





Item No.	Consent Items	
1	Approve minutes of the September 23, 2015, ICH meeting	
2	Approve 2016 ICH meeting schedule with July 2016 and December 2016 to go dark	9:45 – 9:50 am
3	Authorize the ICH Grant Review Committee to approve the tiering of the new, renewal, planning and permanent housing bonus projects	
<b>Special Presentation</b>		
4	Presentation of Certificates (Presenter: Supervisor James Ramos)	
5	Accept 2015 Homeless Youth Survey Report (Presenters: Supervisor James Ramos and Tom Hernandez)	9:50 – 10:10 am
<b>Discussion</b>		
6	Approve Recommendations for the Continuum of Care Renewals, Reallocation, and Permanent Housing Bonus applications (Presenter: Tom Hernandez)	10:10 – 10:20 am
7	Regional preparations and planning for El Niño	10:20 – 10:50 am
<b>Closing</b>		10:50 – 11:00 am

**Public Comment**                      Open to the public for comments limited to three minutes

---

**Council Roundtable**                      Open to comments by the Council

---

**Next ICH Meeting**                      The next Interagency Council on Homelessness meeting will be held on:

**January 27, 2016**  
**9:00 am – 11:00 am**  
**Department of Behavioral Health Administration**  
**303 E. Vanderbilt Way, Conference Room 109A and 109B**  
**San Bernardino, CA 92415**

---

*Mission Statement*

*The mission of the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership is to provide a system of care that is inclusive, well planned, coordinated and evaluated and is accessible to all who are homeless and those at-risk of becoming homeless.*

THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS MEETING FACILITY IS ACCESSIBLE TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. IF ASSISTIVE LISTENING DEVICES OR OTHER AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES ARE NEEDED IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC MEETING, REQUESTS SHOULD BE MADE THROUGH THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING. THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (909) 386-8297 AND THE OFFICE IS LOCATED AT 303 E. VANDERBILT WAY, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415. <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/>



**County of San Bernardino  
Office of Homeless Services**

1950 S. Sunwest Lane, Ste. 200 • San Bernardino, CA 92408  
Phone: (909) 252-4001 • Fax: (909) 252-4088  
Email: [homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov) • Website:  
<http://hss.sbcounty.gov/sbchp/>

**Office of Homeless Services Report  
Prepared for the Interagency Council on Homelessness**

---

Report purpose      The purpose of this document is to present the Office of Homeless Services report and to record action items from prior Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) meetings.

---

Date      Wednesday, October 28, 2015

---

Presenter      Sharon Green

---

Presentations      The table below lists the presentations from HPN's last meeting.

**West Valley Region - Don Smith-Chair**

- The HPN West Valley Regional Meeting in October featured a presentation and dialogue on “Challenges, Pitfalls and Successes of Rapid Rehousing Interventions” led by Dawn Spencer, Lead Housing Navigator with Knowledge Education for Yours Success (KEYS).
- Regional Meeting attendance in October included representatives from the Office of Supervisor Rutherford, the City of Upland and the City of Chino along with many of our regional and countywide agency partners.
- West Valley Regional housing partners have been meeting in preparation for the HPN Regional Case Conferencing Pilot Project in collaboration with 211 and in support of the development of *Fast Linkage and Secure Housing (FLASH)* and the CoC Coordinated Entry System.

**High Desert/Mountain Region - Lois Perkins/Chair**

- The HPN High Desert Region had Presenter: Megan Joyner from “For the Record Bookkeeping.” She works with nonprofit- fix books of nonprofit organizations. She covered common errors in accounting that affects non-profits on a larger scale. The most common errors are small errors that have a huge impact. Ms. Perkins Team is working on getting the High Desert trained to improve the services and increase capacity in her region.
- The next presenter was Ron Griffin from “211” presenting information on Case conferencing. The pilot through HPN is progressing well. The members were made aware that is it necessary to close the service gaps. The housing providers that were present had been involved on small level and are all encouraged to work with the Regional chairs to ensure success in ending homelessness in our county.



**County of San Bernardino  
Office of Homeless Services**

303 E. Vanderbilt Way, First Floor • San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

Phone: (909) 386-8297 • Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: [homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov) • Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/sbchp>

**Office of Homeless Services Report  
Prepared for the Interagency Council on Homelessness**

**Report purpose** The purpose of this document is to present the Office of Homeless Services (OHS) report and to record action items from prior Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) meetings.

**Date** October 28, 2015

**Presenter** Tom Hernandez, Homeless Services Manager

**Announcements** The table below lists the announcements for today’s meeting.

<b>Announcements</b>	
<b>Opening Doors Timeline</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Earlier this year, Opening Doors was amended to reaffirm the strategies proven effective in preventing and ending homelessness and added additional goals that are critical to its success. The amendment included the following goals and timelines:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ End Veteran Homelessness by 2015</li> <li>○ End Chronic Homelessness by 2017</li> <li>○ End Family and Youth Homelessness by 2020</li> <li>○ Set a Path to End All Homelessness by 2020</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>Continuum of Care (CoC) Permanent Housing Bonus</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On September 24, 2015, the OHS through the Department of Behavioral Health released a Request for Proposals (RFP) from nonprofit and local government organizations providing assistance to homeless populations within the County of San Bernardino to create new and dedicated Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) to serve the chronically homeless and Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) to serve families.</li> <li>• The RFP sought project(s) to implement a local continuum of care-wide Permanent Housing program that will implement a “Housing First” approach in accordance with the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership’s 10-Year Strategy to End Homelessness.</li> <li>• On October 16, 2015, the ICH Grant Review Committee met to review the seven applications received. Their recommendations will be discussed during Item #4 on today’s agenda.</li> </ul>



## County of San Bernardino Office of Homeless Services

303 E. Vanderbilt Way, First Floor • San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

Phone: (909) 386-8297 • Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: [homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov) • Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/sbchp>

### El Niño Preparations

- On October 15, 2015, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) issued the U.S. Winter Outlook forecasting cooler and wetter weather in Southern Tier states with above-average temperatures most likely in the West and across the Northern Tier. The NOAA forecasts that this year's El Niño may be among the strongest on record, expected to influence weather and climate patterns for our area.
- On October 13, 2015, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors received a report by the Director, Office of Emergency Management, Chief Executive Office, Director of Public Works, Fire Chief and the Sheriff on El Niño preparedness. Recommendations included:
  - Extending winter shelter operational periods
  - Funding additional beds at winter shelters
  - Engaging homeless individuals living in flood control areas
- OHS will work with 2-1-1 to identify shelter providers, however based on current providers; the current capacity may be insufficient to accommodate all the potential needs of the region.

### Homeless Management Information System Update

- The Office of Homeless Services (OHS), HMIS section routinely monitors agencies data quality to ensure accuracy and meet HUD standards for data quality maintenance. Attached you will find a copy of the latest HMIS Data Quality Report for the month of September, 2015 (see attached, Report 2A). Please note: We have encountered an issue that has affected our ability to accurately run the monthly Data Quality reports. HUD has required changes to the universal data elements starting on October 1, 2015. The changes appear to have negatively affected our overall data quality in the HMIS for the month of September. ClientTrack is currently working on this and is expected to have a resolution by October 26th.
- Agency report cards are posted for review on individual agencies participating in HMIS at the following website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/HMIS.aspx>

### 9th Annual Homeless Summit

- The 9th Annual Homeless Summit will be held on Wednesday, November 4, 2015 at the Ontario Convention Center located at 2000 E. Convention Center Way, Ontario, CA 91764, from 10:00 am to 3:30 pm, with check-in beginning at 9:30 am.
- This year's speakers will include Ted Alejandre, San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools, Philip Mangano, Founder and President of the American Roundtable to Abolish Homelessness, Amy Sawyer, Regional Coordinator for the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness, the Sheriff's Homeless Outreach and Proactive Enforcement Team, and Fifth District Supervisor and ICH Chair, Josie Gonzales.



## County of San Bernardino Office of Homeless Services

303 E. Vanderbilt Way, First Floor • San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

Phone: (909) 386-8297 • Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: [homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov) • Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/sbchp>

### City Service Provider Stakeholder Session Summary

- On August 31, 2015, the Performance, Education and Resource Center (PERC) conducted the third stakeholder group of the ICH, consisting of city service providers (see attached, Report 2B). Representatives from 9 cities attended the consensus building workshop. This group was tasked with coming to a consensus and forming goals for the cities related to the recommendations:
  - Community Outreach and Education Campaign.
  - Community Outreach, Engagement, and Treatment for
  - Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families (see attached, Report 2B).
- PERC will be available to perform a follow-up training for city administrators at the request of ICH.

#### Attachments

HMIS Data Quality Monthly Report September 2015 – Report 2A-Attached

City Stakeholder Workshop Summary – Report 2B-Attached

Org ID	Organization / Program	Total Enrollments	Total Applicable Records	# of Missing Data Elements	% of Missing	# of Don't Know	# of Refused	% of DK/Refused
CC1	Catholic Charities	12	157	9	5.73%	0	0	0.00%
CCL	Central City Lutheran Mission	46	825	18	2.18%	4	0	0.00%
CAP	Community Action Partnership	4	58	3	5.17%	0	0	0.00%
DMM	Desert Manna Ministries	8	141	13	9.22%	0	0	0.00%
FA2	Family Assistance Program	14	221	9	4.07%	12	5	2.26%
FSA	Family Services Association of Redlands	10	116	6	5.17%	0	0	0.00%
FAI	Foothill AIDS Project	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
FFS	Foothill Family Shelter	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
FCC	Frazer Community Center	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
GDC	Global One Development Center	2	34	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
HDH	High Desert Homeless Services	39	581	6	1.03%	1	0	0.00%
HPG	House of Prayer Gospel Outreach Ministri	1	17	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
IBH	Inland Behavioral and Health Services	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
LTH	Inland Temporary Homes	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
IHP	Inland Valley Hope Partners	4	49	6	12.24%	0	0	0.00%
KEY	Knowledge & Education For Your Success	71	869	4	0.46%	2	0	0.00%
LCD	Life Community Development	6	107	9	8.41%	0	0	0.00%
LSS	Lighthouse Social Services	66	817	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
PL1	LMWS Pacific Lifeline	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
MMC	Mary's Mercy Center	5	62	6	9.68%	7	1	1.61%
MH1	Mercy House	183	2685	59	2.20%	22	2	0.07%
NHV	New Hope Village, Inc.	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%

OG1	Operation Grace	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
HOA	Restoration House of Angels	1	17	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
SA1	Salvation Army	23	316	4	1.27%	0	0	0.00%
DBA	SB DBH Adult & Older System of Care	6	105	6	5.71%	1	0	0.00%
DBH	SB DBH/HA	1	17	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
HA1	SB Housing Authority	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
TFC	Time For Change Foundation	7	84	3	3.57%	0	0	0.00%
USV	US Veterans Inc	14	196	6	3.06%	0	0	0.00%
VVF	Victor Valley Family Resource Center	23	401	3	0.75%	4	0	0.00%
WOL	Water Of Life Community Church	19	204	6	2.94%	0	0	0.00%
		565	8079	176	2.49%	53	8	0.13%



# ICH Stakeholder Workgroup: City Representatives

## Overview

---

**Introduction** On August 31, 2015 the third stakeholder group of the ICH, consisting of Representatives for the cities that comprise San Bernardino County, convened and participated in a consensus building workshop.

This group was tasked with coming to a consensus and forming goals for the cities related to the recommendations:

- **Community Outreach and Education Campaign.**
- **Community Outreach, Engagement, and Treatment for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families**

These recommendations were established by the **10 Year Strategy to End Homelessness in San Bernardino County**, the subsequent **Recalibrating for Results** three year evaluation of the 10 Year Strategy, as well as the **2015 Homeless Count and Subpopulation Survey: Final Report**.

This document provides the results of that workshop as decided by group consensus.

---

**Contents** This document contains the following topics:

<b>Topic</b>	<b>See Page</b>
Context	2
Consensus	3
Resolve	5

---



# Context

**Community Outreach and Education Campaign**

The Stakeholders reviewed the recommendation **Community Outreach and Education Campaign**.

This recommendation is stated in the three year recalibration as follows:

*“Implement a community outreach and education campaign that raises awareness about households at risk of becoming homeless and provides information about resources available through homeless prevention programs. This effort should leverage the 2-1-1 system for easy access where appropriate.”*

**Community Outreach, Engagement, and Treatment for Chronically Homeless Individual and Families**

The Stakeholders reviewed the recommendation **Community Outreach, Engagement, and Treatment for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families**.

This recommendation is stated in the three year recalibration as follows:

*“Identify, engage, house and provide intensive integrated supportive services and treatment to the most vulnerable, visible, and hardest to reach chronically homeless single adults and families who have been living on the streets of San Bernardino County.”*

**Adopt and Implement a Housing First Model**

The Stakeholders also reviewed the overarching/umbrella recommendation to **Adopt and Implement a Housing First Model**. All other recommendations, including **Community Outreach and Education and Engagement and Treatment for Chronically Homeless Individuals and Families**, focus on implementing and supporting a Housing first Model.

This recommendation is stated in the three year recalibration as follows:

*“A Housing First Model will be implemented that will address the needs of individuals and families who are a)chronically homeless; b) temporarily homeless; and c) at risk of becoming homeless.”*



## Consensus Workshop

---

### Overview

To address the recommendations that were set forth for the cities Stakeholders asked to answer the following question:

*How can the cities of San Bernardino County engage and educate the community and engage and treat the homeless members of the community?*

The Stakeholders then participated in a facilitated consensus building process to answer the question. The results of the workshop are listed below.

---

### Raise awareness and recognition of homelessness through education

- Target those at risk of becoming homeless
  - Identify types of homelessness so community understands the issue
  - Create a P.R. piece (including flyers and letters) to educate the community
  - Showcase success
  - Educate community leaders about the availability of or need for resources
  - Teach staff how to communicate with homeless in a positive way
  - Enhance education on homelessness
  - Educate public works department on homeless services
  - Educate community on panhandling
  - Educate public on Housing First initiatives with solid facts and data
  - Utilize schools to educate residents
  - Provide required resources and educations for towns and cities
- 

### Develop resources countywide through collaboration and partnerships

- Develop a resource center
  - Provide bridge housing within each community
  - Form partnerships with faith based organizations
  - Increase partnerships between housing navigators and landlords
  - Provide accessibility to transportation
  - Create countywide transportation program
  - Create foster care for animals while in transition
  - Create mobile laundry services to help address health concerns
  - Implement housing with simple standards
- 

*Continued on next page*



## Consensus Workshop, Continued

---

### **Expand and implement a countywide coordinated entry system**

- Implement a countywide system
  - Decrease wait times for services and between appointments
  - Provide updated and accurate information regarding resources
  - Provide greater access to the Vispidat system
  - Develop centralized access points for city specific needs
  - Create a mandatory program that provides resources and identifies individual underlying causes
  - Develop a system for homeless population to report and provide accountability for services received
- 

### **Secure funding to provide homeless services**

- Get funding
  - Secure financial support
-



## Resolve

---

### Overview

Through the consensus building workshop, the Stakeholders committed to work on the following four (4) goals<sup>1</sup> to engage and educate the community and engage and treat the homeless members of the community:

1. Raise awareness and recognition of homelessness through education
  2. Develop resources countywide through collaboration and partnerships
  3. Expand and implement a countywide coordinated entry system
  4. Secure funding to provide homeless services
- 

### Next Steps

The goals established by the group will be shared with the Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH)

A second session consisting of City Managers has been proposed to provide additional detail and further expand on the goals set forth by the Stakeholders and conduct action planning around those goals.

---

---

<sup>1</sup> Goals are listed here in order of importance as indicated by the group



**County of San Bernardino  
Office of Homeless Services**

303 E. Vanderbilt Way, First Floor • San Bernardino, CA 92415-0026

Phone: (909) 386-8297 • Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: [homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov) • Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/sbchp>

**Homeless Youth Taskforce Report  
Prepared for the Interagency Council On Homelessness**

---

---

Date October 28, 2015

---

Presenter James Ramos, Chair and Brenda Dowdy, Co-Chair, Homeless Youth Task Force

---

Announcements The table below lists the announcements for today's meeting.

<b>Announcements</b>
<b>Homeless Youth Survey</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Members of the Taskforce have completed the report on the recent Unaccompanied Homeless Youth Survey. Changes to the report are being completed by the Office of Homeless Services.</li><li>• The report will be available to present at the October Interagency Council on Homelessness Meeting.</li></ul>
<b>Homeless Youth Taskforce</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The Taskforce will be dark in November to avoid interference with the National Association for the Education of Homeless Children and Youth (NOEHCY)</li><li>• Although the date of the next meeting has not been scheduled, the meeting will take place at the San Bernardino Transitional Age Youth Center (TAY) located at 780 E. Gilbert Street, in San Bernardino.</li></ul>



Interagency Council on Homelessness Bills of Interest
October 28, 2015

Please find below bills of interest introduced for the 2015/16 Legislative Session. October 11 was the deadline for the Governor to sign or veto any bills passed by the legislature. The status of the bills listed below reflects the report date of October 13, 2015. This will be the final report until the legislature reconvenes on January 4, 2016.

AB 35

(Chiu D) Income taxes: credits: low-income housing: allocation increase.
Current Analysis: 09/12/2015 Assembly Floor Analysis (text 9/10/2015)
Status: 10/10/2015-Vetoed by the Governor
Location: 10/10/2015-A. VETOED

Table with 12 columns: Desk, Policy, Fiscal, Floor, Desk, Policy, Fiscal, Floor, Conf. Conc., Enrolled, Vetoe d, Chaptered. Rows for 1st House and 2nd House.

Summary: Would, for calendar years 2016 through 2021, inclusive, would increase the aggregate housing credit dollar amount that may be allocated among low-income housing projects by \$100,000,000, as specified. The bill, under the insurance taxation law, the Personal Income Tax Law, and the Corporation Tax Law, would modify the definition of applicable percentage relating to qualified low-income buildings that meet specified criteria.

Vote Events:

- 09/12/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)
09/11/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:38 N:2 A:0) (P)
08/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)
08/17/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)
07/14/2015 SEN. T. & H. (Y:10 N:0 A:1) (P)
06/04/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)
05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)
05/18/2015 ASM. REV. & TAX. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)
04/15/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

AB 59

(Waldron R) Mental health services: assisted outpatient treatment.
Current Analysis: 04/25/2015 Assembly Judiciary (text 4/20/2015)
Status: 5/1/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was JUD. on 4/28/2015)
Location: 5/1/2015-A. 2 YEAR

Table with 12 columns: Desk, 2 year, Fiscal, Floor, Desk, Policy, Fiscal, Floor, Conf. Conc., Enrolled, Vetoe d, Chaptered. Rows for 1st House and 2nd House.

Summary: Under the Assisted Outpatient Treatment Demonstration Project Act of 2002, known as Laura's Law, participating counties are required to provide prescribed assisted outpatient services, including a service planning and delivery process, that are client-directed and employ psychosocial rehabilitation and recovery principles. Current law authorizes participating counties to pay for the services provided from moneys distributed to the counties from various continuously appropriated funds, including the Local Revenue Fund and the Mental Health Services Fund when included in a county plan, as specified. This bill would delete the January 1, 2017, repeal date of those provisions, thereby extending the program indefinitely, and would also delete the finding requirement described above.

Vote Events:

- 04/28/2015 ASM. JUD. (Y:3 N:4 A:3) (F)
04/14/2015 ASM. HEALTH (Y:16 N:0 A:3) (P)

AB 90

(Chau D) Federal Housing Trust Fund.
Current Analysis: 09/02/2015 Assembly Floor Analysis (text 8/31/2015)
Status: 10/9/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 686, Statutes of 2015.
Location: 10/9/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Table with 12 columns: Desk, Policy, Fiscal, Floor, Desk, Policy, Fiscal, Floor, Conf., Enrolled, Vetoe d, Chaptered.

1st House	2nd House	Conc.		d	
-----------	-----------	-------	--	---	--

Summary: Would designate the Department of Housing and Community Development as the state agency responsible for administering funds received by the state from the federal Housing Trust Fund. This bill would require the department to administer the funds through existing or newly created programs that produce, preserve, rehabilitate, or support the operation of rental housing for extremely low income and very low income households, except that up to 10% of funding may be used to support home ownership for extremely low income and very low income households.

Vote Events:  
 09/03/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 09/02/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 08/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 07/13/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/30/2015 SEN. T. & H. (Y:11 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/04/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 04/15/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

[AB 379](#)

([Gordon](#) D) Foster youth: homeless children or youth: complaint of noncompliance: exemption from local graduation requirements.

Current Analysis: 09/10/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 9/4/2015\)](#)  
 Status: 10/11/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 772, Statutes of 2015.  
 Location: 10/11/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoe d	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law requires certain pupils placed in a licensed children's institution or foster family home to attend programs operated by the local educational agency unless a specified condition applies. Current law authorizes certain foster children living in emergency shelters to receive educational services at the emergency shelter as necessary for short periods of time for specified reasons. Current law requires that all educational and school placement decisions be based on the best interests of the child. This bill would authorize the filing of a complaint of noncompliance with these provisions to be filed with the local educational agency under the Uniform Complaint Procedures set forth in the California Code of Regulations.

Vote Events:  
 09/11/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 09/10/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 08/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 07/06/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/24/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/02/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 03/25/2015 ASM. ED. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)

[AB 388](#)

([Chang](#) R) Housing: veterans: supportive and transitional housing: reports.

Current Analysis: 09/09/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 9/4/2015\)](#)  
 Status: 10/9/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 692, Statutes of 2015.  
 Location: 10/9/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoe d	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: The Department of Housing and Community Development is required to submit an annual report to the Governor and both houses of the Legislature on the operations and accomplishments during the previous fiscal year of the housing programs administered by the department and an evaluation, in collaboration with the Department of Veterans Affairs, of any program established by the department pursuant to the Veterans Housing and Homeless Prevention Act of 2014. This bill would require the evaluation to include information relating to the effectiveness of assisted projects in helping veterans occupying any supportive housing or transitional housing development that was issued funds pursuant to that act, as specified.

Vote Events:  
 09/10/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 09/09/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:39 N:0 A:1) (P)

08/31/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 07/07/2015 SEN. T. & H. (Y:11 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:76 N:0 A:4) (P)  
 05/20/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:15 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 04/28/2015 ASM. V.A. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 04/15/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

[AB 702](#)

([Maienschein](#) R) CalWORKs: temporary shelter assistance.

Current Analysis: 07/06/2015 [Senate Appropriations \(text 2/25/2015\)](#)

Status: 8/28/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(11). (Last location was APPR. on 8/27/2015)

Location: 8/28/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	2 year	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law, with certain exceptions, provides eligible families with homeless assistance, including temporary shelter assistance for one period of up to 16 consecutive calendar days. This bill would eliminate the requirement that the temporary assistance be provided during one period of consecutive days, and instead would limit the temporary assistance to a maximum of 16 calendar days. This bill would require the State Department of Social Services to issue an all-county letter or similar instructions by April 1, 2016, and to adopt regulations to implement the provisions of the bill by July 1, 2017.

Vote Events:

07/06/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/23/2015 SEN. HUMAN S. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/01/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:80 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 04/14/2015 ASM. HUM. S. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

[AB 801](#)

([Bloom](#) D) Postsecondary education: Success for Homeless Youth in Higher Education Act.

Current Analysis: 09/04/2015 [Senate Floor Analyses \(text 9/3/2015\)](#)

Status: 9/11/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(14). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 9/8/2015)

Location: 9/11/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	2 year	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would enact the Success for Homeless Youth in Higher Education Act. The bill would extend a specified referenced priority requirement, with respect to the California State University and community college districts, and would extend the request for the granting of priority, with respect to the University of California, to include homeless youth and former homeless youth, as defined, and extend the operation of this provision until January 1, 2020. To the extent that this provision would impose new duties on community college districts, it would constitute a state-mandated local program.

Vote Events:

08/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 08/17/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 07/08/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:7 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 06/03/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:61 N:13 A:6) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:3 A:2) (P)  
 04/28/2015 ASM. HUM. S. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 04/21/2015 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:11 N:2 A:0) (P)

[AB 870](#)

([Cooley](#) D) Homelessness: rapid rehousing.

Current Analysis: 09/01/2015 [Senate Floor Analyses \(text 9/1/2015\)](#)

Status: 9/11/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(14). (Last location was INACTIVE FILE on 9/9/2015)

Location: 9/11/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	2 year	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
------	--------	--------	-------	------	--------	--------	-----------	----------------	----------	--------	-----------

1st House	2nd House				
-----------	-----------	--	--	--	--

Summary: Would require the Department of Housing and Community Development to establish, upon appropriation of funds in the annual Budget Act, an enhancement program for awarding grants to counties and private nonprofit organizations that operate a rapid rehousing program. The bill would require the department to develop guidelines to select 4 counties and private nonprofit organizations to receive these grant funds and require that eligible counties and private nonprofit organizations include those that are eligible to receive funds from the state pursuant to the Emergency Solutions Grants Program with a demonstrated high funding need.

Vote Events:

08/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:5 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 07/13/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 06/30/2015 SEN. T. & H. (Y:9 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 06/04/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:66 N:12 A:2) (P)  
 05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)  
 04/29/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:5 N:1 A:1) (P)

### [AB 982](#)

([Eggman](#) D) Child care and development: eligibility: homeless children.

Current Analysis: 08/28/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 7/2/2015\)](#)

Status: 10/7/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 567, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 10/7/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoe d	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law requires families to meet certain requirements in order to be eligible for subsidized child development services, including that the family needs child care services because the child is identified by a legal, medical, or social services agency, or emergency shelter as being a recipient of a protective service or being neglected, abused, or exploited, as provided. This bill would expand the list of entities that can identify a child in need to include a local educational agency liaison for homeless children and youths, a Head Start program, or a transitional shelter.

Vote Events:

08/31/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 08/27/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:38 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 07/14/2015 SEN. HUMAN S. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 07/01/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:6 N:0 A:3) (P)  
 05/14/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)  
 05/06/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 04/14/2015 ASM. HUM. S. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

### [AB 1166](#)

([Bloom](#) D) Pupils in foster care: pupils who are homeless children or youth: school transfer: exemption from local graduation requirements.

Current Analysis: 07/08/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 6/29/2015\)](#)

Status: 8/11/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 171, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 8/11/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoe d	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law requires the school district to notify specified individuals, including a pupil in foster care or a pupil who is a homeless child or youth, within 30 calendar days of the date that a pupil who may qualify for the exemption from local graduation requirements transfers into a school, of the availability of the exemption and whether the pupil qualifies for an exemption. This bill would, if the school district fails to provide that notification, declare the effected pupil eligible for the exemption from local graduation requirements once notified, even if that notification is received after the termination of the court's jurisdiction over the pupil or after the pupil is no longer a homeless child or youth, as applicable, if the pupil otherwise qualifies for the exemption.

Vote Events:

07/09/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 07/06/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:39 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 06/22/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 06/10/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 04/30/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:77 N:0 A:3) (P)  
 04/22/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/08/2015 ASM. ED. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

[AB 1225](#)

([Weber](#) D) Housing: former nonminor dependents: homeless youth.

Status: 5/1/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was HUM. S. on 3/23/2015)

Location: 5/1/2015-A. 2 YEAR

Desk	2 year	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law makes transitional housing available to any foster child who is at least 16 years of age and not more than 18 years of age who is eligible for AFDC-FC benefits, any nonminor dependent who is eligible for AFDC-FC benefits, and any former foster youth who is at least 18 years of age and not more than 24 years of age who has exited from the foster care system and has elected to participate in the Transitional Housing Program-Plus, as defined, if he or she has not received services pursuant to these provisions for more than a total of 24 months. This bill would additionally make transitional housing available to any former nonminor dependent with special needs and any homeless youth.

[AB 1228](#)

([Gipson](#) D) Public postsecondary education: campus housing: priority for homeless youth.

Current Analysis: 09/08/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 9/2/2015\)](#)

Status: 10/7/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 571, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 10/7/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law requests the Regents of the University of California and the Trustees of the California State University to explore methods of using the admissions-by-exemption category to assist the transition of students in foster care into 4-year public institutions of higher education. This bill would request the regents and the trustees to provide that assistance to students who are homeless youth.

Vote Events:

09/09/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:75 N:2 A:3) (P)

09/08/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)

06/24/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)

05/14/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:76 N:2 A:2) (P)

05/06/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:16 N:1 A:0) (P)

04/21/2015 ASM. HIGHER ED. (Y:12 N:1 A:0) (P)

[AB 1335](#)

([Atkins](#) D) Building Homes and Jobs Act.

Current Analysis: 06/03/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 6/3/2015\)](#)

Status: 6/4/2015-Assembly Rule 69(d) suspended. (Page 1903.)

Location: 6/3/2015-A. THIRD READING

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would enact the Building Homes and Jobs Act. The bill would make legislative findings and declarations relating to the need for establishing permanent, ongoing sources of funding dedicated to affordable housing development. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

06/03/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:48 N:26 A:6) (P)

05/28/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:4 A:1) (P)

04/29/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:5 N:1 A:1) (P)

[AB 1403](#)

([Maienschein](#) R) Housing: joint powers agreement.

Current Analysis: 07/10/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 6/25/2015\)](#)

Status: 8/12/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 188, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 8/12/2015-A. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would provide that, notwithstanding any other provision of the Joint Exercise of Powers Act, a private, nonprofit corporation that provides services to homeless persons or for the prevention of homelessness may form a joint powers agency or enter into a joint powers agreement with a public agency for the purpose of providing frequent user coordinated care housing services, defined by to mean housing combined with other supportive services, as defined, for homeless persons identified by a city or county as the most costly, frequent users of publicly funded emergency services.

Vote Events:

07/13/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 07/09/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:39 N:0 A:1) (P)  
 06/16/2015 SEN. T. & H. (Y:11 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 05/22/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:74 N:0 A:6) (P)  
 05/13/2015 ASM. L. GOV. (Y:9 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 04/29/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)

### [SB 7](#)

([Wolk](#) D) Housing: water meters: multiunit structures.

Current Analysis: 09/04/2015 [Assembly Floor Analysis \(text 9/4/2015\)](#)

Status: 9/11/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(14). (Last location was UNFINISHED BUSINESS on 9/8/2015)

Location: 9/11/2015-A. 2 YEAR

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	2 year	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would express the intent of the Legislature to encourage the conservation of water in multifamily residential rental buildings through means either within the landlord's or the tenant's control, and to ensure that the practices involving the submetering of dwelling units for water service are just and reasonable, and include appropriate safeguards for both tenants and landlords. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

09/08/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:29 N:28 A:23) (F)  
 08/27/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:4 A:1) (P)  
 07/14/2015 ASM. W.,P. & W. (Y:10 N:4 A:1) (P)  
 06/17/2015 ASM. H. & C.D. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)  
 05/04/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:28 N:7 A:4) (P)  
 04/27/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:4 N:1 A:2) (P)

### [SB 46](#)

([Roth](#) D) Veterans housing.

Status: 5/15/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was RLS. on 1/15/2015)

Location: 5/15/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	2 year	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law authorizes the Department of Veterans Affairs to establish a pilot project for the purpose of establishing a cooperative housing project for veterans and their families. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those provisions.

### [SB 130](#)

([Roth](#) D) Veterans: mental health.

Current Analysis: 04/27/2015 [Senate Veterans Affairs \(text 4/16/2015\)](#)

Status: 5/1/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was V. A. on 4/22/2015)

Location: 5/1/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	2 year	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would require the California Housing Finance Agency, the Department of Housing and Community Development, and the Department of Veterans Affairs to establish a grant process to provide grants to entities that provide supportive services as part of housing programs established under the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Act of 2014. The bill would provide that implementation of this grant process would be subject to appropriation by the Legislature.

[SB 145](#)

(Pan D) Robert F. Kennedy Farm Workers Medical Plan.

Current Analysis: 09/11/2015 [Assembly Appropriations \(text 9/10/2015\)](#)

Status: 10/9/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 712, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 10/9/2015-S. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would require, until January 1, 2021, the State Department of Health Care Services to annually reimburse the Robert F. Kennedy Farm Workers Medical Plan up to \$3,000,000 per year for claim payments that exceed \$70,000 made by the plan on behalf of an eligible employee or dependent for a single episode of care on or after September 1, 2016. The bill would require the department to make the reimbursement payment within 60 days after it receives specified claims data from the plan.

Vote Events:

09/11/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:11 N:5 A:1) (P)

09/11/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:51 N:28 A:1) (P)

09/11/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:26 N:14 A:0) (P)

09/09/2015 ASM. HEALTH (Y:12 N:4 A:3) (P)

08/31/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:52 N:27 A:1) (P)

08/26/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:12 N:5 A:0) (P)

07/14/2015 ASM. JUD. (Y:8 N:2 A:0) (P)

06/23/2015 ASM. HEALTH (Y:13 N:5 A:1) (P)

05/26/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:21 N:15 A:3) (P)

04/28/2015 SEN. JUD. (Y:4 N:1 A:2) (P)

04/22/2015 SEN. HEALTH (Y:5 N:2 A:2) (P)

[SB 214](#)

(Berryhill R) Foster care services.

Status: 5/15/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was RLS. on 2/26/2015)

Location: 5/15/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	2 year	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law declares the intent of the Legislature to preserve and strengthen a child's family ties whenever possible, removing the child from the custody of his or her parents only when necessary for his or her welfare or for the safety and protection of the public. Current law includes various provisions relating to appropriate placement and other services for children in foster care. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to provisions relating to foster children.

[SB 252](#)

(Leno D) Pupils: diploma alternatives: fees.

Current Analysis: 09/02/2015 [Senate Floor Analyses \(text 8/28/2015\)](#)

Status: 9/30/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 384, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 9/30/2015-S. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Current law requires the State Board of Education to award a certificate of proficiency to persons who demonstrate proficiency in basic skills taught in public high schools verified according to specified criteria. Current law requires the department to develop standards of competency in basic skills taught in public high schools and to provide for the administration of examinations prepared by, or with the approval of, the department to verify competency. Current law authorizes the department to charge a fee for each examination application. This bill would prohibit the department from charging the fee to a homeless child or youth who is under 25 years of age and can verify his or her status as a homeless child or youth.

Vote Events:

09/03/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:38 N:0 A:2) (P)

09/02/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:78 N:0 A:2) (P)

08/27/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:17 N:0 A:0) (P)

07/15/2015 ASM. ED. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

06/03/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)

05/28/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)

05/04/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

03/25/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:0) (P)

[SB 445](#)

(Liu D) Pupil instruction and services: homeless children: foster children.

Current Analysis: 08/21/2015 [Senate Floor Analyses \(text 7/9/2015\)](#)

Status: 9/9/2015-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 289, Statutes of 2015.

Location: 9/9/2015-S. CHAPTERED

Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would require a local educational agency serving a homeless child, once a child becomes a homeless child, to allow the homeless child to continue his or her education in the school of origin through the duration of the homelessness, and would set forth related requirements governing the enrollment of homeless children. By imposing additional duties on local educational agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

Vote Events:

08/27/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:40 N:0 A:0) (P)

08/20/2015 ASM. FLOOR (Y:79 N:0 A:1) (P)

07/15/2015 ASM. APPR. (Y:16 N:0 A:1) (P)

07/01/2015 ASM. ED. (Y:6 N:0 A:1) (P)

05/18/2015 SEN. FLOOR (Y:36 N:0 A:3) (P)

05/04/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/08/2015 SEN. ED. (Y:8 N:0 A:0) (P)

[SB 608](#)

(Liu D) Homelessness.

Current Analysis: 04/02/2015 [Senate Transportation And Housing \(text 2/27/2015\)](#)

Status: 5/1/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was T. & H. on 3/12/2015)

Location: 5/1/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	2 year	Fiscal	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would enact the Right to Rest Act, which would afford persons experiencing homelessness the right to use public space without discrimination based on their housing status. Because the bill would require local agencies to perform additional duties, it would impose a state-mandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[SB 636](#)

(Liu D) Homeless youth: basic material needs assistance.

Current Analysis: 05/04/2015 [Senate Appropriations \(text 4/23/2015\)](#)

Status: 5/29/2015-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 5/28/2015)

Location: 5/29/2015-S. 2 YEAR

Desk	Policy	2 year	Floor	Desk	Policy	Fiscal	Floor	Conf. Conc.	Enrolled	Vetoed	Chaptered
1st House				2nd House							

Summary: Would create the Homeless Youth Basic Material Needs Assistance Program. This bill would require that moneys appropriated by the Legislature for the purposes of the program be allocated proportionally by the State Department of Social Services to each county program based on the number of homeless youth in the county. The bill would authorize a county to establish the program or request the department to administer the program, in which case the department would be required to retain the county's proportional share of funds and directly administer a program in that county.

Vote Events:

05/04/2015 SEN. APPR. (Y:7 N:0 A:0) (P)

04/21/2015 SEN. HUMAN S. (Y:5 N:0 A:0) (P)









# ICH REPORT



**DATE:** October 2015

**PHONE:** (909) 387-4565

**PRESENTED BY: KENT PAXTON**

Homeless Policy Advisor, Fifth District

---

**SUBJECT: ICH Related Board Items**

---

<http://cob-sire.sbcounty.gov/sirepub/>

## **Board Agenda Items**

10/06/2015

18. Department of Behavioral Health – This item is a **CONTRACT WITH VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA, SOUTHWEST FOR SOBERING CENTER SERVICES** in the amount of \$1,828,849, for the period of October 6, 2015 through June 30, 2018, for Sobering Center Services.

Background: Volunteers of America (VOA) will provide Sobering Center services countywide. Initially, there will be one location, in the West Valley/Central Valley region, with the specific site to be determined mutually between VOA and DBH upon approval of the contract. Sobering Center services are diversion services offered to inebriated adults in lieu of being incarcerated. Sobering Centers are designed to provide short-term stay services in a clean, safe environment to allow the effects of chemical intoxicants to subside and allow the adult time to regain a minimal level of functionality. In addition, adults staying at the center will have the opportunity to receive a screening and referral to other substance use disorder services to meet their individual needs. It is anticipated that VOA will provide Sobering Center services to approximately 4,332 adults annually at an estimated cost of \$148 per adult.

Financial Impact: The total contracted amount of \$1,828,849 is funded through Driving Under the Influence fines and 2011 Realignment.

Presenter: CaSonya Thomas, Director

10/20/2015

17. Department of Behavioral Health – This item is a **NON-FINANCIAL MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR HOUSING AND SUPPORT SERVICES – SIENNA APARTMENTS PROJECT**, between the Department of Behavioral Health, Fontana Sienna Partners, LP and ConAm Management, Inc.

Background: The Sienna Apartments, located in the City of Fontana, offers 55 units of affordable housing available through Fontana Sienna Partners, LP, of which 15 of the 55 units will be leased to eligible DBH clients whose household income does not exceed 30 percent of the area median income. ConAm as the property manager, will have the primary responsibility of managing the housing project. Under the proposed non-financial MOU, the County will provide supportive services to eligible tenants of the Sienna Apartments project through DBH's Full Service Partnership (FSP) clinics, Mesa Counseling and Mariposa Counseling. As required by MHSAs Housing Policy, a 20-year MOU must be in place 120 days before occupancy. Upon Board of Supervisors (Board) approval, occupancy is expected to take place on February 3, 2016.

The MHSAs Housing Program provides funding exclusively for the development of permanent supportive housing for individuals with serious mental illness who are homeless or at risk of homelessness and who meet the MHSAs Housing Program target population description.

Financial Impact: Supportive services are 100% funded by DBH's existing MHSAs Community Services and Supports allocations.

Presenter: CaSonya Thomas, Director

20. County Administrative Office – This item is for the **HOMELESS VETERAN HOUSING INITIATIVE** to approve the allocation of \$248,300 of Discretionary General Funding to augment existing resources for homeless Veterans as follows:

1. Department of Community Development & Housing in the amount of \$148,300 to support temporary and permanent housing solutions for homeless Veterans; and, on behalf of the Housing Authority of San Bernardino County to establish the Repair Guarantee Program Fund for Veteran Housing, in the amount of \$100,000, to be approved by the Board at a later date.
2. Authorize the use of up to \$78,000 in existing County Housing Funds, and \$5,800 in ESG funds to use in support of efforts to house homeless veterans.
3. Approve Amendment No. 1 to the following contracts, effective October 20, 2015 increasing contract amounts for the provision of additional services related to the Homeless Veteran Housing Initiative, with no change to the termination date of June 30, 2016: A) Community Action Partnership, \$230,050, and B) Family Service Association of Redlands, Inc., \$230,050.

Presenter: Gregory C. Devereaux, Chief Executive Officer

65. Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino – This item is the **COMMITMENT OF EIGHT PROJECT-BASED VOUCHERS TO SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE IVY AT COLLEGE PARK II FAMILY APARTMENTS, CHINO** for a term of 15 years with an automatic 15-year renewal, for a total term of 30 years, subject to federal government appropriation.

Background: BHC College Park II, L.P., also known as Bridge Housing, a non-profit affordable housing developer was selected to develop affordable multi-family housing as part of a larger master planned community in the City of Chino. The master planned community includes market rate single family homes for sale, and market rate multi-family development. In July 2014, Bridge Housing and HACSB negotiated the commitment of eight Project-Based Vouchers for Phase II of the Ivy at College Park development. This is an important collaboration which provides housing assistance to residents in the higher cost western end of the County.

Financial Impact: Funding for Project Based Housing Choice Vouchers is budgeted and available within the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino's regular annual allocation of subsidies under the Housing Choice Voucher program administered by HACSB on behalf of HUD.

Presenter: Daniel J. Nackerman, Executive Director

<b>Report</b>	The purpose of this document is to present the Office of Homeless Services on activities from the San Bernardino County Reentry Collaborative (SBCRC).
<b>Date</b>	October 28, 2015
<b>Presenter</b>	Jose Marin, Special Projects Coordinator, Department of Public Health
<b>Announcements</b>	The table below lists the announcements for today's meeting.

<p><b>September 2015 Reentry Meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No meeting held in September</li> </ul> <p><b>October 2015 Reentry Meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Topics centered on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Labor Laws for Reentry Individuals by US Dept. of Labor</li> <li>Inland Empire Fair Chance Coalition</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>October 2015 Workgroup meeting</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No workgroup meeting held in October</li> </ul>
<p><b>2014 Annual Report</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2014 Reentry Collaborative Annual Report is out</li> <li>It can be downloaded at: <a href="http://cms.sbcounty.gov/sbcrc/Resources.aspx">http://cms.sbcounty.gov/sbcrc/Resources.aspx</a> under the column Data &amp; Reports</li> </ul>
<p><b>BRIDGES Update</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct Services for Reentry Participants ceased on 7/31 due to end of pilot project</li> <li>Data has been submitted to independent evaluator (CSUSB)</li> <li>BRIDGES Final Evaluation Report is due on October 31<sup>st</sup>.</li> <li><b>**UPDATED RECIDIVISM RATE**</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Final Recidivism Rate is 11.5% (9 individuals)</li> <li>Update due to revised information</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Community Recidivism Reduction Program (CRRP)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Public Health is overseeing a Recidivism Reduction Program funded by the California's Bureau of State &amp; Community Corrections (BSCC). Public Health finished its RFA process and was able to contract with 10 community- and faith-based organizations to provide recidivism reduction services that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Self-help groups</li> <li>Anger management</li> <li>Employment and Education Services</li> <li>Referral Services</li> <li>Juvenile/mentoring Services, and</li> <li>Basic life skills services</li> </ul> </li> <li>8 of the 10 selected providers are new vendors to the county.</li> <li>Goal of the program is to reduce recidivism rates in our community by using local community- and faith-based organizations that have been providing services to the population for at least 5 years.</li> </ul>

For more information, please contact Jose Marin at [jose.marin@dph.sbcounty.gov](mailto:jose.marin@dph.sbcounty.gov).

Please visit our website at [www.sbcounty.gov/sbcrc](http://www.sbcounty.gov/sbcrc)

## Minutes for San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH)

September 23, 2015  
9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.  
Department of Behavioral Health-Training Institute  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way  
San Bernardino, CA 92415

### Minutes Recorded and Transcribed by Amy Edwards, Secretary I, Office of Homeless Services

TOPIC	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Call to Order	Supervisor Gonzalez, Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The meeting was called to order at 9:03 a.m.</li> </ul>
Introductions	Supervisor Gonzalez, Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Introductions were made by all ICH Members. Guests were also invited to introduce themselves.</li> </ul>
REPORTS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Homeless Provider Network (HPN)	Sharon Green	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The quarterly Homeless Provider Network (HPN) meeting was September 16, 2015. Karen Young-Lowe presented a presentation on the national goal to end homelessness by December 31, 2015. San Bernardino County in collaboration with Riverside County is make great strides to reach our goal. The counties take down number was 401 and we are currently at 221.</li> <li>The County Board of Supervisors and the Chief Executive Officer has made a special commitment to provide additional resources to end homelessness. The HPN has also agreed to provide additional resources such as bridge housing and other available resources to help reach this objective.</li> <li>Gary Madden and Ron Griffin gave an update on the Coordinated Entry System (CES). The pilot program that focused on ending homelessness for veterans has concluded. Statistical data will be presented at a later time. We were made aware of the gaps in services and how HPN can help with that.</li> <li>Don Smith introduced the FLASH (Fast Linkage and Secure Housing) project. HPN chairs have been meeting to discuss the process of coordinated case review and service interventions to support the development of San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership CES.</li> <li>Steering committee updates: The Homeless Partnership CES establishes the process to coordinate service provider's efforts and resources. Our focus is on households with children that fall into the four categories of HUD's definition of homelessness.</li> <li>The Steering Committee has been meeting to collaborate on what system changes need to be made and what they need to do. They introduced case management case conferencing to the regional areas to streamline access to referrals to services and housing. Don Smith will take the lead to make sure are leads in the area are educated on the process to ensure all people experiencing a housing crises have fair and equal access.</li> <li>The quarterly HPN meeting took place and it was the first time they had a quorum in months. They discussed and voted on amending the by-laws regarding a quorum. They voted that a quorum may be established with only 25% of the members in attendance. They will meet again in December.</li> <li>Had a meeting with the Mayor of Adelanto on 10/1 to discuss the homeless issue and the re-entry population which will impact the high desert.</li> </ul>
Office of Homeless Services (OHS)	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supportive Services for Veterans and Families funding awards for 2015 were announced on September 14, 2015. The following</li> </ul>

		<p>agencies received awards:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Us Vets Initiative received \$1.6 million</li> <li>➤ Knowledge and education for Your Success received \$619,133.88</li> <li>➤ Lighthouse Social Services received \$565,284</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upland Project Connect is scheduled for October 7, 2015 from 10 a.m. – 3:00 p.m. at Memorial Park in Upland; the address is 1100 E Foothill Blvd., Upland, CA. There will be over 40 vendors there providing screenings, veteran services, housing information, and much more. Please come out and join us.</li> <li>• The 2016 Point-In-Time Count (PITC) has tentatively been scheduled for January 28, 2016 from 6-10 a.m. (pending ICH's approval on today's consent calendar). There will be an additional youth count that same afternoon. The Office of Homeless Services (OHS) has contacted all cities involved via letter raising awareness of the 2016 point in time count. Trainings will be announced as we get closer to January.</li> <li>• The 2016 PITC is non-mandated by HUD. However by completing the 2016 count HUD will award the Continuum of Care (CoC) 2 points which can make a huge difference.</li> <li>• The 9<sup>th</sup> annual Homeless Summit will be held November 4, 2015 at the Ontario Convention Center (2000 E. Convention Way) from 10:00 a.m. – 3:30 p.m... This year's theme is "Visioning for the Future."</li> <li>• Homeless and Policy Related news, on September 15, 2015 the Department of Education reported that the population of homeless students has continued to rise six years in a row. In 2013/2014 there were 1.36 million students reported as homeless which was an 8.2% increase from the previous year. Much of this is from the homeless student population due to the increase of students living doubled up, in motels/hotels or precariously.</li> </ul>
Bylaws and Membership Committee	Chris Rymer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recently revised the application for the upcoming positions. We expect the applications to go out today 9/23/15 from the OHS via email. There are two memberships for which ICH is currently seeking applications. One for hospitals and one for universities.</li> </ul>
Housing Committee	Don Smith	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The housing committee is recommending that ICH work with the Partnership to engage private market property owners. We are developing a campaign to reach out to the Apartment Association of the Greater Inland Empire with strategies to encourage rental owners to provide more housing opportunities to households engage with our service providers.</li> <li>• We are also working with corporations with supportive housing on training education activities for rental property owners and conducting surveys with property owners and manger's to identify challenges and tenant issues or concerns we can work to address.</li> <li>• Recommending that ICH request county departments explore opportunities to create tax and other incentives for private market property owners to provide affordable housing options for low income households.</li> <li>• Recommending we develop a joint certified tenant education project. Looking for someone to take the lead on that.</li> <li>• We want to make sure any opportunities for funding be sent out to the OHS listserv mailing network so all local providers are aware of every opportunity out there for pursuing housing resources.</li> <li>• As we look for new members I continue to stress that we should look into bringing in someone from the private market rental industry.</li> <li>• Wants to recognize Kim Carter for being recognized as one of CNN's Heroes. Time for Change will be having a celebration on October 8, 2015. Fliers will be sent out via email.</li> </ul>

Homeless Youth Task Force	John Futch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Homeless youth survey - members of the Homeless Youth Taskforce indicated more time was needed to review the unaccompanied homeless youth survey report. Changes to the report are being completed in cooperation with the OHS. The report will be available to present at the October ICH meeting.</li> <li>• The Homeless Youth Taskforce will go dark the month of September. Their next meeting will be Wednesday October 21, 2015, from 3-4:30 p.m. at the San Bernardino Transitional Age Youth Center (700 E. Gilbert St., San Bernardino, CA).</li> </ul>
Legislative Reports	Otis Greer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In the legislative report there is a list of bills that may be important to the ICH committee. There are eight bills enrolled, bills that were passed by both houses and are on the governor's desk awaiting signature. He has until October 11, 2015 to make decision to sign or veto these bills.</li> <li>• The enrolled bills include AB88 by member Chang. Given this bodies commitment to end veterans homelessness, this bill requires the House of Redevelopment in conjunction with Cal Vet to provide additional information for the annual report as to the effectiveness of the programs being provided for housing for our homeless veterans.</li> <li>• Chapter Bill signed by the governor, SB455 by Member Lieu extends a policy that is used for foster children to homeless children. It allows them to stay in their enrolled school of origin throughout their duration of homelessness.</li> </ul>
Board Agenda Review Report	Kent Paxton	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One item of interest from last meeting on August 25<sup>th</sup> – Amendment to the contract for the Institute for Urban Initiatives, for the good work Joe Colletti is doing to assist in our goal of ending veteran homelessness his contract was bumped up \$138,000.</li> </ul>
Reentry Collaborative Report	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Last meeting was held on August 28, 2015 from 9:30-11:00. A presentation was given by Armando Chavez on the Department of Behavioral health Reentry Services and their collaboration with the Department of Probation.</li> <li>• Another presentation was given by Julie Burnette from the Supportive Services for Veteran and Families, Knowledge and Education for Success program, which entailed the actions of the Homeless Veteran Community Planning Group and the efforts being taken underway by the Advisory Group established by the Board of Supervisors.</li> <li>• They have had several work group meetings where they continue to discuss employment and the reentry population. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➢ Focus on barriers for those formally incarcerated</li> <li>➢ Types of best practices they can deploy for future services</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The 2014 Reentry Collaborative Annual report is out and can be downloaded at <a href="http://cms.sbcounty.gov/sbcr/Resources.aspx">http://cms.sbcounty.gov/sbcr/Resources.aspx</a></li> <li>• Bridges updates: The pilot program has officially ended as of July 31, 2015. Data has been submitted to an independent evaluator at California State University, San Bernardino. The final evaluation report is due to the Department of Justice by October 31, 2015.</li> <li>• The final Recidivism is at 11.5% (9 individuals left the program).</li> </ul>
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino	Dan Nackerman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We have been busy actively housing homeless veterans, in leaking the numbers a day early we started out at 401 and as of 9/29/15 we are under 200.</li> <li>• We applied for some support money for vouchers and should hear any day on that.</li> <li>• We have designated 60 project base vouchers primarily designated to help the homeless veteran count. We designated 30 units in Barstow to temporarily house veterans while they are searching for permanent housing.</li> <li>• We received an additional \$619,133 in Veterans Affairs (VA) funding. We purchased a building through one of our non-profits. It's a 25 unit building that is a half block from the VA in Loma Linda. It is designated for homeless veterans.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We have engaged with the California Apartment Association of the Inland Empire to become a private sector partner in helping to house homeless vets.</li> <li>In working with the Urban Initiatives we have secured two additional positions to provide housing navigation services to veterans with Veteran Affairs Supportive Housing Vouchers.</li> </ul>
<b>CONSENT ITEMS</b>	<b>PRESENTER</b>	
<p>Approve minutes of the August 26, 2015 ICH meeting</p> <p>Approve January 28, 2016 as the date for the 2016 Point-In-Time Count</p>	Supervisor Gonzales, Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consent items of the agenda were approved as written.</li> </ul>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>PRESENTER</b>	<b>ACTION/OUTCOME</b>
Adopt CoC reallocation recommendations	Tom Hernandez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The NoFA was released late Thursday night. The application is now open. HUD has given us a deadline of October 20, 2015</li> <li>We will be releasing the proposal any day; tentatively it will be released Thursday September 24, 2015.</li> <li>The reason we are looking at the reallocation this year is because we have one opportunity to establish a CES. This has not been allowed since 2012 and we are not sure if it will be available next year.</li> <li>In June, ICH tasked the OHS to look at the correct funds available and asked for our recommendation on what it would take to successfully establish a CES.</li> <li>We looked at every single agency that was up for renewal along with the \$7,415,245.00 budget HUD approved and figured we would need to free up about \$400,000.00 to effectively create an entry system.</li> <li>We have two successful partners that looked at their budget and determined they could invest in our project and still effectively serve their clients. The Housing Authority for the County of San Bernardino was able to free up approximately \$324,000 and US Vets was able to free up \$52,000.</li> <li>We are asking ICH to approve the redirect of funds in the amount of \$403,137 to establish a functioning CES for our geographic region and approve the OHS request to allow 2-1-1 to be the sole source applicant for the submission of the year's CoC application for the creation of the Coordinated Entry System.</li> <li>The motion to adopt the CoC reallocation of funds as recommended was so ordered.</li> </ul>

PRESENTATION	PRESENTER	
New Age/Tech and the Homeless	Gem Montes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ms. Montes presented on New Age/Technology and the Homeless. The following is a synopsis of the presentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Free phones with free texting are available to low income friends</li> <li>90-98% of text messages are read in the first three minutes</li> <li>70.7% of homeless people have cell phones versus 85.9% of people in stable housing</li> <li>Eight out of every eleven homeless people own a phone</li> <li>Using social media and other technical means is critical. You can post relevant information, job opportunities, relevant services, bilingual options, and housing information.</li> <li>You can collect data to accurately establish what services are needed in any one geographic area.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
COUNCIL ROUNDTABLE	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None to report</li> </ul>
Adjournment	Supervisor Gonzales, Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 11:09 a.m.</li> </ul>
Next Meeting		<p style="text-align: center;">Wednesday, October 28, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.  Department of Behavioral Health Administration Building, Rooms 109A and 109B  303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415</p>

**Office of Homeless Services**  
**303 E. Vanderbilt Way ▪ San Bernardino, CA 92415**  
**Phone: (909) 386-8297 ▪ Fax: (909) 890-0868**  
**Email: [homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfrp@hss.sbcounty.gov) ▪ Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/>**

**Attendees at August 26, 2015 • Interagency Council on Homelessness**

ARMENTA	ENRIQUE	Congressman Pete Aguilar	909-890-4445	<a href="mailto:Enrique.armenta@mail.house.gov">Enrique.armenta@mail.house.gov</a>
BJORK	JULIE	Housing Director	909-395-2307	<a href="mailto:bjork@ci.ontario.ca.us">bjork@ci.ontario.ca.us</a>
BORING	CONSTANCE	Department of Rehabilitation	951-782-6650	<a href="mailto:Constance.L.Boring@dor.ca.gov">Constance.L.Boring@dor.ca.gov</a>
BURGUAN	SHELBY	City of San Bernardino	909623-2273	<a href="mailto:sburquan@sbrda.org">sburquan@sbrda.org</a>
CISNEROS	SHARON	Finance Manager – Town of Yucca Valley	760-369-7207 x229	<a href="mailto:scisneros@YUCCA-VALLEY.ORG">scisneros@YUCCA-VALLEY.ORG</a>
CONNER	JUDY	Mercy House	909-641-3216	<a href="mailto:judyc@mercyhouse.net">judyc@mercyhouse.net</a>
DAVIS	LAURA	Probation Department	909-382-7869	
DIAZ	MARGARET	A Better Way Domestic Violence	760-955-8010	<a href="mailto:abwdomesticviolence@yahoo.com">abwdomesticviolence@yahoo.com</a>
DICKEY	TAMMY	Office of Disaster and Safety	909-388-0875	<a href="mailto:tdickey@dbh.sbcounty.gov">tdickey@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>
EDWARDS	AMY	Office of Homeless Services	909-386-8297	<a href="mailto:AEdwards@dbh.sbcounty.gov">AEdwards@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>
ESCALANTE	JOSEPHINE	VA Loma Linda	909-825-7084	<a href="mailto:Josephine.escalante@va.gov">Josephine.escalante@va.gov</a>
FAWCETT	AMY	Executive Director	949-923-9545	<a href="mailto:afawcett@lsscommunitycare.org">afawcett@lsscommunitycare.org</a>
FAZEKAS	DOUG	Department of Behavioral Health	909-421-9451	<a href="mailto:dfazekas@dbh.sbcounty.gov">dfazekas@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>

FLORES	ROSIE	CAP	951-220-1671	<a href="mailto:Roseflores.cap@gmail.com">Roseflores.cap@gmail.com</a>
FUENTES	DENA	Economic Development Agency	909-387-9804	<a href="mailto:dfuentes@rda.sbcounty.gov">dfuentes@rda.sbcounty.gov</a>
GAEDE	JOHN	Vet Rep Assembly Brown 47 <sup>th</sup> District	909-381-3238	<a href="mailto:Jon.Gaede@asm.ca.gov">Jon.Gaede@asm.ca.gov</a>
GOMEZ	ART	DEO, County of San Bernardino	909-388-0252	<a href="mailto:gomeza@hss.sbcounty.gov">gomeza@hss.sbcounty.gov</a>
GONZALES	JOSIE	Board of Supervisors – Fifth District	909-387-4565	<a href="mailto:jgonzales@bos.sbcounty.gov">jgonzales@bos.sbcounty.gov</a>
GRAHAM	YVONNE	Program Director	909-793-2673	<a href="mailto:Yvonne@redlandsfamilyservice.org">Yvonne@redlandsfamilyservice.org</a>
GREEN	SHARON	Victor Valley Family Resource Center		
GRIFFIN	RON	Consultant	909-841-6001	<a href="mailto:askrongriffin@msn.com">askrongriffin@msn.com</a>
GUEVARA	FRANK	Director – Veterans Affairs	909-387-5527	<a href="mailto:frank.quevara@va.sbcounty.gov">frank.quevara@va.sbcounty.gov</a>
HARRISON	HEATHER	Project Manager	717-348-5349	<a href="mailto:heather@realtor.org">heather@realtor.org</a>
HAUGAN	LINDA	Asst. Executive Officer- Human Services Department	909-387-4717	<a href="mailto:lhaugan@hss.sbcounty.gov">lhaugan@hss.sbcounty.gov</a>
HERNANDEZ	TOM	Office of Homeless Services	909-386-8208	<a href="mailto:thernandez@dbh.sbcounty.gov">thernandez@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>
JACKSON	ELIZABETH	VPWC	949-278-7099	<a href="mailto:ejscouter@gmail.com">ejscouter@gmail.com</a>
JEWETT	SARA	Supervising Public Defender	909-387-8373	<a href="mailto:sara.jewett@pd.sbcounty.gov">sara.jewett@pd.sbcounty.gov</a>
KIRKLAND	ELIZABETH	Valley Star Behavioral Health	760-820-4714	<a href="mailto:ekirkland@starsinc.com">ekirkland@starsinc.com</a>
LAWSON	DESIREE	City of Rancho Cucamonga	909-477-2700	<a href="mailto:Desiree.Lawson@cityofrc.us">Desiree.Lawson@cityofrc.us</a>
MADDEN	GARY	2-1-1	909-980-2857 x211	<a href="mailto:gmadden@ieuw.org">gmadden@ieuw.org</a>
MARQUEZ	DANNY	Veterans Partnering with Communities	951-509-1439	<a href="mailto:dmarquez1111@aol.com">dmarquez1111@aol.com</a>
MARTINEZ	ENRIQUE	VA Loma Linda	909-825-7084	<a href="mailto:Enrique.Martinez13@va.gov">Enrique.Martinez13@va.gov</a>
MCCOY	ROBERT	SBCSD – Hope Team	909-387-0436	<a href="mailto:mccoy@sbcscd.org">mccoy@sbcscd.org</a>
MONTES	GEM			
MYLES	VICTOR	Vision of Hope	909-386-1620	<a href="mailto:vmyles@visionofhope.org">vmyles@visionofhope.org</a>
NACKERMAN	DAN	Housing Authority	909-890-0644	<a href="mailto:dnackerman@hacsb.com">dnackerman@hacsb.com</a>
NELSON	STEFANY	KEYS	909-334-2228	<a href="mailto:snelson@keysnonprofit.org">snelson@keysnonprofit.org</a>
PACHECO	JENNIFER	Department of Behavioral Health	909-421-4687	<a href="mailto:jpacheco@dbh.sbcounty.gov">jpacheco@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>
PAXTON	KENT	Mayor's Office - City of San Bernardino	909-384-5133	<a href="mailto:paxton_ke@sbcity.org">paxton_ke@sbcity.org</a>
PERKINS	LOIS	Life Community Development	760-246-0691	<a href="mailto:jazzlewis@aim.com">jazzlewis@aim.com</a>
PERRY	SYLVIA	Secretary, ITR	909-910-4676	<a href="mailto:Slyperry1966@gmail.com">Slyperry1966@gmail.com</a>
RYMER	CHRIS	City of Colton	909-372-6172	<a href="mailto:crymer@ci.colton.ca.us">crymer@ci.colton.ca.us</a>
SHORETT	FRED	4 <sup>TH</sup> Ward Councilmember, City of San Bernardino	909-384-5178	<a href="mailto:Shorett_Fr@sbcity.org">Shorett_Fr@sbcity.org</a>
SMITH	DON	Creating Community Solutions		<a href="mailto:donsmithsolutions@outlook.com">donsmithsolutions@outlook.com</a>
THOMAS	CASONYA	Department of Behavioral Health – Director	909-388-0820	<a href="mailto:cthomas@dbh.sbcounty.gov">cthomas@dbh.sbcounty.gov</a>
TRISTAN	ROBERT	Foothill Family Shelter	909-920-0453	<a href="mailto:robert@foothillfamilyshelter.org">robert@foothillfamilyshelter.org</a>
VARELA	ALICE		562-881-6573	<a href="mailto:avarela@skipwithus.org">avarela@skipwithus.org</a>
VISSER	WYTSKE	Inland Valley Hope Partners	909-622-3806	<a href="mailto:wytске@inlandvalleyhopepartners.org">wytске@inlandvalleyhopepartners.org</a>
WATKINS	DEBRA	NECON Inc.	714-654-8078	<a href="mailto:d.watkins@neconinc.info">d.watkins@neconinc.info</a>
YOUNG	BRUCE	Project Faith, Hope and Love	760-961-9210	<a href="mailto:bruce356@aol.com">bruce356@aol.com</a>
YOUNG-LOWE	KARYN	CEO, Lighthouse Social Service Centers	951-571-3583	<a href="mailto:rklowe@verizon.net">rklowe@verizon.net</a>



## Interagency Council on Homelessness

2016 Meeting Dates and Location Times  
9:00 am – 11:00 am

### Dates:

Wednesday,	January 27, 2016
Wednesday,	February 24, 2016
Wednesday,	March 23, 2016
Wednesday,	April 27, 2016
Wednesday,	May 25, 2016
Wednesday,	June 22, 2016
Month of July	Meeting will go dark
Wednesday,	August 24, 2016
Wednesday,	September 28, 2016
Wednesday,	October 26, 2016
Month of November	2016 Homeless Summit
Month of December	Meeting will go dark

\*Special sessions may be added as needed to discuss the annual HUD Continuum of Care grant submission and/other critical matters as determined by the ICH Chair.

**Location:** Department of Behavioral Health Administration Building  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
San Bernardino, CA 92408

**\*\*\*Please note: Meeting locations will vary depending on availability\*\*\***

For more information please contact:

Office of Homeless Services  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
San Bernardino, CA 92408  
Phone: (909) 386-8297  
Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: [homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov](mailto:homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov)

Website: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/SBCHP>

THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS MEETING FACILITY IS ACCESSIBLE TO PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES. IF ASSISTIVE LISTENING DEVICES OR OTHER AUXILIARY AIDS OR SERVICES ARE NEEDED IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC MEETING, REQUESTS SHOULD BE MADE THROUGH THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES AT LEAST THREE (3) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE INTERAGENCY COUNCIL ON HOMELESSNESS MEETING. THE OFFICE OF HOMELESS SERVICES TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (909) 386-8297 AND THE OFFICE IS LOCATED AT 303 E. VANDERBILT WAY, 1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415-0026. <http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/SBCHP/>

AGENDA AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION CAN BE OBTAINED AT OHS AT THE NUMBER AND LOCATION ABOVE.

**Interagency Council on Homelessness**  
Administrative Office  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Office: (909) 386-8297



**FROM:** Tom Hernandez, Homeless Services Manager

**SUBJECT:** Tiering of the new, renewal, planning and permanent housing bonus projects for the Continuum of Care Application Submission

**DATE:** October 28, 2015

## RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the ICH Grant Review Committee to approve the tiering of the new, renewal, planning and permanent housing bonus projects for the Continuum of Care Application Submission as vetted, evaluated, and ranked by the Office of Homeless Services.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has made approximately \$1.89 billion in funding for the 2015 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). In addition, HUD may add to this amount any available funds that have been carried over or recaptured from previous fiscal years. Although the available amount of funding is expected to be sufficient to fund anticipated eligible renewal projects in 2015, HUD will continue to require Collaborative Applicants to rank all projects, except CoC planning and UFA Costs, in two tiers.

Project applications submitted to the CoC for inclusion in the 2015 CoC Priority Listing as part of the CoC Consolidated Application must be reviewed and either accepted and ranked or rejected by the CoC. All projects approved by the CoC must be listed on the CoC Priority Listing in rank order, with the exception of project applications for CoC planning and UFA Costs which will not be ranked, to establish the projects located within Tier 1 and the projects located within Tier 2, as described in Section II.B.15. of the NOFA. The purpose of this two-tiered approach is for each CoC to clearly indicate which projects are prioritized for funding through HUD.

- Tier 1 is equal to 85% of the CoC's FY 2015 Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) as approved by HUD.
  - This tier will be conditionally selected from the highest scoring CoC to the lowest scoring CoC, provided the project applications pass both eligibility and threshold review.
  - Any type of new or renewal project application can be placed in Tier 1 (However, in the event HUD is required to drastically reduce the total amount of funds available under this NOFA, the Tier 1 amount per CoC will be reduced proportionately among all CoCs which could result in some Tier 1 projects falling into Tier 2).

### Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
City of Ontario  
City of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Human Services  
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
City of Redlands  
Town of Yucca Valley  
Department of Probation  
Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
Workforce Development Department  
Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
City of Rancho Cucamonga  
Department of Behavioral Health  
Department of Rehabilitation  
211 United Way  
Sheriff's Department  
General Members-At-Large

## Interagency Council on Homelessness

Administrative Office  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Office: (909) 386-8297



- Projects in Tier 1 will be ranked by the following HUD recommended criteria:
  - 1. Renewal Permanent Housing (PH)
  - 2. New Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) created through reallocation for 100% chronically homeless
  - 3. New Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) projects created through reallocation for households with children
  - 4. Renewal transitional housing (TH)
  - 5. Renewal Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)
  - 6. Any other project application submitted by the CoC that was not included on the Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)
- By funding priority type, the Continuum of Care Ranking Committee will average scores (All PH, all PSH, all RRH, all TH, HMIS, all other projects). Those applications scoring ABOVE the average score in each corresponding priority type automatically will go into Tier 1; 1st with PH, then by TH, etc. (following the above structure). After taking those applications scoring above the average score in each selection priority, if any Tier 1 funding remains, those applications scoring BELOW the average will be included in Tier 1 in the above order until all funding for Tier 1 has been exhausted.
- Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the CoC's ARD plus any amount available for the permanent housing bonus.
  - Projects placed in Tier 2 will be assessed for eligibility and threshold requirements, and funding will be determined using the CoC Application score as well as the factors listed in Section II.B.16. of the NOFA.
  - If a project application straddles the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding line, HUD will conditionally select the project up to the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1 as stated above; and then HUD may fund the Tier 2 portion of the project (If HUD does not fund the Tier 2 portion of the project, HUD may award project funds at the reduced amount provided the project is still feasible with the reduced funding; e.g., if the provider is able to continue serving homeless program participants effectively).
  - HUD will award a point value to each new and renewal project application that are in Tier 2 using a 100 point scale:
    - Up to 60 points in direct proportion to the score received on the CoC Application rounded to the nearest whole point. CoCs must receive at least 198.5 points out of the 200 CoC Application points available to receive the full 60 points for the CoC Application score. For example, if a CoC received 100 out of 200 points on the CoC application, the project application would receive 30 out of 60 points for this criterion.

### Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
City of Ontario  
City of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Human Services  
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
City of Redlands  
Town of Yucca Valley  
Department of Probation  
Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
Workforce Development Department  
Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
City of Rancho Cucamonga  
Department of Behavioral Health  
Department of Rehabilitation  
211 United Way  
Sheriff's Department  
General Members-At-Large

**Interagency Council on Homelessness**  
 Administrative Office  
 303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
 Office: (909) 386-8297



- Up to 20 points for the CoC’s ranking of the project application(s). In order to more evenly distribute funding across CoCs and take into account the CoC’s ranking of projects, point values will be assigned directly related to the CoCs ranking of projects. For example, if a CoC is eligible to apply for projects totaling \$500,000 in Tier 2 and applies for 5 projects ranked in Tier 2 of \$100,000 each: the highest ranked project would receive 18 points and then the subsequently ranked projects would receive 14, 10, 6, and 2 points.
- Up to 10 points will be based on the type of project application submitted and the population that will be served with the following points available for the following project types:
  - 10 points for renewal and new permanent housing (permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing), renewal Safe Haven, HMIS, Supportive Services Only (SSO) for Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System, or transitional housing that exclusively serve homeless youth projects;
  - 3 points for renewal transitional housing, except those transitional housing projects that exclusively serve homeless youth which will be scored as discussed above; and
  - 1 point for renewal SSO project applications
- Up to 10 points for how the permanent housing project application commits to applying the Housing First model (*Commitment to HUD Policy Priorities*). Transitional housing projects and SSO projects that are not for centralized or coordinated assessment can receive up to 10 points for how the project demonstrates that it is low-barrier, prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing and does not have service participation requirements or preconditions to entry (such as sobriety or a minimum income threshold). HMIS projects and SSO projects for a centralized or coordinated assessment system will automatically receive 10 points.

Example:

If our CoC application scores 163.25 out of 200 our CoC score will be about 49. All projects will be ranked on this amount, then on whether or not their agencies meet the other scoring criteria.

Agency	Project Type	Overall CoC Application Score	Ranking Score	Project Type Score	Housing First Score	Total Score
Agency 1	PH	49	18	10	10	87
Agency 2	TH	49	14	3	10	76
Agency 3	TH	49	10	3	0	62
Agency 4	TH	49	6	3	0	58
Agency 5	TH	49	2	3	0	54

**Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness**

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
 City of Ontario  
 City of San Bernardino  
 San Bernardino County Human Services  
 Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
 Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
 San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
 Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
 City of Redlands  
 Town of Yucca Valley  
 Department of Probation  
 Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
 Workforce Development Department  
 Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
 HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
 City of Rancho Cucamonga  
 Department of Behavioral Health  
 Department of Rehabilitation  
 211 United Way  
 Sheriff’s Department  
 General Members-At-Large

## Interagency Council on Homelessness

Administrative Office  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Office: (909) 386-8297



The Office of Homeless Services will ensure that all applications for funding will be vetted, evaluated, and ranked, and then approved by the Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) Grant Review Committee and eventually submitted to HUD via the E-SNAPS system.

While OHS will conduct a thorough review, agencies eligible for renewal or new applications are still responsible for final submission content.

### Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
City of Ontario  
City of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Human Services  
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
City of Redlands  
Town of Yucca Valley  
Department of Probation  
Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
Workforce Development Department  
Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
City of Rancho Cucamonga  
Department of Behavioral Health  
Department of Rehabilitation  
211 United Way  
Sheriff's Department  
General Members-At-Large

# Homeless Youth Survey: Final Report

---



## Unaccompanied Homeless Youth Survey: Final Report

### Acknowledgements

The Homeless Youth Taskforce of San Bernardino County wishes to thank all those who helped make the first homeless youth survey a success. This effort would not have been possible without the dedication and commitment of the individuals and organizations in our communities who share the passion to bring resources to one of our most vulnerable populations. While it would be impossible to list all the wonderful volunteers who gave their time and money, please know your hard work and generosity did not go unnoticed.

Special thanks to the following organizations for going above and beyond to ensure a successful survey:

2-1-1 San Bernardino County  
Children's Fund  
San Bernardino County Children's Network  
Feeding America Riverside and San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health, One Stop TAY Centers  
San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors, Third and Fifth Districts  
San Bernardino County Interagency Council on Homelessness  
San Bernardino County, Office of Homeless Services  
Loma Linda University, School of Public Health  
Loma Linda University, School of Dentistry  
Our House  
Youth Hope

Special thanks to the following individuals for their part in compiling this report:

Michele Bletcher  
Brenda Dowdy  
Maribel Gutierrez  
Kent Paxton

Vikki Ostermann  
Tammy Williams  
Molly Wiltshire

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
Executive Summary	4
Background Information	7
Methodology	12
Homeless Youth Survey Summaries	16
Recommendations	18
Appendix A: Homeless Youth Subpopulation Survey Summaries	20
Appendix B: Youth Survey Summaries by Region	51
Appendix C: Survey Instrument	80

## I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There were 304 youth that were surveyed on April 8, 2015, as part of the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership Homeless Youth Taskforce (Taskforce) youth survey questionnaire. This survey was part of a pilot project to survey homeless or at-risk youth to find out their current living situations, social service experiences, and to collect basic demographic information and descriptors. The following table provides a breakdown of the total number of youth surveyed by region.

**Table 1. Total Number of Youth Surveyed by Region**

	Female	Male	Not Sure	Total	Total Percentage
Morongo Basin	26	38	1	65	21.4%
Redlands	12	35	0	47	15.5%
San Bernardino	32	50	1	83	27.3%
Victorville	5	6	0	11	3.6%
West End	44	54	0	98	32.2%

According to the youth surveyed, approximately 3/4 resided on the day of the survey at the home of a parent, guardian, foster parent, friend, relative, partner, boyfriend or girlfriend. Approximately 9% of youth resided in temporary, emergency or transitional housing. Over 13% resided outdoors in a public place, abandoned building, or vehicle.

**Table 2. Where did you stay last night?\***

	Number	Valid percent
Home of parent, guardian or foster parent	83	28.3%
Home of a friend or relative in an area meant for regular sleeping	99	33.8%
Home of a friend or relative in an area not meant for regular sleeping	18	6.1%
Group home	1	0.3%
Home of partner, boyfriend or girlfriend	17	5.8%
With someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay	4	1.4%
Youth or adult shelter	9	3.1%
Transitional living program	8	2.7%
Hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization	3	1.0%
Hotel/motel paid for by some other way	2	0.7%
Outdoors in a public place, i.e. park, street, campground, bus station, etc.	18	6.1%
Abandoned building; squatted	10	3.4%
Car, bus, or RV	11	3.8%
Hospital, psychiatric facility, or drug/alcohol treatment center	0	0%
Juvenile detention, jail, or prison	0	0%
Somewhere else	10	3.4%

\* The following information does not include the missing values (n=11), see Appendix A for full breakdown.

When asked how long the youth have been residing in similar places, almost 3 out of 4 noted that they have resided there for months (43%) and/or years (30%). In addition, similarly over 60% of youth noted that they would be able to reside at the same location for 14 days without being asked to leave.

The following table shows the breakdown of demographic information based on age (this information does not include missing values). Three age ranges were included in the survey: Range A (0-10 years of age), Range B (11-17 years of age), and Range C (18-25 years of age); however 0 surveys received included information for the Range A age group.

**Table 3. Demographics by Age of Respondent**

	11-17 years	18-25 years	Total
<b>Ethnicity/Race</b>			
Hispanic/Latino	49	74	123
Black/African American	27	40	67
Native American or Alaskan Native	3	8	11
Asian	1	2	3
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	5	1	6
White	31	71	102
Other Race*	22	24	46
<b>Gender Identity</b>			
Female	49	68	117
Male	61	116	177
Not sure	0	1	1
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>			
Gay or Lesbian	4	7	11
Straight	92	154	246
Bisexual	8	11	19
Queer/Other	2	1	3
Don't know/Questioning	0	3	3
Prefer not to answer	2	5	7
<b>Health</b>			
Physical, mental, emotional or developmental disability	24	76	100
<b>Education</b>			
Currently enrolled in school	96	70	166
9 <sup>th</sup> grade or less	20	11	31
9 <sup>th</sup> to 11 <sup>th</sup> grade	76	82	158
12 <sup>th</sup> grade (diploma)	10	63	73
GED certificate	0	8	8
Some college	1	15	16
Associate's degree	0	2	2
Bachelor's degree	0	1	1
Post-secondary training	0	0	0
<b>Household Information</b>			
Are you a parent?	5	53	58
If yes, are you currently living with your child?	3	25	28
Are you pregnant or gotten someone else pregnant?	3	13	16

\* Over 80% of respondents identified "Other Race" as Latino/Hispanic or Central/South American country of origin.

Approximately 2% (n=6) of the respondents noted military service. Nearly 1 out of 5 (n=46) self-identified as "in" or "formerly in" foster care. Just over 20% (n=58) of youth identified as having a job,

paid internship or other type of employment. Over 1/3 of youth (n=105) identified as having been involved in the justice system at one time or another.

Thirty-nine percent of youth (n=117) were accessing youth related services at the time of the survey. The primary issues experienced by youth in accessing services noted in the survey included lack of transportation, not having necessary identification or personal documents, not knowing where to go for assistance, and feeling uncomfortable in seeking help. The following table identifies barriers in accessing youth-related services (this information does not include missing values).

**Table 4. Experienced the Following in Accessing Services**

	11-17 years	18-25 years	Total
Lack of transportation	39	87	126
Did not have I.D./personal documents	21	45	66
Did not know where to go for help	21	40	61
Felt uncomfortable	18	42	60
Did not qualify for services	5	25	30
Placed on a wait list and never heard back	4	22	26
Other reason	8	15	23
Did not follow through or return for services	3	13	16
Language barrier	2	5	7
Has not experienced any issues in accessing services	39	28	67

Youth were asked to identify reasons for loss of housing or homeless status. Of youth that responded to the question, approximately 70% (n=181) were homeless 3 times or less in the past three years. The following table lists the reasons youth noted for being homeless (this information only includes those responses under “check all that apply”; the same youth may have responded to multiple reasons).

**Table 5. Reasons for Loss of Housing or Becoming Homeless**

	11-17 years	18-25 years	Total
Ran away/kicked out from my family home	36	98	134
Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home	3	13	16
Violence at home between family members	21	44	65
Differences with parents about religious beliefs	6	16	22
Because of some other reason*	28	55	83

\* Approximately 3 out of 4 youth described the reason as the passing of a parent or caregiver.

The responses to question 22, “Including yourself, how many people in your household were sleeping in the same place as you last night” was inconclusive. Over 3/4 of youth respondents did not include themselves in the numbers provided.

## II. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Homelessness among youth is an issue of concern throughout the country. Many youth, whether unaccompanied or residing with friends and/or family, experience many of the same factors such as poverty, domestic violence, substance abuse, lack of housing stability, mental health disorders that contribute to adult homelessness. Youth homelessness is often characterized through crisis resulting from family instability and breakdown, which result in youth running away from negative environments or through abandonment.

Risks Associated with Youth Homelessness:

- High Mobility (Residential and School) Can lead to enrollment problems such as:
  - Lack of school or immunization records
  - Proof of residence or guardianship
- Loss and isolation
- Lack of transportation, regular meals, clothing, etc.
- May experience poor health, fatigue, and hunger
- Stigma, youth often face prejudice and misunderstanding
- Issues related to safety – (Street life/Violence)
- Youth taking on adult worries

According to the National Alliance to End Homelessness (NAEH), State of Homelessness in America 2015 Report<sup>1</sup>, unaccompanied homeless youth contribute to approximately 7.8 percent (45,205 people) of the overall homeless population according to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), Point-In-Time Counts nationally conducted. In the same report it is estimated that in 2014, in the State of California that approximately 12% of individuals that experience homelessness are unaccompanied children and youth. This number represents both sheltered and unsheltered children and youth, including transitional age youth 18-24 years of age.

The NAEH report also noted that PITCs may not present an accurate enumeration of homeless youth as communities are still adopting and developing strategies to ensure that homeless youth are captured during these counts.

In order to address the issue of youth homelessness in San Bernardino County, the Children's Network Policy Council (Policy Council) established the Homeless Youth Taskforce (Taskforce) on February 2010. The Taskforce was created to investigate the needs of homeless youth in the county and to find solutions to address those needs. Homeless youth are a distinct and vulnerable population in need of intensive, developmentally appropriate, and targeted support. The Taskforce seeks to raise awareness of the issue of child and youth homelessness, educate the public about the unique needs and challenges

---

<sup>1</sup> National Alliance to End Homelessness. (2015). State of Homelessness in America Report. Retrieved from <http://www.endhomelessness.org/library/entry/the-state-of-homelessness-in-america-2015>.

of this population, promote homeless youth prevention and intervention programs, reduce barriers to services, and coordinate efforts to reduce duplication and ensure efficiency.

The Taskforce is comprised of representatives of faith-based and community-based organizations, public and private agencies, concerned members of the public, and youth currently experiencing homelessness. In 2014, the Policy Council approved the transition of the Taskforce from the oversight of the Policy Council to the Interagency Council on Homelessness which oversees the implementation of the 10-Year Strategy to End Homelessness in San Bernardino County.

## **1. Understanding Youth Homelessness**

The main question that arises in many communities is trying to determine what exactly is meant when we speak of youth homelessness. There are many different ways to describe youth homelessness, and this often is further muddled by the various descriptors used by separate agencies to define the homeless youth population. These different definitions lead to inaccurate comparisons based on enumeration methodologies by various federal agencies and homeless organizations that often present conflicting counts of this population.

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services<sup>2</sup> uses the following:

- An individual or family who lacks housing, including whose primary residence during the night is a supervised public or private facility (e.g., shelters) that provides temporary living accommodations, and an individual who is a resident in transitional housing.
- A homeless person is an individual without permanent housing who may live on the streets; stay in a shelter, mission, single room occupancy facilities, abandoned building or vehicle; or in any other unstable or non-permanent situation, including living “doubled-up.”

The California Department of Education<sup>3</sup> defines homelessness as:

- The term homeless children and youth mean individuals who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence. This definition also includes:
  - Children and youth who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason
  - Children who may be living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, shelters, or awaiting foster care placement
  - Children and youth who have a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings
  - Children and youth who are living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations, or similar settings, or

---

<sup>2</sup> See: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: Homelessness. <http://www.hhs.gov/programs/social-services/homelessness/index.html>.

<sup>3</sup> See: California Department of Education: Resources for Homeless Children and Youths. <http://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/hs/cy/>.

- Migratory children who qualify as homeless because they are children who are living in similar circumstances listed above

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development<sup>4</sup> defines homelessness as:

- An individual who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence;
- An individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground;
- An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements;
- An individual or family who will imminently lose their housing; has no subsequent residence identified; and lacks the resources or support networks needed to obtain other permanent housing; and
- Unaccompanied youth and homeless families with children and youth defined as homeless under other Federal statutes who have experienced a long-term period without living independently in permanent housing, have experienced persistent instability as measured by frequent moves over such period, and can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time because of chronic conditions.

## **2. Survey Background**

The Taskforce decided to conduct an in-depth survey of youth within select cities in San Bernardino County as a result of the varying inconsistencies that exists with several youth counts as defined by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the Department of Education McKinney-Vento Act guidelines. In 2014, there were over 36,886 homeless public school students in San Bernardino County according to the Department of Education<sup>5</sup>. Through the HUD-mandated Point in Time Count<sup>6</sup>, only 114 unsheltered and 131 sheltered children and youth were identified county-wide.

Such discrepancies pose challenges in obtaining much needed funding for these youth. Therefore, the Taskforce took on the task of conducting a pilot survey in hopes of gaining more accurate data that reflected the needs of our communities. Since this was a pilot project, the Taskforce focused on only four major areas of the County. Our volunteers made contact with over 300 youth self-identified as homeless or at-risk of homelessness. The following sections of this report present our findings, examine the challenges we faced, and a look forward to recommendations for next steps.

---

<sup>4</sup> 24 CFR Part 91, 582 and 583.

<sup>5</sup> Kidsdata.org. A Program of Lucile Packard Foundation for Children's Health. (2015). Custom Profile: San Bernardino County. [Data file]. Retrieved from: <http://www.kidsdata.org/region/366/san-bernardino-county/results?fmt=355,356,1208,1209,1210,1211,2309>.

<sup>6</sup> Institute for Urban Initiatives. (2015). San Bernardino County 2015 Homeless Count and Subpopulation Survey: Final Report. Retrieved from: <http://www.sbcounty.gov/Uploads/DBH/SBCHP/Projects/Point%20In%20Time/SBC2015HomelessCountandSubpopulationFinalReport.pdf>.

Our county had previously counted homeless youth during the HUD-mandated Point in Time Count. However, the Taskforce viewed several limitations with this count. The time frame in which the count was implemented, 6 a.m. to 10 a.m., did not lend itself to locating youth who may be living on the streets. Instead, the Taskforce recognized the need to hold a survey during the day, at a time when these youth were more visible in the community.

### **3. When Was the Survey Administered?**

The survey took place on April 8, 2015 from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. to capture both youth who were still in school and youth who were not. The survey was implemented where large populations of unaccompanied homeless youth had been identified previously.

Through the Homeless Youth Survey we hoped to achieve three main goals: 1) to more accurately depict the magnitude of the problem in our county, 2) to better understand the causes behind this problem and, 3) to utilize this data to capture additional funding to help support these youth in need and to make the system more responsive to their needs.

### **4. Who was considered homeless and surveyed?**

Per guidelines set out by the Taskforce, a youth was considered homeless and surveyed when they fell within the following criteria:

- Living on the streets or in an area not meant for human habitation, such as a car or abandoned building;
- Residing in an emergency shelter;
- Residing in transitional housing designated for homeless families;
- Living in a motel or hotel; and
- Living in unstable housing situations such as “couch surfing.”

### **5. Who was not considered homeless, however were still surveyed for homeless history?**

Per guidelines set out by the Taskforce, a youth was not considered homeless and surveyed when they resided in one of the following places noted:

- Living in a house, apartment or unit with a parent, relative or guardian; and
- Residing in foster care homes or foster care group homes.

### **6. Who carried out the count?**

The survey was administered by youth (18 to 25) who made contact with approximately 300 youth. The survey was implemented in four major areas of San Bernardino County; the region’s Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Centers served as the main youth deployment and contact sites for that area. In other areas, two service providers, including one youth shelter and one youth drop-in center, coordinated the survey administration in their regions. A “Train the Trainer” session was conducted by the Office of Homeless Services in order to train the lead volunteers in each major area who in turn trained the youth

volunteers. The key to the survey implementation was to keep it as peer driven as possible. Adults were on-site with the youth volunteers to ensure their safety and to assist with resources.

Youth that participated in the survey received a hygiene kit that included a homeless youth resource guide developed by the Taskforce and a \$25 gift card to the local Stater Brothers grocery stores. The youth volunteers received a \$25 gift card to Target for their participation in administering the survey to their peers.

DRAFT

### III. METHODOLOGY

In order to shed light on the plight of youth homelessness in San Bernardino County, the Taskforce conducted the following activities: 1) developing a youth survey; 2) coordinating the administration of the youth survey within the piloted major areas; and 3) implementing the survey.

#### 1. Developing a Youth Survey

The homeless unaccompanied youth survey was developed by the Taskforce in cooperation with the State of California Homeless Youth Project (HYP). The impetus of the survey was to understand why youth becomes homeless and to assist planning agencies in determining what services are needed to best meet the needs of homeless youth.

A survey provided by the HYP was modified by the Taskforce with input from various participating homeless youth service agencies to focus on data needed to understand the homeless youth experience.

**The HYP<sup>7</sup>** is a research and policy initiative of the California Research Bureau. The project is committed to bringing youth to the policy table and to informing policymakers, opinion leaders, and other stakeholders about the needs of unaccompanied homeless youth. Funding for the project is provided by The California Wellness Foundation (State of CA, 2015).

The Taskforce sought to improve understanding of young people ages 12 to 24 who are on their own and the issues they face. These include family conflict and other reasons for being on the street, lack of shelter and educational opportunities, health and mental health needs, and the effect of interactions with law enforcement agencies. The project highlights solutions for these youth by engaging them directly in research and policy discussions, and giving voice to their experiences and recommendations as well as those of researchers, practitioners, and policy experts.

#### 2. Coordinating the Administration of the Youth Survey

Coordinating the survey included the following activities: a) determining areas to be surveyed; b) establishing a youth survey regional key contact team; and c) involving similarly aged youth to participate as survey volunteers.

##### a. Determining Areas to be Surveyed

The survey was implemented in five major areas of San Bernardino County:

- **Morongo Basin** which consists of the areas surrounding the town of Yucca Valley, the city of Twentynine Palms, and the unincorporated areas of the Eastern High Desert region of San Bernardino County.
- The city of **Redlands** and its unincorporated areas.

---

<sup>7</sup> State of California, Homeless Youth Project. (2015). Website accessed on September 8, 2015: <http://cahomelessyouth.library.ca.gov/about.html>

- The city of **San Bernardino** and the unincorporated area of Muscoy.
- The city of **Victorville** and its unincorporated areas.
- **West Valley** which consisted primarily of the city of Rancho Cucamonga and its surrounding areas including unincorporated areas in the region.

These areas were included in the survey coverage area through input from youth who were actively involved in the development of the survey, as areas where homeless youth tend to congregate. These areas tended to connect with cities that had functioning TAY Centers nearby, homeless youth shelters and/or youth drop in centers.

**TAY Centers** were created to provide integrated services to transitional aged youth (16-25 years-old) who are unserved, uninsured and homeless or at risk of becoming homeless. Transitional age youth typically have been over-represented in the Justice System and out-of-home (foster care, group homes, and institutions) placements. TAY Centers provide a high level of care with services that are gender specific, culturally and linguistically appropriate.

**Homeless Youth Shelters** generally provide short-term shelter for homeless and runaway youth between the ages of 11-17. These programs offer intensive individual, group and family counseling with the goal of reuniting youth with their families whenever possible, preventing future issues that may destabilize the youth's housing, and providing youth with a safe place to go.

**Youth Drop-In Centers** provide safe locations and/or facilities where youth can access essential resources such as food, clothing, hygiene items, safe storage of their belongings, access to computers and phones, showers and health services free of charge. These services provide youth with information on safe housing, mental health services, employment training, educational support, independent living skills and referrals.

#### **b. Establishing a Youth Survey Regional Key Contact Team**

For each region of the county to be surveyed, a Key Contact Team was established and consisted of representatives from the Taskforce, the participating TAY Centers, Homeless Youth Shelter providers and Youth Drop-In Centers who were able to provide insight and knowledge regarding youth homelessness and strategies for youth specific outreach. This team also included various representatives from public and private agencies, local government, law enforcement, community- and faith-based organizations, youth advocates and members of the public. Homeless and previous homeless youth were also contacted to serve as direct youth Key Leaders for all 4 major areas.

The Taskforce coordinated the Key Contact Teams with the San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health Transitional Age Youth Manager to coordinate deployment sites or identify areas within the survey region where homeless youth congregate.

#### **c. Involving Similarly-Aged Youth to Participate as Survey Volunteers.**

The primary purpose of the Key Leaders was to raise youth awareness of the survey and actively involve and recruit other transitional aged youth to participate in the implementation of the survey. Volunteers

were recruited both within the survey region and throughout the county from a wide range of youth oriented service providers and other interested community stakeholders.

Handouts and recruitment materials were developed and distributed during each training to the volunteers and were also made available directly from the deployment sites. A list of youth service providers was developed and distributed to youth as a referral resource directory to assist youth in the navigation of support services.

Youth peers that volunteered to survey homeless in the regional areas included persons previously involved in the provision or receipt of direct youth services and youth currently participating in youth oriented support programs.

### **3. Implementing the Survey**

The Youth Survey Instrument was administered by the youth volunteers to gather information regarding youth characteristics to assist the Taskforce and various organizations. A unique identifier was established to maintain confidentiality and to screen for duplicate entries (see Attachment A for the Survey Instrument).

Youth surveyors were instructed to collect the following information from every youth surveyed: first 3 letters of the first name, first 3 letters of the last name, state born, and age range.<sup>8</sup> Information gathered was input into a database for analysis and including missing variables and input errors.

Additional demographic information such as race<sup>9</sup>, ethnicity<sup>10</sup>, gender identity<sup>11</sup>, and sexual orientation<sup>12</sup> was also included in the survey, which served two purposes: assisting with the prevention of duplicative entries and basic demographics.

Survey implementation occurred through two main interactions within the regional area which included street-based outreach, where youth volunteers went to pre-identified areas, and magnet events, where youth were encouraged to convene and participate to engage in the survey and to connect with resources and services.

#### **a. Street-based Outreach**

Teams of three youth volunteers were accompanied by one adult expert. Each team went to areas where youth had been known to gather such as skate parks and libraries. The youth volunteers approached the street youth and conducted the survey. Adult experts, who included social workers,

---

<sup>8</sup> Age ranges included: A-(0-10 yr), B-(11-17yr), and C-(18-25 yr).

<sup>9</sup> Race: a) Black/African American, b) Native American or Alaskan Native, c) Asian, d) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, e) White, and f) Some other race (please identify).

<sup>10</sup> Yes or No answer to Hispanic or Latino.

<sup>11</sup> Current Gender Identity: a) female, b) male, c) transgender and identify as a girl or woman, d) transgender and identify as a boy or man, e) not sure, and f) other (please specify).

<sup>12</sup> How do you think of yourself?: a) Gay or Lesbian, b) Straight, c) Bisexual, d) Queer/Other, e) I don't know/Questioning, and f) prefer not to answer.

licensed social services professionals, and trained individuals, were on scene if needed as subject matter experts.

**b. Magnet Events**

In some communities, the youth participants were told to meet at pre-designated areas. For example, one group stayed afterschool until the other students had departed and then met with a team of volunteers to take the survey. This proved successful in rural areas where transportation poses an issue. Partnering with the Homeless Liaisons at the various school districts proved to be vital in connecting with homeless youth to arrange for survey completion and the provision of available resources.

The youth interviewed describe their experiences – how they became homeless, what life on the street is like, their interactions with police, their education and aspirations, their mental health experiences, how they go about getting help – the services they need, and the changes they would like to see happen in policy or law.

DRAFT

#### IV. HOMELESS YOUTH SURVEY SUMMARIES

In order to better understand the needs of youth that are homeless or at-risk of homelessness, the Taskforce's survey was designed to collect and report basic demographics and descriptors as part of the survey. Appendix A includes homeless youth subpopulation survey summaries (see pages 19-48). Appendix B includes youth survey summaries by region (see pages 50-77).

Survey questions were also compiled in order to ensure that youth specific data would be collected for the following:

- State Born
  - CA;
  - Outside CA;
  - Outside U.S.A.
- Age Range:
  - 0-10 Years of Age;
  - 11-17 Years of Age;
  - 18-25 Years of Age.
- Ethnicity:
  - Hispanic or Latino.
- Race:
  - Black/African American;
  - Native American or Alaskan Native
  - Asian;
  - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - White;
  - Some other race.
- Gender Identity:
  - Female;
  - Male;
  - Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - Not Sure;
  - Other.
- Sexual Orientation:
  - Gay or Lesbian;
  - Straight;
  - Bisexual;
  - Queer/Other;
  - I don't know/Questioning;
  - Prefer not to answer.
- Housing Status and Stability

- Education
- Employment
- Foster Care
- Justice System Involvement
- Accessing Services
- Household Status
- Disability Status
- Military Service

DRAFT

## V. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVING HOMELESS YOUTH SERVICES

This section outlines opportunities that the Taskforce has identified to assist youth service planners to improve youth related services within the region. These opportunities are aligned with the San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership's 10-Year Strategy to End Homelessness and its update, *Recalibrating for Results*<sup>13</sup>.

Next steps include the following three recommendations:

### **Recommendation 1: Examine Transportation Resources Available for Youth**

Youth expressed the need for transportation resources to access services such as emergency shelter, food banks, counseling and mental health services, etc. Transportation is an ongoing issue within San Bernardino County as the region encompasses more than 20,000-square-miles, larger than the state of Rhode Island. There are 9,411 roadway miles in San Bernardino County which is the second largest number for a county in the State. Providing transportation is expensive, particularly because the county is so large.

According to the United States Department of Transportation<sup>14</sup>, socioeconomic conditions such as income, disabilities, gender, ethnicity, and education are all factors affecting mobility. Homeless youth also face structural obstacles due to lack of transportation such as the need to make multiple trips for eligibility and program requirements for essential services and access to mainstream resources. Application processes can be lengthy and complicated. Due to a homeless youth's lack of stability, completing requirements and meeting with providers and agencies can be difficult.

The Taskforce recommends exploring potential solutions to increase access to transportation for homeless youth to access services such as emergency shelter, community and behavioral health clinics, DMV and educational institutions. For example, OmniTrans offers a *GoSmart*<sup>15</sup> program which provides students at participating schools, colleges and universities in the San Bernardino Valley unlimited rides on Omnitrans fixed routes. The *Go Smart* program is a discounted fare program in which Omnitrans negotiates pricing with partner organizations. Funding comes from student fees and/or administrative sources. An opportunity would be to study the benefits of such systems to enhance services provided by local youth shelters and/or drop in centers.

---

<sup>13</sup> San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership Website. (2015). 10 Year Strategy to End Homelessness in the County of San Bernardino. Website accessed on October 19, 2015:

<http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/Tenyearstrategy.aspx>

<sup>14</sup> United States Department of Transportation. (2015). Research, statistics, and technology. Website access on October 19, 2015: <https://www.transportation.gov/research-and-statistics>

<sup>15</sup> Omnitrans. (2015). GoSmart Program. Website accessed on October 19, 2015: <http://www.omnitrans.org/fares-passes/gosmart/>

## **Recommendation 2: Outreach and Education Campaign on Resources Available**

The Taskforce encourages the development of a campaign to educate homeless youth, transitional age youth, and/or youth estranged from their families on available services, resources, and effective transitions to independence for youth involved in systems of care. One hundred and ten survey respondents identified as having been involved in the justice system.

The Taskforce recommends exploring the development of a peer-aged Youth Street Outreach Team to work directly with the homeless youth and at-risk youth service providers to identify youth resources available, and assist in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of youth outreach programming. Identifying funding sources for this type of campaign and outreach team may be useful to its creation and sustainability.

It is recommended that an outreach campaign take into account the unique needs of homeless youth to support their access to mainstream federal, state, and local programs. The Taskforce encourages the following educational interventions:

- The identification of youth drop-in centers
  - collaboration and coordination with the local municipalities and other local jurisdictions to identify and gather information on all current and potential services available to homeless youth
- Training for service providers on the needs and resource available to homeless youth
- Improved use of technology to reach out to homeless youth
- Prioritizing access for homeless youth
- Increasing data collection efforts
- Training for businesses on the needs and resources available to homeless youth

## **Recommendation 3: Explore Socioeconomic Factors that Influence Youth Homelessness**

The Taskforce recommends continuing collaborative efforts to enhance the positive work being done by current service providers to improve the direction of youth oriented services, such as through use of surveys, community feedback, youth input, various data collected from youth service providers to clearly define the socioeconomic factors that contribute to runaway youth and youth homelessness.

The following should be considered for future study:

- Reevaluate the need and intent of any survey conducted
- Determine specific best practice methods to conduct further research
- Coordinate any method of data collection with youth service providers, shelters, and local food banks
- Structure any research to reflect the different needs of homeless youth
  - Homeless youth who are still connected to their families have different needs than runaway and homeless youth, youth who are aging out of foster care, or youth that have exited the juvenile justice system.
- Increase research efforts in underserved areas throughout the region

## **Appendix A: Homeless Youth Subpopulation Survey Summaries**

DRAFT

## Age (11-17 Years)

Total Surveyed: 110

- State Born:
  - 81.8% or 90 were born in CA;
  - 6.4% or 7 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 6.4% or 7 were born outside the United States;
  - 5.4% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 44.6% or 49 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 24.6% or 27 were Black/African American;
  - 2.7% or 3 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 0.9% or 1 was Asian;
  - 4.5% or 5 were Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 28.2% or 31 were White;
  - 20% or 22 identified as some other race<sup>16</sup>;
  - 19.1% or 21 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 44.5% or 49 identified as Female;
  - 55.5% or 61 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 3.6% or 4 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 83.7% or 92 were Straight;
  - 7.3% or 8 were Bisexual;
  - 1.8% or 2 were Queer/Other;
  - 0% or 0 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 1.8% or 2 preferred not to answer;
  - 1.8% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.

---

<sup>16</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified "Some Other Race" as "Hispanic or Latino."

- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?
    - 51.8% or 57 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
    - 23.6% or 26 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
    - 5.5% or 6 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
    - 0% or 0 stayed in a group home;
    - 3.6% or 4 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
    - 2.8% or 3 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
    - 3.6% or 4 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
    - 0% or 0 stayed at a transitional living program
    - 2.8% or 3 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
    - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
    - 0.9% or 1 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
    - 0% or 0 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
    - 0.9% or 1 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
    - 1.8% or 2 stayed somewhere else;
    - 2.7% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been staying there?
    - 11.8% or 13 have been staying there for days;
    - 7.3% or 8 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 33.6% or 37 have been staying there for months;
    - 45.5% or 50 have been staying there for years;
    - 1.8% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 71.8% or 79 responded “yes”;
    - 8.2% or 9 responded “no”;
    - 19.1% or 21 responded “I don’t know”;
    - 0.9% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 8.2% or 9 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 4.5% or 5 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 38.2% or 42 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 12.7% or 14 have been without a home or place to stay for years;

- 36.4% or 40 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 32.7% or 36 responded 0 times;
    - 40% or 44 responded 1-3 times;
    - 13.7% or 15 responded 4-10 times;
    - 2.7% or 3 responded 11-50 times;
    - 0.9% or 1 responded 51-100 times;
    - 0% or 0 responded 101+ times;
    - 10% or 11 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
    - 32.7% or 36 responded “yes”.
  - Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
    - 2.7% or 3 responded “yes”.
  - Violence at home between family members.
    - 19.1% or 21 responded “yes”.
  - Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
    - 5.5% or 6 responded “yes”.
  - Left home because of some other reason
    - 25.5% or 28 responded “yes”<sup>17</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 18.2% or 20 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 69.1% or 76 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 9.1% or 10 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 0% or 0 completed GED certificate;
    - 0.9% or 1 completed some college;
    - 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 2.7% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.

---

<sup>17</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
  - 87.3% or 96 responded “yes”;
  - 10.9% or 12 responded “no”;
  - 1.8% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 13.7% or 15 responded “yes”;
  - 83.6% or 92 responded “no”;
  - 2.7% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 14.6% or 16 responded “yes”;
  - 81.8% or 90 responded “no”;
  - 2.7% or 3 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 0.9% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Justice System Involvement
  - 22.7% or 25 responded “yes”;
  - 76.4% or 84 responded “no”;
  - 0.9% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 23.6% or 26 responded “yes”;
    - 75.5% or 83 responded “no”;
    - 0.9% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 39 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 21 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 21 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 5 responded “yes”.

- Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
  - 4 responded “yes”.
- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 3 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 2 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 18 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 8 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 39 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 82.8% or 91 responded as having 0 children;
    - 3.6% or 4 responded as having 1 child;
    - 0.9% or 1 responded as having 2 children;
    - 12.7% or 14 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 84.6% or 93 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 2.7% or 3 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 12.7% or 14 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 2.7% or 3 responded “yes”;
    - 84.6% or 93 responded “no”;
    - 0.9% or 1 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 0.9% or 1 preferred not to answer;
    - 10.9% or 12 did not have a recorded answer.
- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 21.8% or 24 responded “yes”;
  - 76.4% or 84 responded “no”;

- 1.8% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
- Military Service
  - 0% or 0 responded “yes”;
  - 92.7% or 102 responded “no”;
  - 7.3% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## Age (18-25 Years)

Total Surveyed: 185

- State Born:
  - 86.5% or 160 were born in CA;
  - 9.7% or 18 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 2.7% or 5 were born outside the United States;
  - 1.1% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 40% or 74 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 21.6% or 40 were Black/African American;
  - 4.3% or 8 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 1.1% or 2 were Asian;
  - 0.5% or 1 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 38.4% or 71 were White;
  - 13% or 24 identified as some other race<sup>18</sup>;
  - 21.1% or 39 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 36.8% or 68 identified as Female;
  - 62.7% or 116 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 0.5% or 1 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 3.8% or 7 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 83.2% or 154 were Straight;
  - 6% or 11 were Bisexual;
  - 0.5% or 1 were Queer/Other;
  - 1.6% or 3 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 2.7% or 5 preferred not to answer;
  - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.

---

<sup>18</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified "Some Other Race" as "Hispanic or Latino."

- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?
    - 13% or 24 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
    - 37.9% or 70 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
    - 6.5% or 12 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
    - 0.5% or 1 stayed in a group home;
    - 7% or 13 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
    - 0.5% or 1 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
    - 2.7% or 5 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
    - 4.3% or 8 stayed at a transitional living program
    - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
    - 1% or 2 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
    - 8.7% or 16 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
    - 4.9% or 9 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
    - 5.4% or 10 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
    - 3.8% or 7 stayed somewhere else;
    - 3.8% or 7 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been staying there?
    - 13.5% or 25 have been staying there for days;
    - 16.8% or 31 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 47.5% or 88 have been staying there for months;
    - 20% or 37 have been staying there for years;
    - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 52.9% or 98 responded “yes”;
    - 14.6% or 27 responded “no”;
    - 27.6% or 51 responded “I don’t know”;
    - 4.9% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 10.3% or 19 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 9.2% or 17 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 32.9% or 61 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 34.6% or 64 have been without a home or place to stay for years;

- 13% or 24 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 10.8% or 20 responded 0 times;
    - 43.8% or 81 responded 1-3 times;
    - 21.1% or 39 responded 4-10 times;
    - 7% or 13 responded 11-50 times;
    - 0% or 0 responded 51-100 times;
    - 1.6% or 3 responded 101+ times;
    - 15.7% or 29 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
    - 53% or 98 responded “yes”.
  - Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
    - 7% or 13 responded “yes”.
  - Violence at home between family members.
    - 23.8% or 44 responded “yes”.
  - Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
    - 8.6% or 16 responded “yes”.
  - Left home because of some other reason
    - 29.7% or 55 responded “yes”<sup>19</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 6% or 11 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 44.32% or 82 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 34.1% or 63 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 4.32% or 8 completed GED certificate;
    - 8.1% or 15 completed some college;
    - 1% or 2 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0.54% or 1 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 1.62% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.

---

<sup>19</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
  - 37.84% or 70 responded “yes”;
  - 60.54% or 112 responded “no”;
  - 1.62% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 23.2% or 43 responded “yes”;
  - 74.1% or 137 responded “no”;
  - 2.7% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 17.84% or 33 responded “yes”;
  - 77.3% or 143 responded “no”;
  - 3.24% or 6 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 1.62% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Justice System Involvement
  - 43.2% or 80 responded “yes”;
  - 54.6% or 101 responded “no”;
  - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 48.7% or 90 responded “yes”;
    - 49.7% or 92 responded “no”;
    - 1.6% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 87 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 45 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 40 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 25 responded “yes”.

- Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
  - 22 responded “yes”.
- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 13 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 5 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 42 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 15 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 28 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 63.24% or 117 responded as having 0 children;
    - 17.3% or 32 responded as having 1 child;
    - 9.73% or 18 responded as having 2 children;
    - 0.54% or 1 responded as having 3 children;
    - 0.54% or 1 responded as having 4 children;
    - 0.54% or 1 responded as having 5 children;
    - 8.11% or 15 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 73.5% or 136 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 8.1% or 15 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 4.9% or 9 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 0.5% or 1 responded as having 3 children living with them;
    - 13% or 24 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 7% or 13 responded “yes”;
    - 74.6% or 138 responded “no”;
    - 3.8% or 7 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 2.7% or 5 preferred not to answer;
    - 11.9% or 22 did not have a recorded answer.

- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 41.1% or 76 responded “yes”;
  - 55.7% or 103 responded “no”;
  - 3.2% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Military Service
  - 3.24% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 95.14% or 176 responded “no”;
  - 1.62% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## All

Total Surveyed: 304

- State Born:
  - 83.2% or 253 were born in CA;
  - 8.9% or 27 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 3.95% or 12 were born outside the United States;
  - 3.95% or 12 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Age
  - 36.18% or 110 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 60.86% or 185 were 18-25 years of age;
  - 2.96% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 42.4% or 129 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 23% or 70 were Black/African American;
  - 3.6% or 11 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 1% or 3 were Asian;
  - 2% or 6 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 33.5% or 102 were White;
  - 15.8% or 48 identified as some other race<sup>20</sup>;
  - 21.1% or 64 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 39.1% or 119 identified as Female;
  - 60.2% or 183 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 0.7% or 2 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 4.6% or 14 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 82.9% or 252 were Straight;
  - 6.2% or 19 were Bisexual;

---

<sup>20</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified “Some Other Race” as “Hispanic or Latino.”

- 1% or 3 were Queer/Other;
  - 1% or 3 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 2.3% or 7 preferred not to answer;
  - 2% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
- Housing Status and Stability:
    - Where did you stay last night?
      - 27.3% or 83 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
      - 32.6% or 99 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
      - 5.9% or 18 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
      - 0.3% or 1 stayed in a group home;
      - 5.6% or 17 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
      - 1.3% or 4 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
      - 3% or 9 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
      - 2.6% or 8 stayed at a transitional living program
      - 1% or 3 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
      - 0.7% or 2 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
      - 5.9% or 18 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
      - 3.3% or 10 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
      - 3.6% or 11 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
      - 3.3% or 10 stayed somewhere else;
      - 3.6% or 11 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been staying there?
      - 13.15% or 40 have been staying there for days;
      - 13.15% or 40 have been staying there for weeks;
      - 42.4% or 129 have been staying there for months;
      - 29.3% or 89 have been staying there for years;
      - 2% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
    - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
      - 58.6% or 178 responded "yes";
      - 12.8% or 39 responded "no";
      - 25.3% or 77 responded "I don't know";
      - 3.3% or 10 did not have a recorded answer.

- How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 9.2% or 28 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
  - 7.2% or 22 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
  - 33.9% or 103 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
  - 28.3% or 86 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
  - 21.4% or 65 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 18.8% or 57 responded 0 times;
  - 41.8% or 127 responded 1-3 times;
  - 18.8% or 57 responded 4-10 times;
  - 5.2% or 16 responded 11-50 times;
  - 0.3% or 1 responded 51-100 times;
  - 1% or 3 responded 101+ times;
  - 14.1% or 43 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 44.7% or 136 responded “yes”.
  
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 5.3% or 16 responded “yes”.
  
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 21.7% or 66 responded “yes”.
  
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 7.6% or 23 responded “yes”.
  
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 28.3% or 86 responded “yes”<sup>21</sup>.
  
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 10.52% or 32 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 53.61% or 163 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 24.34% or 74 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 2.63% or 8 completed GED certificate;

---

<sup>21</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 5.92% or 18 completed some college;
    - 0.66% or 2 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0.33% or 1 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 1.99% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 55.9% or 170 responded “yes”;
    - 42.1% or 128 responded “no”;
    - 2% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 19.4% or 59 responded “yes”;
  - 78% or 237 responded “no”;
  - 2.6% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 17.1% or 52 responded “yes”;
  - 78.6% or 239 responded “no”;
  - 3% or 9 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 1.3% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
- Justice System Involvement
  - 36.2% or 110 responded “yes”;
  - 62.2% or 189 responded “no”;
  - 1.6% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 38.5% or 117 responded “yes”;
    - 60.2% or 183 responded “no”;
    - 1.3% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 127 responded “yes”.
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 69 responded “yes”.
  - Did not know where to go for help.

- 62 responded “yes”.
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 31 responded “yes”.
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 26 responded “yes”.
  - Did not follow through or return for services.
    - 17 responded “yes”.
  - Language barrier.
    - 7 responded “yes”.
  - Felt uncomfortable.
    - 60 responded “yes”.
  - Some other reason.
    - 25 responded “yes”.
  - Have not experienced any issues.
    - 69 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 71.1% or 216 responded as having 0 children;
    - 12.2% or 37 responded as having 1 child;
    - 6.3% or 19 responded as having 2 children;
    - 0.3% or 1 responded as having 3 children;
    - 0.3% or 1 responded as having 4 children;
    - 0.3% or 1 responded as having 5 children;
    - 9.5% or 29 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 78.3% or 238 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 5.9% or 18 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 3% or 9 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 0.3% or 1 responded as having 3 children living with them;
    - 12.5% or 38 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?

- 5.6% or 17 responded “yes”;
  - 77.6% or 236 responded “no”;
  - 3.3% or 10 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 2.3% or 7 preferred not to answer;
  - 11.2% or 34 did not have a recorded answer.
- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
    - 34.2% or 104 responded “yes”;
    - 62.8% or 191 responded “no”;
    - 3% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Military Service
    - 2% or 6 responded “yes”;
    - 94.1% or 286 responded “no”;
    - 3.9% or 12 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## Female

Total Surveyed: 119

- State Born:
  - 81.5% or 97 were born in CA;
  - 7.6% or 9 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 4.2% or 5 were born outside the United States;
  - 6.7% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.
- Ethnicity:
  - 47.1% or 56 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
- Age
  - 41.2% or 49 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 57.1% or 68 were 18-25 years of age;
  - 1.7% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
- Race:
  - 23.53% or 28 were Black/African American;
  - 3.36% or 4 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 0.84% or 1 were Asian;
  - 3.36% or 4 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 28.57% or 34 were White;
  - 20.16% or 24 identified as some other race<sup>22</sup>;
  - 20.16% or 24 did not have a recorded answer.
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 5.04% or 6 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 77.31% or 92 were Straight;
  - 10.92% or 13 were Bisexual;
  - 0.84% or 1 were Queer/Other;
  - 0.84% or 1 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 2.5% or 3 preferred not to answer;
  - 2.5% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?

---

<sup>22</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified "Some Other Race" as "Hispanic or Latino."

- 25.2% or 30 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
  - 40.34% or 48 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
  - 3.36% or 4 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
  - 0% or 0 stayed in a group home;
  - 8.4% or 10 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
  - 2.5% or 3 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
  - 4.2% or 5 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
  - 1.7% or 2 stayed at a transitional living program
  - 1.7% or 2 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
  - 0.84% or 1 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
  - 3.36% or 4 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
  - 3.36% or 4 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
  - 0.84% or 1 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
  - 1.7% or 2 stayed somewhere else;
  - 2.5% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
- How long have you been staying there?
    - 13.5% or 16 have been staying there for days;
    - 14.3% or 17 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 46.2% or 55 have been staying there for months;
    - 23.5% or 28 have been staying there for years;
    - 2.5% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 63% or 75 responded “yes”;
    - 10.1% or 12 responded “no”;
    - 24.4% or 29 responded “I don’t know”;
    - 2.5% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 10.1% or 12 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 8.4% or 10 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 43.7% or 52 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 18.5% or 22 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
    - 19.3% or 23 did not have a recorded answer.

- Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 15.96% or 19 responded 0 times;
  - 52.1% or 62 responded 1-3 times;
  - 17.65% or 21 responded 4-10 times;
  - 4.2% or 5 responded 11-50 times;
  - 0% or 0 responded 51-100 times;
  - 0.84% or 1 responded 101+ times;
  - 9.24% or 11 did not have a recorded answer.
- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 44.5% or 53 responded “yes”.
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 4.2% or 5 responded “yes”.
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 21% or 25 responded “yes”.
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 5.9% or 7 responded “yes”.
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 31.1% or 37 responded “yes”<sup>23</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 10.92% or 13 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 57.14% or 68 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 23.5% or 28 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 1.7% or 2 completed GED certificate;
    - 4.2% or 5 completed some college;
    - 1.7% or 2 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 0.84% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?

---

<sup>23</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 68.07% or 81 responded “yes”;
  - 31.09% or 37 responded “no”;
  - 0.84% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 16.8% or 20 responded “yes”;
  - 80.7% or 96 responded “no”;
  - 2.5% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 14.3% or 17 responded “yes”;
  - 80.7% or 96 responded “no”;
  - 4.2% or 5 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 0.8% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Justice System Involvement
  - 25.21% or 30 responded “yes”;
  - 73.95% or 88 responded “no”;
  - 0.84% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 39.5% or 47 responded “yes”;
    - 59.7% or 71 responded “no”;
    - 0.8% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 59 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 25 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 30 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 14 responded “yes”.
  
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 16 responded “yes”.

- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 6 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 3 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 28 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 6 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 25 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 63.03% or 75 responded as having 0 children;
    - 17.65% or 21 responded as having 1 child;
    - 8.4% or 10 responded as having 2 children;
    - 0.84% or 1 responded as having 3 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 4 children;
    - 0.84% or 1 responded as having 5 children;
    - 9.24% or 11 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 72.3% or 86 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 10.92% or 13 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 5.04% or 6 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 0.84% or 1 responded as having 3 children living with them;
    - 10.9% or 13 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 8.4% or 10 responded “yes”;
    - 83.2% or 99 responded “no”;
    - 2.52% or 3 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 0.84% or 1 preferred not to answer;
    - 5.04% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.

- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 27.7% or 33 responded “yes”;
  - 70.6% or 84 responded “no”;
  - 1.7% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Military Service
  - 0.8% or 1 responded “yes”;
  - 95% or 113 responded “no”;
  - 4.2% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## Male

Total Surveyed: 183

- State Born:
  - 84.2% or 154 were born in CA;
  - 9.8% or 18 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 3.8% or 7 were born outside the United States;
  - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 39.3% or 72 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Age
  - 33.3% or 61 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 63.4% or 116 were 18-25 years of age;
  - 3.3% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Race:
  - 22.95% or 42 were Black/African American;
  - 3.83% or 7 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 1.09% or 2 were Asian;
  - 1.09% or 2 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 37.16% or 68 were White;
  - 12.57% or 23 identified as some other race<sup>24</sup>;
  - 21.31% or 39 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 4.37% or 8 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 86.89% or 159 were Straight;
  - 3.29% or 6 were Bisexual;
  - 0.55% or 1 were Queer/Other;
  - 1.09% or 2 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 2.18% or 4 preferred not to answer;
  - 1.63% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?

---

<sup>24</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified "Some Other Race" as "Hispanic or Latino."

- 28.96% or 53 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
  - 27.3% or 50 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
  - 7.65% or 14 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
  - 0.55% or 1 stayed in a group home;
  - 3.83% or 7 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
  - 0.55% or 1 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
  - 2.19% or 4 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
  - 3.29% or 6 stayed at a transitional living program
  - 0.55% or 1 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
  - 0.55% or 1 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
  - 7.65% or 14 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
  - 3.29% or 6 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
  - 5.46% or 10 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
  - 4.37% or 8 stayed somewhere else;
  - 3.83% or 7 did not have a recorded answer.
- How long have you been staying there?
    - 13.12% or 24 have been staying there for days;
    - 12.57% or 23 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 39.89% or 73 have been staying there for months;
    - 32.79% or 60 have been staying there for years;
    - 1.63% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 56.3% or 103 responded "yes";
    - 14.8% or 27 responded "no";
    - 25.1% or 46 responded "I don't know";
    - 3.8% or 7 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 8.7% or 16 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 6% or 11 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 27.9% or 51 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 35% or 64 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
    - 22.4% or 41 did not have a recorded answer.

- Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 20.8% or 38 responded 0 times;
  - 35% or 64 responded 1-3 times;
  - 19.7% or 36 responded 4-10 times;
  - 6% or 11 responded 11-50 times;
  - 0.55% or 1 responded 51-100 times;
  - 1.1% or 2 responded 101+ times;
  - 16.9% or 31 did not have a recorded answer.
- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 45.4% or 83 responded “yes”.
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 6% or 11 responded “yes”.
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 22.4% or 41 responded “yes”.
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 8.7% or 16 responded “yes”.
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 26.2% or 48 responded “yes”<sup>25</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 9.84% or 18 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 51.37% or 94 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 25.14% or 46 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 3.29% or 6 completed GED certificate;
    - 7.1% or 13 completed some college;
    - 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0.55% or 1 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 2.73% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?

---

<sup>25</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 48.6% or 89 responded “yes”;
  - 49.2% or 90 responded “no”;
  - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 20.8% or 38 responded “yes”;
  - 76.5% or 140 responded “no”;
  - 2.7% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 19.1% or 35 responded “yes”;
  - 77.1% or 141 responded “no”;
  - 2.2% or 4 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 1.6% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Justice System Involvement
  - 43.7% or 80 responded “yes”;
  - 54.1% or 99 responded “no”;
  - 2.2% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 38.3% or 70 responded “yes”;
    - 60.1% or 110 responded “no”;
    - 1.6% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 67 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 44 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 32 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 17 responded “yes”.
  
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 10 responded “yes”.

- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 11 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 4 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 32 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 19 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 44 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 76.5% or 140 responded as having 0 children;
    - 8.2% or 15 responded as having 1 child;
    - 4.9% or 9 responded as having 2 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 3 children;
    - 0.55% or 1 responded as having 4 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 5 children;
    - 9.84% or 18 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 82.51% or 151 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 2.19% or 4 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 1.64% or 3 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 3 children living with them;
    - 13.66% or 25 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 3.29% or 6 responded “yes”;
    - 74.86% or 137 responded “no”;
    - 3.29% or 6 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 3.29% or 6 preferred not to answer;
    - 15.3% or 28 did not have a recorded answer.

- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 38.8% or 71 responded “yes”;
  - 57.9% or 106 responded “no”;
  - 3.3% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Military Service
  - 2.2% or 4 responded “yes”;
  - 94.5% or 173 responded “no”;
  - 3.3% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## **Appendix B: Youth Survey Summaries by Region**

DRAFT

## Morongo Basin

Total Surveyed: 65

- State Born:
  - 80% or 52 were born in CA;
  - 10.8% or 7 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 1.5% or 1 were born outside the United States;
  - 7.7% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Age
  - 40% or 26 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 60% or 39 were 18-25 years of age.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 21.5% or 14 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 12.3% or 8 were Black/African American;
  - 6.2% or 4 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 1.5% or 1 were Asian;
  - 4.6% or 3 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 
  - 12.3% or 8 identified as some other race<sup>26</sup>;
  - 3.1% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 40% or 26 identified as Female;
  - 58.5% or 38 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 1.5% or 1 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 3.08% or 2 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 84.62% or 55 were Straight;
  - 1.54% or 1 were Bisexual;
  - 4.62% or 3 were Queer/Other;

---

<sup>26</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified “Some Other Race” as “Hispanic or Latino.”

- 1.54% or 1 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 3.08% or 2 preferred not to answer;
  - 1.54% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Housing Status and Stability:
    - Where did you stay last night?
      - 7.69% or 5 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
      - 49.23% or 32 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
      - 9.23% or 6 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
      - 1.54% or 1 stayed in a group home;
      - 4.62% or 3 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
      - 3.08% or 2 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
      - 1.54% or 1 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
      - 6.15% or 4 stayed at a transitional living program
      - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
      - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
      - 4.62% or 3 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
      - 4.62% or 3 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
      - 3.08% or 2 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
      - 1.54% or 1 stayed somewhere else;
      - 3.08% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been staying there?
      - 18.5% or 12 have been staying there for days;
      - 10.8% or 7 have been staying there for weeks;
      - 40% or 26 have been staying there for months;
      - 27.7% or 18 have been staying there for years;
      - 3.08% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
    - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
      - 58.46% or 38 responded "yes";
      - 18.5% or 12 responded "no";
      - 21.54% or 14 responded "I don't know";
      - 1.5% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?

- 16.9% or 11 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
  - 13.9% or 9 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
  - 26.2% or 17 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
  - 23% or 15 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
  - 20% or 13 did not have a recorded answer.
- Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 21.5% or 14 responded 0 times;
  - 43.1% or 28 responded 1-3 times;
  - 18.5% or 12 responded 4-10 times;
  - 7.7% or 5 responded 11-50 times;
  - 0% or 0 responded 51-100 times;
  - 1.5% or 1 responded 101+ times;
  - 7.7% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 50.8% or 33 responded “yes”.
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 9.2% or 6 responded “yes”.
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 16.9% or 11 responded “yes”.
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 6.2% or 4 responded “yes”.
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 23.1% or 15 responded “yes”<sup>27</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 12.31% or 8 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 46.15% or 30 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 27.69% or 18 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 1.54% or 1 completed GED certificate;
    - 9.23% or 6 completed some college;

---

<sup>27</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 1.54% or 1 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 1.54% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 55.4% or 36 responded “yes”;
    - 43.1% or 28 responded “no”;
    - 1.5% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 20% or 13 responded “yes”;
  - 77% or 50 responded “no”;
  - 3% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 17% or 11 responded “yes”;
  - 80% or 52 responded “no”;
  - 1.5% or 1 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 1.5% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Justice System Involvement
  - 30.8% or 20 responded “yes”;
  - 67.7% or 44 responded “no”;
  - 1.5% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 21.5% or 14 responded “yes”;
    - 78.5% or 51 responded “no”.
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 31 responded “yes”.
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 15 responded “yes”.
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 16 responded “yes”.

- Did not qualify for services.
  - 7 responded “yes”.
- Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
  - 4 responded “yes”.
- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 3 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 2 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 13 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 6 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 15 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 69.2% or 45 responded as having 0 children;
    - 10.8% or 7 responded as having 1 child;
    - 1.5% or 1 responded as having 2 children;
    - 18.5% or 12 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 72.3% or 47 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 6.2% or 4 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 1.5% or 1 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 20% or 13 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 10.8% or 7 responded “yes”;
    - 76.9% or 50 responded “no”;
    - 1.5% or 1 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 10.8% or 7 did not have a recorded answer.

- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 24.6% or 16 responded “yes”;
  - 72.3% or 47 responded “no”;
  - 3.1% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Military Service
  - 4.6% or 3 responded “yes”;
  - 87.7% or 57 responded “no”;
  - 7.7% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## Redlands

Total Surveyed: 47

- State Born:
  - 91.5% or 43 were born in CA;
  - 8.5% or 4 were born in another state outside of CA.
  
- Age
  - 17% or 8 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 83% or 39 were 18-25 years of age.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 31.9% or 15 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 6.4% or 3 were Black/African American;
  - 66% or 31 were White;
  - 10.6% or 5 identified as some other race<sup>28</sup>;
  - 17% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 25.5% or 12 identified as Female;
  - 74.5% or 35 identified as Male.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 2% or 1 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 87% or 41 were Straight;
  - 9% or 4 were Bisexual;
  - 2% or 1 responded I don't know/Questioning.
  
- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?
    - 0% or 0 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
    - 44.7% or 21 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
    - 10.6% or 5 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;

---

<sup>28</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified "Some Other Race" as "Hispanic or Latino."

- 0% or 0 stayed in a group home;
  - 2.1% or 1 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
  - 2.1% or 1 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
  - 8.5% or 4 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a transitional living program
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
  - 2.1% or 1 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
  - 12.8% or 6 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
  - 10.6% or 5 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
  - 6.4% or 3 stayed somewhere else.
- How long have you been staying there?
    - 6.4% or 3 have been staying there for days;
    - 27.7% or 13 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 57.4% or 27 have been staying there for months;
    - 8.5% or 4 have been staying there for years.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 53.2% or 25 responded “yes”;
    - 8.5% or 4 responded “no”;
    - 38.3% or 18 responded “I don’t know”.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 0% or 0 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 2.13% or 1 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 34.04% or 16 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 55.32% or 26 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
    - 8.51% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 2.13% or 1 responded 0 times;
    - 17.02% or 8 responded 1-3 times;
    - 36.17% or 17 responded 4-10 times;
    - 12.77% or 6 responded 11-50 times;
    - 31.91% or 15 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Ran away/kicked out from my family home.

- 74.5% or 35 responded “yes”.
  - Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
    - 6.4% or 3 responded “yes”.
  - Violence at home between family members.
    - 29.8% or 14 responded “yes”.
  - Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
    - 10.6% or 5 responded “yes”.
  - Left home because of some other reason
    - 23.4% or 11 responded “yes”<sup>29</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 14.9% or 7 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 51.1% or 24 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 23.4% or 11 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 8.5% or 4 completed GED certificate;
    - 2.1% or 1 completed some college;
    - 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 17% or 8 responded “yes”;
    - 83% or 39 responded “no”.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 12.8% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 87.2% or 41 responded “no”.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 12.8% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 83% or 39 responded “no”;
  - 4.2% or 2 responded “I don’t know/not sure”.

---

<sup>29</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- Justice System Involvement
  - 46.8% or 22 responded “yes”;
  - 51.1% or 24 responded “no”;
  - 2.1% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 89.4% or 42 responded “yes”<sup>30</sup>;
    - 10.6% or 5 responded “no”.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 12 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 13 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 5 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 2 responded “yes”.
  
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 0 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not follow through or return for services.
    - 2 responded “yes”.
  
  - Language barrier.
    - 0 responded “yes”.
  
  - Felt uncomfortable.
    - 10 responded “yes”.
  
  - Some other reason.
    - 9 responded “yes”.
  
  - Have not experienced any issues.
    - 9 responded “yes”.

---

<sup>30</sup> The majority of respondents identified “Youth Hope” as the location from where they are accessing resources.

- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 74.5% or 35 responded as having 0 children;
    - 17% or 8 responded as having 1 child;
    - 8.5% or 4 responded as having 2 children.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 89% or 42 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 11% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 0% or 0 responded “yes”;
    - 81% or 38 responded “no”;
    - 0% or 0 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 0% or 0 preferred not to answer;
    - 19% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 51% or 24 responded “yes”;
  - 47% or 22 responded “no”;
  - 2% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Military Service
  - 2.1% or 1 responded “yes”;
  - 97.9% or 46 responded “no”.

## San Bernardino

Total Surveyed: 83

- State Born:
  - 85.54% or 71 were born in CA;
  - 7.23% or 6 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 2.41% or 2 were born outside the United States;
  - 4.82% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Age
  - 51.8% or 43 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 44.6% or 37 were 18-25 years of age;
  - 3.6% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 47% or 39 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 42.17% or 35 were Black/African American;
  - 4.82% or 4 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 2.41% or 2 were Asian;
  - 1.21% or 1 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 14.46% or 12 were White;
  - 18.07% or 15 identified as some other race<sup>31</sup>;
  - 16.87% or 14 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 38.6% or 32 identified as Female;
  - 60.2% or 50 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 1.2% or 1 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 4.82% or 4 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 75.9% or 63 were Straight;
  - 9.64% or 8 were Bisexual;

---

<sup>31</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified “Some Other Race” as “Hispanic or Latino.”

- 0% or 0 were Queer/Other;
  - 1.21% or 1 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 2.42% or 2 preferred not to answer;
  - 6% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
- Housing Status and Stability:
    - Where did you stay last night?
      - 54.2% or 45 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
      - 15.7% or 13 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
      - 3.6% or 3 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
      - 0 % or 0 stayed in a group home;
      - 2.4% or 2 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
      - 0% or 0 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
      - 0% or 0 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
      - 4.8% or 4 stayed at a transitional living program
      - 3.6% or 3 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
      - 1.2% or 1 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
      - 1.2% or 1 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
      - 0% or 0 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
      - 1.2% or 1 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
      - 4.8% or 4 stayed somewhere else;
      - 7.2% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been staying there?
      - 14.46% or 12 have been staying there for days;
      - 9.64% or 8 have been staying there for weeks;
      - 30.12% or 25 have been staying there for months;
      - 44.58% or 37 have been staying there for years;
      - 1.2% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
    - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
      - 57.83% or 48 responded "yes";
      - 8.43% or 7 responded "no";
      - 28.92% or 24 responded "I don't know";
      - 4.82% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.

- How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 9.6% or 8 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
  - 3.6% or 3 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
  - 30.1% or 25 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
  - 14.5% or 12 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
  - 42.2% or 35 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
  - 31.33% or 26 responded 0 times;
  - 36.15% or 30 responded 1-3 times;
  - 13.25% or 11 responded 4-10 times;
  - 1.2% or 1 responded 11-50 times;
  - 0 % or 0 responded 51-100 times;
  - 1.2% or 1 responded 101+ times;
  - 16.87% or 14 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 20.5% or 17 responded “yes”.
  
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 6% or 5 responded “yes”.
  
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 16.9% or 14 responded “yes”.
  
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 6% or 5 responded “yes”.
  
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 27.7% or 23 responded “yes”<sup>32</sup>.
  
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 9.64% or 8 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 46.99% or 39 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 31.33% or 26 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 1.2% or 1 completed GED certificate;

---

<sup>32</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 4.82% or 4 completed some college;
    - 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training;
    - 6.02% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 63.9% or 53 responded “yes”;
    - 30.1% or 25 responded “no”;
    - 6% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 16.87% or 14 responded “yes”;
  - 78.31% or 65 responded “no”;
  - 4.82% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 15.66% or 13 responded “yes”;
  - 75.9% or 63 responded “no”;
  - 4.82% or 4 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
  - 3.62% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
- Justice System Involvement
  - 32.5 % or 27 responded “yes”;
  - 63.9% or 53 responded “no”;
  - 3.6% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 27.71% or 23 responded “yes”;
    - 68.68% or 57 responded “no”;
    - 3.61% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 32 responded “yes”.
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 18 responded “yes”.
  - Did not know where to go for help.

- 13 responded “yes”.
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 5 responded “yes”.
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 4 responded “yes”.
  - Did not follow through or return for services.
    - 2 responded “yes”.
  - Language barrier.
    - 3 responded “yes”.
  - Felt uncomfortable.
    - 14 responded “yes”.
  - Some other reason.
    - 1 responded “yes”.
  - Have not experienced any issues.
    - 22 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 75.9% or 63 responded as having 0 children;
    - 9.64% or 8 responded as having 1 child;
    - 4.82% or 4 responded as having 2 children;
    - 9.64% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 81.93% or 68 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 4.82% or 4 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 2.41% or 2 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 10.84% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 2.41% or 2 responded “yes”;
    - 78.31% or 65 responded “no”;
    - 4.82% or 4 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 1.21% or 1 preferred not to answer;

- 13.25% or 11 did not have a recorded answer.
- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 26.5% or 22 responded “yes”;
  - 67.5% or 56 responded “no”;
  - 6% or 5 did not have a recorded answer.
- Military Service
  - 1.2% or 1 responded “yes”;
  - 91.57% or 76 responded “no”;
  - 7.23% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## Victorville

Total Surveyed: 11

- State Born:
  - 72.7% or 8 were born in CA;
  - 18.2% or 2 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 9.1% or 1 was born outside the United States.
  
- Age
  - 18.2% or 2 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 81.8% or 9 were 18-25 years of age.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 45.5% or 5 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 18.2% or 2 were Black/African American;
  - 9% or 1 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 36.4% or 4 were White;
  - 36.4% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 45.5% or 5 identified as Female;
  - 54.5% or 6 identified as Male.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 0% or 0 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 81.8% or 9 were Straight;
  - 18.2% or 2 were Bisexual;
  - 0% or 0 were Queer/Other;
  - 0% or 0 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 0% or 0 preferred not to answer.
  
- Housing Status and Stability:
  - Where did you stay last night?
    - 0% or 0 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
    - 27.3% or 3 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;

- 0% or 0 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
  - 0% or 0 stayed in a group home;
  - 18.2% or 2 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
  - 0% or 0 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
  - 27.3% or 3 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a transitional living program
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
  - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
  - 27.3% or 3 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
  - 0% or 0 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
  - 0% or 0 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
  - 0% or 0 stayed somewhere else.
- How long have you been staying there?
    - 27.3% or 3 have been staying there for days;
    - 36.4% or 4 have been staying there for weeks;
    - 36.4% or 4 have been staying there for months;
    - 0% or 0 have been staying there for years.
  - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
    - 36.36% or 4 responded “yes”;
    - 18.18% or 2 responded “no”;
    - 18.18% or 2 responded “I don’t know”;
    - 27.27% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 18.2% or 2 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 9.1% or 1 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 45.5% or 5 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 27.3% or 3 have been without a home or place to stay for years.
  - Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 0% or 0 responded 0 times;
    - 63.7% or 7 responded 1-3 times;
    - 18.2% or 2 responded 4-10 times;
    - 18.2% or 2 responded 11-50 times.

- Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
  - 36.4% or 4 responded “yes”.
- Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
  - 0% or 0 responded “yes”.
- Violence at home between family members.
  - 36.4% or 4 responded “yes”.
- Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
  - 18.2% or 2 responded “yes”.
- Left home because of some other reason
  - 63.6% or 7 responded “yes”<sup>33</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 0% or 0 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 54.5% or 6 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 27.3% or 3 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 9.1% or 1 completed GED certificate;
    - 9.1% or 1 completed some college;
    - 0% or 0 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 36.4% or 4 responded “yes”;
    - 63.6% or 7 responded “no”.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 9% or 1 responded “yes”;
  - 82% or 9 responded “no”;
  - 9% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 54.5% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 45.5% or 5 responded “no”.

---

<sup>33</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- Justice System Involvement
  - 54.5% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 45.5% or 5 responded “no”.
  
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 72.7% or 8 responded “yes”;
    - 27.3% or 3 responded “no”.
  
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 7 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 0 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 3 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 4 responded “yes”.
  
  - Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
    - 4 responded “yes”.
  
  - Did not follow through or return for services.
    - 1 responded “yes”.
  
  - Language barrier.
    - 0 responded “yes”.
  
  - Felt uncomfortable.
    - 0 responded “yes”.
  
  - Some other reason.
    - 2 responded “yes”.
  
  - Have not experienced any issues.
    - 1 responded “yes”.

- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 54.5% or 6 responded as having 0 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 1 child;
    - 9.1% or 1 responded as having 2 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 3 children;
    - 0% or 0 responded as having 4 children;
    - 9.1% or 1 responded as having 5 children;
    - 27.3% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 72.7% or 8 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 27.3% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 9.1% or 1 responded “yes”;
    - 63.6% or 7 responded “no”;
    - 27.3% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 54.5% or 6 responded “yes”;
  - 45.5% or 5 responded “no”.
- Military Service
  - 9.1% or 1 responded “yes”;
  - 90.9% or 10 responded “no”.

## West End

Total Surveyed: 98

- State Born:
  - 80.6% or 79 were born in CA;
  - 8.2% or 8 were born in another state outside of CA;
  - 8.2% or 8 were born outside the United States;
  - 3% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Age
  - 31.6% or 31 were 11-17 years of age;
  - 62.3% or 61 were 18-25 years of age;
  - 6.1% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Ethnicity:
  - 57.1% or 56 identified as Hispanic or Latino.
  
- Race:
  - 22.45% or 22 were Black/African American;
  - 2.04% or 2 were Native American or Alaskan Native
  - 0% or 0 were Asian;
  - 2.04% or 2 was Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander;
  - 16.33% or 16 were White;
  - 20.41% or 20 identified as some other race<sup>34</sup>;
  - 36.74% or 36 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Gender Identity:
  - 44.9% or 44 identified as Female;
  - 55.1% or 54 identified as Male;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Transgender, and identify as a boy or man;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Not Sure;
  - 0% or 0 identified as Other.
  
- Sexual Orientation:
  - 7.1% or 7 were Gay or Lesbian;
  - 85.7% or 84 were Straight;
  - 4.1% or 4 were Bisexual;

---

<sup>34</sup> Approximately 9 out of 10 youth survey respondents identified “Some Other Race” as “Hispanic or Latino.”

- 0% or 0 were Queer/Other;
  - 0% or 0 responded I don't know/Questioning;
  - 3.1% or 3 preferred not to answer.
- Housing Status and Stability:
    - Where did you stay last night?
      - 33.7% or 33 stayed at the home of parent, guardian or foster parent;
      - 30.6% or 30 stayed at the home of a friend or other relative's home - indoors, on a couch or in place meant for regular sleeping;
      - 4.1% or 4 stayed at the home of a Friend or other relative's home - outdoors or indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping;
      - 0% or 0 stayed in a group home;
      - 9.2% or 9 stayed at the home of their partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend;
      - 1% or 1 stayed with someone they did not know because they needed a place to stay;
      - 1% or 1 stayed at a youth or adult shelter;
      - 0% or 0 stayed at a transitional living program
      - 0% or 0 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by a government program or nonprofit organization;
      - 1% or 1 stayed at a hotel/motel paid for by some other way;
      - 10.2% or 10 stayed outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.;
      - 1% or 1 stayed in an abandoned building or squatted;
      - 3.1% or 3 stayed in a car, bus, or RV;
      - 2% or 2 stayed somewhere else;
      - 3.1% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been staying there?
      - 10.2% or 10 have been staying there for days;
      - 8.2% or 8 have been staying there for weeks;
      - 48% or 47 have been staying there for months;
      - 30.6% or 30 have been staying there for years;
      - 3% or 3 did not have a recorded answer.
    - Do you think you can stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?
      - 64.3% or 63 responded "yes";
      - 14.3% or 14 responded "no";
      - 19.4% or 19 responded "I don't know";
      - 2% or 2 did not have a recorded answer.
    - How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?

- 7.1% or 7 have been without a home or place to stay for days;
    - 8.2% or 8 have been without a home or place to stay for weeks;
    - 40.8% or 40 have been without a home or place to stay for months;
    - 30.6% or 30 have been without a home or place to stay for years;
    - 13.3% or 13 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Thinking about the past 3 years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?
    - 16.3% or 16 responded 0 times;
    - 55.1% or 54 responded 1-3 times;
    - 15.3% or 15 responded 4-10 times;
    - 2% or 2 responded 11-50 times;
    - 1% or 1 responded 51-100 times;
    - 1% or 1 responded 101+ times;
    - 9.2% or 9 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Ran away/kicked out from my family home.
    - 48% or 47 responded “yes”.
  - Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home.
    - 2% or 2 responded “yes”.
  - Violence at home between family members.
    - 23.5% or 23 responded “yes”.
  - Difference with parents about religious beliefs.
    - 7.1% or 7 responded “yes”.
  - Left home because of some other reason
    - 30.6% or 30 responded “yes”<sup>35</sup>.
- Education
  - Highest grade completed?
    - 9.2% or 9 completed 9<sup>th</sup> grade or less;
    - 65.3% or 64 completed 9<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> grade;
    - 16.3% or 16 completed 12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma);
    - 1% or 1 completed GED certificate;
    - 6.1% or 6 completed some college;

---

<sup>35</sup> The majority of responses to “left home because of some other reason” were as a result of the death of a parent or grandparent.

- 2% or 2 completed an Associate’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed a Bachelor’s degree;
    - 0% or 0 completed Post-secondary training.
  - Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?
    - 70.4% or 69 responded “yes”;
    - 29.6% or 29 responded “no”.
- Employment (have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment)
  - 25.5% or 25 responded “yes”;
  - 73.5% or 72 responded “no”;
  - 1% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
- Have you ever been in foster care?
  - 16.33% or 16 responded “yes”;
  - 81.63% or 80 responded “no”;
  - 2.04% or 2 responded “I don’t know/not sure”.
- Justice System Involvement
  - 35.7% or 35 responded “yes”;
  - 64.3% or 63 responded “no”.
- Accessing Services and issues experienced
  - Are you currently accessing any services in the community?
    - 30.6% or 30 responded “yes”;
    - 68.4% or 67 responded “no”;
    - 1% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Lack of transportation.
    - 45 responded “yes”.
  - Did not have I.D./personal documents.
    - 23 responded “yes”.
  - Did not know where to go for help.
    - 25 responded “yes”.
  - Did not qualify for services.
    - 13 responded “yes”.

- Placed on a wait list and never heard back.
  - 14 responded “yes”.
- Did not follow through or return for services.
  - 9 responded “yes”.
- Language barrier.
  - 2 responded “yes”.
- Felt uncomfortable.
  - 23 responded “yes”.
- Some other reason.
  - 7 responded “yes”.
- Have not experienced any issues.
  - 22 responded “yes”.
- Household Status
  - How many biological children do you have?
    - 68.4% or 67 responded as having 0 children;
    - 14.3% or 14 responded as having 1 child;
    - 9.2% or 9 responded as having 2 children;
    - 1% or 1 responded as having 3 children;
    - 1% or 1 responded as having 4 children;
    - 6.1% or 6 did not have a recorded answer.
  - How many of your children are currently living with you?
    - 74.5% or 73 responded as having 0 children living with them;
    - 10.2% or 10 responded as having 1 child living with them;
    - 6.1% or 6 responded as having 2 children living with them;
    - 1% or 1 responded as having 3 children living with them;
    - 8.2% or 8 did not have a recorded answer.
  - Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?
    - 7.1% or 7 responded “yes”;
    - 77.6% or 76 responded “no”;
    - 5.1% or 5 responded “I don’t know/not sure”;
    - 6.1% or 6 preferred not to answer;
    - 4.1% or 4 did not have a recorded answer.

- Has a doctor or health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability?
  - 36.7% or 36 responded “yes”;
  - 62.3% or 61 responded “no”;
  - 1% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.
  
- Military Service
  - 0% or 0 responded “yes”;
  - 99% or 97 responded “no”;
  - 1% or 1 did not have a recorded answer.

DRAFT

## **Appendix C: Survey Instrument**

DRAFT

## 2015 UNACCOMPANIED YOUTH SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

**INTRODUCTION:** Hello, my name is \_\_\_\_\_, and I am helping to conduct a brief survey to better understand the housing needs of young people in our community. It should only take about 10 minutes of your time, and will help us develop more resources and services for young people in our community. If you have not yet taken this survey, are you interested in taking it now?

**SURVEY LOCATION:** \_\_\_\_\_ **SURVEY DATE:** \_\_\_\_\_ **INITIALS OF SURVEYOR** \_\_\_\_\_

**UNIQUE ID:**      \_ \_ \_      \_ \_ \_      \_ \_ \_      \_ \_ \_      \_ \_ \_      \_ \_ \_  
First 3 letters of first name      First 3 letters of last name      State Born      Age Range: A-(0-10yr), B-(11-17yr), C-(18-25yr)

**HOUSING STATUS & STABILITY** – *First, I have some questions about your housing, including where you stayed last night and reasons you may have ever lost your housing.*

**1. Where did you stay last night? Please select one of the following options.**

- a. Home of my parent, guardian, or foster parent
- b. Friend or other relative's home – indoors, on a couch or in a place meant for regular sleeping
- c. Friend or other relative's home – outdoors OR indoors in a place not meant for regular sleeping
- d. Group home
- e. Home of my partner, boyfriend, or girlfriend
- f. With someone I did not know because I needed a place to stay
- g. Youth or adult shelter
- h. Transitional living program
- i. Hotel/motel paid for by a government program or non--profit organization
- j. Hotel/motel paid for some other way
- k. Outdoors or in a public place, like a park, the street, a campground, a train or bus station, etc.
- l. Abandoned building or squat
- m. Car, bus, or RV
- n. Hospital, psychiatric facility, or drug/alcohol treatment center
- o. Juvenile detention, jail, or prison
- p. Somewhere else (*please specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

**2. How long have you been staying there?**  
 # \_\_\_\_\_  Days  Weeks  Months  Years

**3. IF A--F:** Do you think you could stay there for the next 14 days without being asked to leave?  
 a.  Yes      b.  No      c.  I don't know

**4. How long have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?**  
 # \_\_\_\_\_  Days  Weeks  Months  Years

**5. Thinking about the past three years, how many different times have you been without a home, or a regular place to stay?** # \_\_\_\_\_ times

**6. Did you ever lose your housing or become homeless for any of the following reasons? Check all that apply.**

- a.  Ran away/kicked out from my family home
- b.  Ran away/kicked out from a group home or foster home
- c.  Violence at home between family members
- d.  Differences with parents about religious beliefs
- e.  Because of some other reason (*please specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

**DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION** – *Now, I am going to ask you for some information about your background, including how you identify in your race and ethnicity, gender, and sexual orientation.*

**7. Do you identify as Hispanic or Latino?**  
 a.  Yes      b.  No

**8. With which race(s) do you most identify? Check all that apply.**

- a.  Black/African American
- b.  Native American or Alaskan Native
- c.  Asian
- d.  Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- e.  White
- f.  Some other race: \_\_\_\_\_

**9. What is your current gender identity?**

- a.  Female
- b.  Male
- c.  Transgender, and identify as a girl or woman
- d.  Transgender, and identify as a boy or man
- e.  Not sure
- f.  Other (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

10. Which of the following best represents how you think of yourself?

- a.  Gay or Lesbian
- b.  Straight
- c.  Bisexual
- d.  Queer/Other
- e.  I don't know/Questioning
- f.  Prefer not to answer

11. Has a doctor or other health professional ever told you that you have a physical, mental, emotional, or developmental disability? For example, a chronic health condition, a learning disability, a mental health or substance abuse issue, etc.

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

12. Have you ever served in the military? (17 yrs. & up)

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

**EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT, & EXPERIENCES** – Now, I am going to ask a few questions about your education and employment, and some of your life experiences.

13. What is the highest grade or year of school that you have completed?

- a.  9<sup>th</sup> grade or less
- b.  9<sup>th</sup> – 11<sup>th</sup> grade
- c.  12<sup>th</sup> grade (diploma)
- d.  GED certificate
- e.  Some college
- f.  Associate's degree
- g.  Bachelor's degree
- h.  Post-secondary training

14. Are you currently attending or enrolled in school?

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

15. Do you currently have a job, paid internship, or other type of employment?

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

16. Have you ever been in foster care? For example, were you ever taken out of your home and placed with other family members, a foster family, a group home, etc.

- a.  Yes
- b.  No
- c.  I don't know/not sure

17. Have you ever been involved in the justice system? This includes jail, juvenile hall, probation camp, staying overnight or longer in jail or prison, and being on probation or parole, etc.

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

18. Are you currently accessing any services in the community? For example, emergency shelter, case management, food banks, counseling or mental health services, etc.

- a.  Yes
- b.  No

If yes, where?

---

---

19. Have you recently experienced any of the following issues in trying to get help or access services in the community? Check all that apply.

- a.  Lack of transportation
- b.  Did not have I.D./personal documents
- c.  Did not know where to go for help
- d.  Did not qualify for services
- e.  Placed on a wait list and never heard back
- f.  Did not follow through or return for services
- g.  Language barrier
- h.  Felt uncomfortable
- i.  Some other reason: \_\_\_\_\_
- j.  I have not experienced any issues

**HOUSEHOLD** – Lastly, I have some questions about the household member who are staying with you. Again your responses will not be shared with anyone outside of our team.

20. If you are a parent,

- a. How many biological children have you had?  
\_\_\_\_\_ child(ren)  Not applicable
- b. How many of your own children are currently living with you?  
\_\_\_\_\_ child(ren)  Not applicable

21. Are you currently pregnant, or have you recently gotten someone else pregnant?

- a.  Yes
- b.  No
- c.  I don't know/not sure
- d.  Prefer not to answer

22. Including yourself, how many people in your household were sleeping in the same place as you last night?

- a. \_\_\_\_\_ Children (under 10)
- b. \_\_\_\_\_ Children (11-17)
- c. \_\_\_\_\_ Youth (18-25)
- d. \_\_\_\_\_ Adults (26 and older)

**THANK YOU** for taking the time to participate in this survey!

# San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership

## Interagency Council on Homelessness

Administrative Office  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Office: (909) 386-8297



FROM: Tom Hernandez, Homeless Services Manager

SUBJECT: Approve Recommendations for the Continuum of Care Renewals, Reallocation, and Permanent Housing Bonus applications

DATE: October 28, 2015

### RECOMMENDATION

Approve Recommendations for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 Continuum of Care Grant Renewals, Reallocation, and Permanent Housing Bonus applications.

### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

According to the Continuum of Care (CoC) FY 2015 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) will not consider requests for new funding outside of the reallocation process and the permanent housing bonus process. According to the NOFA, CoCs may create the following type of new projects through the reallocation process:

- a. CoCs may create new permanent supportive housing projects where all beds will be dedicated for use by chronically homeless individuals and families, as defined in 24 CFR 578.3.
- b. CoCs may create new rapid re-housing projects for homeless individuals and families who enter directly from the streets or emergency shelters, youth up to age 24, and persons who meet the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.
- c. CoCs may create a new Supportive Services Only (SSO) project specifically for a centralized or coordinated assessment system.
- d. CoCs may create a new dedicated Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) project for the costs at 24 CFR 578.37 that must be carried out by the HMIS Lead.

Although the available amount of funding is expected to be sufficient to fund anticipated eligible renewal projects in FY 2015, HUD will continue to require Collaborative Applicants to rank all projects, except CoC planning and UFA Costs, in two tiers. Tier 1 is equal to 85 percent of the CoC's FY 2015 Annual Renewal Demand approved by HUD on the final HUD-approved Grant Inventory Worksheet, finalized either during the FY 2015 CoC Program Registration process or during the 10-day grace period after this NOFA was published. Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) plus any amount available for the permanent housing bonus. There is no new money available for new programs this year, other than what could be carved out of existing programs based on performance and line of credit control system drawdowns.

#### Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
City of Ontario  
City of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Human Services  
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
City of Redlands  
Town of Yucca Valley  
Department of Probation  
Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
Workforce Development Department  
Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
City of Rancho Cucamonga  
Department of Behavioral Health  
Department of Rehabilitation  
211 United Way  
Sheriff's Department  
General Members-At-Large

# San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership

## Interagency Council on Homelessness

Administrative Office  
303 E. Vanderbilt Way, San Bernardino, CA 92415  
Office: (909) 386-8297



As of the approved Grant Inventory Worksheet from HUD, our ARD is \$7,415,245. Fifteen percent of the total ARD is \$1,112,287, thus for Tier 1 we have \$6,302,958 available.

On September 23, 2015, the ICH moved to approve the recommended CoC redirect of funds in the amount of \$403,137 to establish a functioning Coordinated Entry System for our CoC geographic region.

The Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH) Ad Hoc Grant Review Committee also met on October 16, 2015, to review the submissions for the Permanent Housing Bonus Project funding and recommended Step Up on Second Street, Inc. in the amount of \$1,528,208 for this FY's submission.

OHS is requesting the approval of the following CoC Grant Renewals, Reallocation, Planning, and Permanent Housing Bonus applications, as indicated in the attached Item #6a for submission through the Collaborative Application to HUD.

Please note: Pursuant to statute and the program regulations, the ICH retains the ability to revise the funding levels, and reallocate funds as needed for the benefit of the CoC, and negotiate applications for the maximum benefit of the CoC, through the Office of Homeless Services.

### Members of the Interagency Council on Homelessness

Members of the Board of Supervisors  
City of Ontario  
City of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Human Services  
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County  
Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino  
San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools  
Department of Community Development and Housing

City of Barstow  
City of Redlands  
Town of Yucca Valley  
Department of Probation  
Veteran Administration Loma Linda  
Workforce Development Department  
Members of the Homeless Provider Network  
HMIS Lead Agency

City of Colton  
City of Rancho Cucamonga  
Department of Behavioral Health  
Department of Rehabilitation  
211 United Way  
Sheriff's Department  
General Members-At-Large

2015 CoC Homeless Assistance Grant Summary				
2014 Renewals				
	Agency	Project	Type	Total Request
1	Central City Lutheran Mission	Permanent Housing HIV	PSH	\$75,740.00
2	Central City Lutheran Mission	St. Martins, Too!	TH	\$22,972.00
3	Department of Behavioral Health Office of Homeless Services	Homeless Management Information System	HMIS	\$250,158.00
4	Foothill Family Shelter	Foothill Family Shelter	TH	\$34,125.00
6	Life Community Development	Restore to Hope	TH	\$84,346.00
7	Life Community Development	The Gatekeeper	TH	\$165,610.00
8	Global One Development	Global One Development TAY Housing	PSH	\$185,775.00
9	House of Prayer Gospel Outreach Ministries	Hope For Heroes	PSH	\$476,633.00
10	House of Prayer Gospel Outreach Ministries	Hope For Heroes II	PSH	\$323,251.00
11	Housing Authority County of San Bernardino	Laurelbrook Estates	PSH	\$348,300.00
12	Housing Authority County of San Bernardino	New Horizon	PSH	\$1,814,400.00
13	Housing Authority County of San Bernardino	Project Gateway	PSH	\$177,654.00
14	Housing Authority County of San Bernardino	Stepping Stones	PSH	\$322,619.00
15	Knowledge, Education for Your Success, Inc.	KEYS for Life Program	RRH	\$236,605.00
16	Inland Temporary Homes	Infinite Horizons	RRH	\$511,114.00
17	Inland Valley Hope Partners	Hope Partners Family Stabilization Program	RRH	\$137,861.00
18	New Hope Village, Inc.	New Hope Village Too	PSH	\$38,564.00
19	The Salvation Army	Path to Prosperity	TH	\$158,521.00
20	The Salvation Army	San Bernardino Transitional Living Center	TH	\$292,203.00
21	Time For Change Foundation	Homes of Hope	PSH	\$354,708.00
22	US Vets	Veterans Permanent Supportive Housing Program	PSH	\$1,000,949.00

		<b>Total Renewal Request</b>	<b>\$7,012,108.00</b>
--	--	------------------------------	-----------------------

2015 New Reallocated Project				
	Agency	Project	Type	Total Request
23	Inland Empire United Way	FLASH (Fast Linkage And Secured Housing)	SSO-CES	\$403,137.00
<b>Total New Reallocated Projects</b>				<b>\$403,137.00</b>
2015 New Permanent Housing Bonus				
		Project	Type	
24	Step Up on Second Street, Inc.	Step Up in San Bernardino	PSH	\$1,528,208.00
<b>Total New Permanent Housing Bonus</b>				<b>\$1,528,208.00</b>
2015 Planning Funds				
		Project	Type	
25	Department of Behavioral Health Office of Homeless Services	FY 2015 CoC Planning Project	Planning	\$305,672.00
<b>Total Planning Funds</b>				<b>\$305,672.00</b>

Funding Available	2015 HUD Funding Available	CoC Request	Unused
Renewal Projects	\$7,012,108.00	\$7,012,108.00	\$0.00
Reallocated Projects	\$403,137.00	\$403,137.00	\$0.00
Permanent Housing Bonus	\$1,528,358.00	\$1,528,208.00	\$150.00
Planning Funds	\$305,672.00	\$305,672.00	\$0.00
Annual Renewal Demand (ARD)	\$7,415,245.00	\$7,415,245.00	\$0.00
Potential Award	\$9,249,275.00	\$9,249,125.00	\$150.00

**Total Amount Available for Tier 1 (ARD Less 15%)**      **\$6,302,958.00**  
**Total Amount Available for Tier 2 (Difference between the ARD and Tier 1)**      **\$1,112,287.00**