimated that community development related funding over the past decade has sustained 400,000 jobs in local economies across the country. In 2012 alone, nearly 21,800 permanent jobs were created or retained using CDBG funds and more than 32.5 million people benefitted from CDBG funded public facilities activities. The negative effects of cuts in community development funding are multiplied because for every hundred dollars of funding from HUD for such activities, another \$150 in other governmental or private investment in such community development is generated. . In addition, these cuts will adversely impact confidence in the long-term sustainability of the private market rental housing that HUD supports.
- Only weeks ago, Congress appropriated community development and other funds for the recovery and rebuilding of the devastation caused by Superstorm Sandy and other natural disasters. The March 1 sequestration would force cuts to those crucial funds, preventing communities from making critical investments. This will not only prolong the suffering in a region that has been hit again this past weekend by a new storm. It will

also cost jobs that would be created by full expenditure of the approved funding and slow the full recovery and rebuilding of a region that is critical to our economy.

- Finally, sequestration would directly affect the employees who work for HUD itself, along with their families and communities. I am privileged to lead just over 9,000 HUD employees around the nation in 81 field offices around the country. Specific plans are still being reviewed and finalized, but we believe that furloughs or other personnel actions may well be required to comply with cuts mandated by sequestration. The public will suffer as the agency is simply less able to provide information and services in a wide range of areas, such as FHA mortgage insurance and sale of FHA-owned properties.

Conclusion

As the President and many members of Congress have made clear, sequestration is a blunt and indiscriminate instrument that was passed to help ensure that action is taken on a balanced deficit reduction package, not as an actual method of deficit reduction via arbitrary budget cuts. I firmly agree with the President's statement just last week that "our economy is headed in the right direction, and it will stay that way as long as there aren't any more self-inflicted wounds coming out of Washington." Sequestration is just such a self-inflicted wound that would have devastating effects on our economy and on people across the nation.



820 First Street NE, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080
Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org
www.cbpp.org

Revised February 14, 2013

ESTIMATED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS DUE TO SEQUESTRATION, 2013

Automatic, across-the-board funding cuts in most federal programs (or “sequestration”) had been scheduled to begin on January 2, but the “fiscal cliff” budget deal enacted by President Obama and Congress delays these cuts until March 1, 2013.¹ The budget deal also reduces the amount to be sequestered in fiscal year 2013 by \$24 billion, to \$85 billion.

For non-security discretionary programs — including nearly all housing assistance and community development programs — the revised sequestration will mean a funding reduction of about 5 percent beginning on March 1, unless Congress acts to avert the cuts. Tables 1 and 2 below show our estimates, by state, of the likely impact of sequestration cuts on core housing assistance and community development programs in 2013.

Methodological Notes

Our calculations assume that, as of March 1, the federal government will be operating under the funding resolution enacted last September (which is scheduled to expire on March 27), and that each state’s share of funding from each program in 2013 will equal its share in 2012. For public housing, we estimated state allocations in 2012 from actual allocations of capital and operating funds in 2011, as reported in Tables 18-28 and 18-30 of *Analytical Perspectives, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2013*, as actual data for 2012 were not accessible. For all other programs, actual 2012 allocations were used, as reported by HUD. For HOPWA, state funding figures are FY 2012 formula allocations; competitive grant awards for FY 2012 would also be reduced under sequestration, but are not included here. Funding figures for Homeless Assistance combine ESG formula allocations for FY 2012 and competitive CoC grants for FY 2011.

We estimate that funding for Housing Choice Vouchers will be cut by \$971 million under the March 1 sequestration. To calculate the number of families that could lose voucher assistance under sequestration, each state’s share of the funding cut was assumed to be in proportion to its share of housing assistance payments in the first six months of 2012, as reported to HUD’s Voucher Management System (VMS). To calculate the number of families potentially affected, the estimated funding cut for each state was divided by the projected average per-voucher cost in that state in 2013, which was derived from costs reported to VMS in 2012, adjusted by a CPI-based inflator.

¹ The American Tax Relief Act of 2012. For more information on sequestration, see “Here’s How the March 1 Sequester Would Work,” <http://www.offthechartsblog.org/heres-how-the-march-1-sequester-would-work/>.

Table 1

Estimated Cuts in Housing and Community Development Block Grant Funding Due to Sequestration, 2013

State	CDBG Formula Grants		HOME		Native American Housing Grants	
	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut
Alabama	\$39,246,037	-\$2,040,794	\$13,800,040	-\$717,602	\$2,015,847	-\$104,824
Alaska	\$3,843,406	-\$199,857	\$3,628,693	-\$188,692	\$99,308,089	-\$5,164,021
Arizona	\$46,405,177	-\$2,413,069	\$15,226,793	-\$791,793	\$74,169,100	-\$3,856,793
Arkansas	\$23,433,880	-\$1,218,562	\$9,179,710	-\$477,345	\$0	\$0
California	\$351,573,471	-\$18,281,820	\$131,050,655	-\$6,814,634	\$45,859,761	-\$2,384,708
Colorado	\$32,933,094	-\$1,712,521	\$12,988,108	-\$675,382	\$1,838,640	-\$95,609
Connecticut	\$34,325,990	-\$1,784,951	\$11,467,021	-\$596,285	\$76,464	-\$3,976
Delaware	\$6,233,818	-\$324,159	\$4,142,103	-\$215,389	\$0	\$0
District of Columbia	\$13,904,983	-\$723,059	\$4,343,097	-\$225,841	\$0	\$0
Florida	\$123,354,155	-\$6,414,416	\$47,858,026	-\$2,488,617	\$1,422,479	-\$73,969
Georgia	\$72,331,774	-\$3,761,252	\$25,827,065	-\$1,343,007	\$0	\$0
Hawaii	\$12,204,143	-\$634,615	\$5,571,482	-\$289,717	\$0	\$0
Idaho	\$10,660,286	-\$554,335	\$3,976,218	-\$206,763	\$4,870,883	-\$253,286
Illinois	\$146,421,200	-\$7,613,902	\$43,593,785	-\$2,266,877	\$0	\$0
Indiana	\$59,842,592	-\$3,111,815	\$19,506,227	-\$1,014,324	\$1,062,156	-\$55,232
Iowa	\$32,857,042	-\$1,708,566	\$8,817,328	-\$458,501	\$1,432,152	-\$74,472
Kansas	\$23,399,317	-\$1,216,764	\$8,114,471	-\$421,952	\$1,428,692	-\$74,292
Kentucky	\$38,294,674	-\$1,991,323	\$14,055,736	-\$730,898	\$0	\$0
Louisiana	\$45,354,000	-\$2,358,408	\$14,605,721	-\$759,497	\$588,408	-\$30,597
Maine	\$16,106,214	-\$837,523	\$4,708,728	-\$244,854	\$3,926,247	-\$204,165
Massachusetts	\$42,440,741	-\$2,206,919	\$12,899,979	-\$670,799	\$0	\$0
Maryland	\$88,974,486	-\$4,626,673	\$25,171,557	-\$1,308,921	\$1,128,094	-\$58,661
Michigan	\$111,620,816	-\$5,804,282	\$30,838,799	-\$1,603,618	\$12,839,502	-\$667,654
Minnesota	\$47,038,219	-\$2,445,987	\$13,486,827	-\$701,315	\$17,853,406	-\$928,377
Mississippi	\$27,513,192	-\$1,430,686	\$9,472,960	-\$492,594	\$3,030,375	-\$157,580
Missouri	\$56,650,547	-\$2,945,828	\$18,430,106	-\$958,366	\$281,587	-\$14,643
Montana	\$7,347,866	-\$382,089	\$3,890,397	-\$202,301	\$29,241,598	-\$1,520,563
Nebraska	\$16,398,847	-\$852,740	\$5,583,112	-\$290,322	\$7,946,793	-\$413,233
Nevada	\$17,633,337	-\$916,934	\$8,515,508	-\$442,806	\$14,757,408	-\$767,385
New Hampshire	\$10,831,576	-\$563,242	\$3,782,193	-\$196,674	\$0	\$0
New Jersey	\$77,708,633	-\$4,040,849	\$25,676,951	-\$1,335,201	\$0	\$0
New Mexico	\$14,165,369	-\$736,599	\$5,682,237	-\$295,476	\$74,063,814	-\$3,851,318
New York	\$281,664,304	-\$14,646,544	\$100,863,556	-\$5,244,905	\$6,182,289	-\$321,479

Table 1 cont'd

Estimated Cuts in Housing and Community Development Block Grant Funding Due to Sequestration, 2013

State	CDBG Formula Grants		HOME		Native American Housing Grants	
	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut	2012 Actual	2013 Estimated sequestration cut
North Carolina	\$65,385,998	-\$3,400,072	\$25,894,752	-\$1,346,527	\$17,695,091	-\$920,145
North Dakota	\$4,925,059	-\$256,103	\$3,421,865	-\$177,937	\$11,296,724	-\$587,430
Ohio	\$135,321,183	-\$7,036,702	\$40,793,446	-\$2,121,259	\$0	\$0
Oklahoma	\$24,942,864	-\$1,297,029	\$11,137,072	-\$579,128	\$95,468,551	-\$4,964,365
Oregon	\$30,258,808	-\$1,573,458	\$12,885,177	-\$670,029	\$17,026,758	-\$885,391
Pennsylvania	\$167,973,974	-\$8,734,647	\$42,358,448	-\$2,202,639	\$0	\$0
Rhode Island	\$14,662,008	-\$762,424	\$5,113,794	-\$265,917	\$561,831	-\$29,215
South Carolina	\$32,602,287	-\$1,695,319	\$12,019,586	-\$625,018	\$1,254,641	-\$65,241
South Dakota	\$6,552,541	-\$340,732	\$3,373,459	-\$175,420	\$33,118,200	-\$1,722,146
Tennessee	\$44,563,668	-\$2,317,311	\$18,163,195	-\$944,486	\$168,354	-\$8,754
Texas	\$215,435,097	-\$11,202,625	\$67,626,569	-\$3,516,582	\$1,578,424	-\$82,078
Utah	\$18,142,062	-\$943,387	\$6,312,640	-\$328,257	\$12,617,777	-\$656,124
Vermont	\$6,837,137	-\$355,531	\$3,340,710	-\$173,717	\$0	\$0
Virginia	\$48,666,534	-\$2,530,660	\$18,940,130	-\$984,887	\$0	\$0
Washington	\$48,830,368	-\$2,539,179	\$19,806,562	-\$1,029,941	\$36,696,288	-\$1,908,207
West Virginia	\$19,137,316	-\$995,140	\$6,436,376	-\$334,692	\$0	\$0
Wisconsin	\$54,535,888	-\$2,835,866	\$18,234,014	-\$948,169	\$21,094,136	-\$1,096,895
Wyoming	\$3,196,119	-\$166,198	\$3,500,000	-\$182,000	\$3,724,721	-\$193,685
U.S. Territories	\$73,983,925	-\$3,847,164	\$18,069,960	-\$939,638	\$0	\$0
Total	\$2.95 billion	-\$153 million	\$1 billion	-\$52 million	\$658 million	-\$34 million

Table 2

Estimated Cuts in Federal Rental Assistance Due to Sequestration, 2013

State	Housing Choice Vouchers		Public Housing		Homeless Assistance		HOPWA	
	2012 Number of families assisted	2013 Estimated number of families cut under sequestration	2012 Estimated funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration
Alabama	29,054	-1,538	\$192,314,645	-\$10,000,362	\$22,258,870	-\$1,122,530	\$2,001,172	-\$104,061
Alaska	4,185	-222	\$12,643,027	-\$657,437	\$3,954,161	-\$199,411	\$0	\$0
Arizona	20,763	-1,099	\$30,013,500	-\$1,560,702	\$39,593,590	-\$1,996,732	\$2,498,250	-\$129,909
Arkansas	20,979	-1,111	\$50,092,324	-\$2,604,801	\$8,492,503	-\$428,283	\$863,949	-\$44,925
California	306,341	-16,219	\$204,663,891	-\$10,642,522	\$310,151,984	-\$15,641,178	\$39,343,180	-\$2,045,845
Colorado	29,571	-1,566	\$30,077,819	-\$1,564,047	\$23,129,181	-\$1,166,421	\$2,000,579	-\$104,030
Connecticut	33,767	-1,788	\$82,070,160	-\$4,267,648	\$35,567,628	-\$1,793,700	\$3,228,628	-\$167,889
Delaware	4,377	-232	\$15,508,699	-\$806,452	\$6,030,395	-\$304,117	\$843,369	-\$43,855
District of Columbia	10,212	-541	\$57,769,751	-\$3,004,027	\$21,953,527	-\$1,107,131	\$13,623,582	-\$708,426
Florida	92,937	-4,920	\$177,928,052	-\$9,252,259	\$91,233,624	-\$4,600,974	\$39,830,359	-\$2,071,179
Georgia	52,148	-2,761	\$211,280,924	-\$10,986,608	\$39,903,382	-\$2,012,355	\$11,003,662	-\$572,190
Hawaii	9,021	-478	\$29,090,436	-\$1,512,703	\$12,776,088	-\$644,307	\$654,789	-\$34,049
Idaho	6,772	-359	\$1,944,346	-\$101,106	\$4,730,200	-\$238,547	\$0	\$0
Illinois	79,355	-4,201	\$243,710,732	-\$12,672,958	\$104,140,457	-\$5,251,875	\$7,446,663	-\$387,226
Indiana	35,952	-1,903	\$51,090,137	-\$2,656,687	\$25,441,219	-\$1,283,018	\$1,875,715	-\$97,537
Iowa	21,122	-1,118	\$10,709,981	-\$556,919	\$11,664,367	-\$588,242	\$409,416	-\$21,290
Kansas	11,136	-590	\$43,026,796	-\$2,237,393	\$10,486,035	-\$528,818	\$386,858	-\$20,117
Kentucky	31,328	-1,659	\$88,621,136	-\$4,608,299	\$21,975,020	-\$1,108,215	\$1,068,558	-\$55,565
Louisiana	45,385	-2,403	\$102,280,230	-\$5,318,572	\$33,035,205	-\$1,665,988	\$7,403,964	-\$385,006
Maine	12,145	-643	\$122,948,354	-\$6,393,314	\$12,100,762	-\$610,250	\$0	\$0
Maryland	42,161	-2,232	\$125,999,160	-\$6,551,956	\$51,896,356	-\$2,617,169	\$10,155,324	-\$528,077
Massachusetts	75,182	-3,980	\$190,278,167	-\$9,894,465	\$73,205,748	-\$3,691,816	\$4,024,706	-\$209,285
Michigan	51,599	-2,732	\$88,201,324	-\$4,586,469	\$74,116,802	-\$3,737,761	\$3,770,636	-\$196,073
Minnesota	30,954	-1,639	\$73,120,995	-\$3,817,892	\$28,506,019	-\$1,137,580	\$1,162,156	-\$60,132

Table 2 cont'd:

Estimated Cuts in Federal Rental Assistance Due to Sequestration, 2013

State	Housing Choice Vouchers		Public Housing		Homeless Assistance		HOPWA	
	2012 Number of families assisted	2013 Estimated number of families cut under sequestration	2012 Estimated funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration
Missouri	38,807	-2,055	\$65,007,376	-\$3,380,384	\$32,588,611	-\$1,643,466	\$3,043,016	-\$158,237
Montana	5,526	-293	\$10,224,981	-\$531,699	\$3,465,582	-\$174,772	\$0	\$0
Nebraska	11,537	-611	\$22,988,823	-\$1,195,419	\$7,362,608	-\$371,301	\$358,165	-\$18,625
Nevada	13,699	-725	\$19,424,335	-\$1,010,065	\$10,618,372	-\$535,492	\$1,377,451	-\$71,627
New Hampshire	9,284	-492	\$14,583,896	-\$758,363	\$7,093,149	-\$357,712	\$0	\$0
New Jersey	64,014	-3,389	\$210,842,860	-\$10,963,829	\$46,478,310	-\$2,343,933	\$15,002,866	-\$780,149
New Mexico	12,237	-648	\$16,043,242	-\$834,249	\$10,374,008	-\$523,168	\$608,287	-\$31,631
New York	222,794	-11,796	\$1,213,291,672	-\$63,091,167	\$215,706,769	-\$10,878,241	\$60,548,848	-\$3,148,540
North Carolina	54,978	-2,911	\$182,272,191	-\$9,478,154	\$28,258,057	-\$1,425,073	\$4,262,603	-\$221,655
North Dakota	6,720	-356	\$5,062,947	-\$263,273	\$2,476,415	-\$124,887	\$0	\$0
Ohio	91,563	-4,848	\$258,699,664	-\$13,452,383	\$92,250,086	-\$4,652,235	\$3,708,886	-\$192,862
Oklahoma	22,943	-1,215	\$47,102,360	-\$2,449,323	\$9,370,546	-\$472,563	\$1,114,664	-\$57,963
Oregon	31,986	-1,693	\$24,724,567	-\$1,285,677	\$23,137,403	-\$1,166,835	\$1,469,070	-\$76,392
Pennsylvania	74,813	-3,961	\$377,768,888	-\$19,643,982	\$102,571,574	-\$5,172,755	\$10,373,339	-\$539,414
Rhode Island	9,042	-479	\$42,392,298	-\$2,204,399	\$7,317,618	-\$369,033	\$877,009	-\$45,604
South Carolina	23,782	-1,259	\$68,905,628	-\$3,583,093	\$12,439,653	-\$627,340	\$3,916,073	-\$203,636
South Dakota	5,657	-300	\$4,144,229	-\$215,500	\$2,261,057	-\$114,027	\$0	\$0
Tennessee	33,007	-1,748	\$149,794,579	-\$7,789,318	\$23,681,494	-\$1,194,274	\$3,553,468	-\$184,780
Texas	148,047	-7,838	\$243,177,927	-\$12,645,252	\$95,230,049	-\$4,802,517	\$18,050,218	-\$938,611
Utah	10,297	-545	\$7,022,069	-\$365,148	\$8,956,073	-\$451,661	\$516,074	-\$26,836
Vermont	6,030	-319	\$6,795,214	-\$353,351	\$4,350,369	-\$219,392	\$0	\$0
Virginia	44,050	-2,332	\$96,232,506	-\$5,004,090	\$27,806,301	-\$1,402,291	\$2,681,436	-\$139,435
Washington	48,483	-2,559	\$63,009,141	-\$3,276,475	\$45,638,519	-\$2,301,582	\$2,542,971	-\$132,234
West Virginia	13,279	-703	\$24,465,553	-\$1,272,209	\$8,027,256	-\$404,820	\$339,564	-\$17,657
Wisconsin	26,511	-1,404	\$34,605,354	-\$1,799,478	\$28,388,100	-\$1,431,631	\$1,042,438	-\$54,207

Table 2 cont'd:

Estimated Cuts in Federal Rental Assistance Due to Sequestration, 2013

State	Housing Choice Vouchers		Public Housing		Homeless Assistance		HOPWA	
	2012 Number of families assisted	2013 Estimated number of families cut under sequestration	2012 Estimated funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration	2012 Actual funding	2013 Estimated funding cut under sequestration
Wyoming	2,306	-122	\$2,441,514	-\$126,959	\$669,908	-\$33,784	\$0	\$0
U.S. Territories	32,791	-1,736	\$336,788,131	-\$17,512,983	\$29,052,039	-\$1,465,114	\$7,692,426	-\$400,006
Total	2,142,134	-113,414	\$5.8 billion	-\$304 million	\$1.96 billion	-\$99 million	\$298,800,000	-\$16 million

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign

February 2013



Framing the Need

- There are around 3,000 homeless persons in SBC on a given day like today.



San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign

2

The Good News is that

- Approximately 2,100 will get off the streets within a year—probably within a few months



San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign

3

The Bad News is that

- 2,100 persons will likely replace the 2,100 that got off the streets within a year

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



4

The Bad News is that

- Approximately 30% or 900 are chronically homeless who are the most visible and hardest to reach

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



5

2013 Homeless Count and Sub-Population Survey

- will provide the number of persons homeless and the number of persons who are chronically homeless by Jurisdiction

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



6

What Isn't Working or Having Limited Success

- Traditional Street Outreach Efforts
- Moving the Chronic Mentally Ill through the Shelter System

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



7

What Is Working?

Housing First

- participants must comply with a standard lease agreement and are provided with the services and supports that are necessary to help them do so successfully.

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



8

What Is Working?

Rapid Re-Housing

- moves the homeless individual or household immediately from the streets into their own apartments.

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



9

SBC Homeless to Housing Campaign

- will combine Housing First with Rapid Re-Housing

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



10

STEP 1

Create Community Engagement Teams

- cannot end chronic homelessness in SBC until chronic homeless persons are engaged
- community partners such as business, civic, faith-based, local government, neighborhood, and service providers will engage chronic homeless persons.

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



11

STEP 2

Identify and Align the Appropriate Resources

- Shelter Plus Care Certificates
- HUD VASH Vouchers
- Permanent Supportive Housing Units
- 3-Person Housing First Team

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



12

STEP 3

Identify and Align the Appropriate Resources

- Shelter Plus Care Certificates
- HUD VASH Vouchers
- Permanent Supportive Housing Units
- 3-Person Housing First Team

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



13

STEP 4

Create an Evaluation Team

- establish timelines, roles, and responsibilities;
- determine baseline numbers, examine benchmarks, and monitor outcomes;
- modify recommendations based on assessment of outcomes;
- publicly report through appropriate promotional means;
- celebrate success by scheduling public events to mark visible progress.

San Bernardino County Homeless to Housing Campaign



14
