

## OBJECTIVE ARTS (OA) REPORTS MANUAL

A guide for running the most commonly used reports in San Bernardino County Department of Behavioral Health's Objective Art's system.

DBH 2-2-2021



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

How to Create and Run a Report1 Monitoring Assessment Completion2
Tickler with Episodes Report (Tickler)2
CANS/ANSA DATA Counts Expected Assessment Summary (Expected Assessment Report)5
Monitoring Client Level Scores7
Outcome Snapshot Combined Reports (Outcome Snapshot Report & Outcome Snapshot Combined)7
Algorithm Client Scores by OU Reports Client Actionable Items Report (CAIR)9
Monitoring Service Effectiveness11
Reliable Change Index Reports11
TCOM Multi-Level Collaborative Formulation14
TCOM Impact Reports16
Additional Reports19
Treatment Progress Report (TPR)19
Narrative Report20
Printing a Score Sheet



## HOW TO CREATE AND RUN A REPORT

For all reports except for the Treatment Progress Report (TPR) and the narrative report, follow these instructions:

1. From the Objective Arts Home Screen, click Reports.



- 2. Click on Personal Reports tab, and select the New button.
- 3. Select and double click on the title of the report.
- 4. Select the Report Parameters. (Detailed instructions under the report sections.)
- 5. To run the report
  - a. Click once on the report row so that it is highlighted.
  - b. Click Run on the Personal Reports toolbar.
    - i. To view the report immediately, choose Immediate and the report will automatically generate and open in a separate tab or window.
    - ii. To view the report later choose Delivered and it will be sent to the email associated with the staff member who is logged on.
- 6. Save or Print the report by selecting the appropriate choice on the tool bar. (If run immediately.)



## MONITORING ASSESSMENT COMPLETION

## TICKLER WITH EPISODES REPORT (TICKLER)

The CANS 'Tickler with Episodes' (Tickler Report) is a report detailing when assessments are expected for a client, sorted by clinician, and color coded. Each client row shows the expected dates for the next assessment(s). If the assessment becomes past due, it will go through a series of different icons and colors to visually reflect what needs to be completed. The intent of the report is to ensure that client assessments are conducted timely and in accordance with contract program standards.

This report is auto-emailed from the OA system every Monday to the Domain Clinical Admin, Clinical Admin, Clinical Staff, and Supervisor Objective Arts (OA) roles, but it can be manually run at any time.

#### **Questions Answered by Tickler Report:**

- Which clients are open/closed to a Reporting Unit?
- Who is the designated "Primary Therapist" (i.e., caseload)?
- Which expected CANS are completed, missing, or coming due?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to Tickler Report:

- Plan on completing next due CANS.
- Verify that CANS are being recorded in Objective Arts.
- Monitor accuracy of caseload assignments.

#### **Common Parameters for the Tickler Report:**

The report defaults to show all open clients and clients closed within the last 90 days. Do not enter dates into the Date Range, Start Date, or End Date fields, as this will return historical results which are not helpful for clinical practice and/or CANS/ANSA completions.

- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Select Specified Date Range
- <u>Start Date</u>: Leave blank
- End Date: Leave blank
- Instruments: CANS/ANSA (choose one or both as appropriate)
- Client Status: Both
- <u>Assessors</u>: Unchecked or select if running for a particular assessor
- <u>Months Range</u>: Unchecked or select 0 to see only open episodes
- Only Include Clients With Missing Assessments: Unchecked
- Reporting Units: The RU(s) needed to produce the report
- <u>Tags</u>: Unchecked



			Ti	ckl	er Rep	oort Exa	mple		
			Т	ickle	r with Ep	isodes			
Data Source: Trans	actional				19	Reporting Un	iit(s)		
Tag(s): All						Instrum	ents: San Bernarding	ANSA, San Bernar	dino CANS
For period: to 2	2/22/2019		Includes cases closed within the past 3 months						
Reporting Unit Assessor's Name	Client ID	Opening	Closing		Initial	Update A	Update B	Update C	Update D
Last25624, First25624	Id25624	Feb 11, 2014		Æ.	Apr 7, 2014	Aug 22, 2018	A Nov 22, 2018	NFeb 22, 2019	May 22, 2019
Last56924, First56924	Id56924	Oct 13, 2016	Dec 12, 2018	Æ,	Oct 13, 2016	Feb 23, 2018	May 14, 2018	As Aug 15, 2018	Su Dec 12, 2018 (D
egend Assmt Status: Missing Assmt Expected Assmt	As As Pa D Ex	ssigned Su st expected date pected in the fut	Submitted	Ap App Long	roved Re past, but a rec cted within 30 (	Rejected ant one done lays	(D) Discharge	e ed date	
eb 22, 2019, 9:49 AM									Page 1 of 3
reo 22, 2013, 3:43 AM									Page 1

#### **Common Tickler Report Problems:**

- 1. If a client is listed on the Tickler Report and is expected to be closed:
  - a. Remember, by default the Tickler includes clients closed in the system within the last 90 days of running the report. If client has a date in the "Closing" column then no action is needed.
  - b. If no date in the "Closing" column then verify that the DBH billing system (e.g., myAvatar) shows the client episode as closed.
    - i. If the client episode was closed within the last seven (7) days wait a week to see if it is included on the next data upload.
    - ii. If the client episode is not closed in the DBH billing system, have your agency's myAvatar data entry clerk close the episode.
    - iii. If the <u>data entry</u> for an episode closure is 180 days past the actual episode close date, the episode close date will not upload to OA. If this happens, contact the agency Objective Arts Subject Matter Expert (OA SME).
    - iv. If the episode is closed in the DBH billing system, but is showing on the Tickler report as open, the organization's OA SME should contact the DBH OA mailbox with a Request to Close Client Episode(s) via secured/encrypted email. The request must include the following:
      - (a) Verification (e.g., screen shot) that the episode(s) are closed in the DBH billing system
      - (b) Client full name
      - (c) Client billing system identification number (i.e., My Avatar number).
      - (d) Episode Open Date
      - (e) Episode Closing Date
      - (f) Reporting Unit number



- 2. If 'No User with RU Responsibilities Assigned' is listed as the assessor, one or more of the following may be the cause and must be corrected. Please contact the agency OA SME:
  - a. The staff listed in the DBH billing system as the Primary Therapist does not have an OA profile.
  - b. The login ID assigned to the OA profile does not match the staff's assigned billing system number (i.e., My Avatar number).
  - c. Staff is not placed in OA under the Reporting Unit that is providing services to the client.

			т	ickler with Ep	bisodes			
Data Source: Trans Tag(s): All	sactional				Reporting Unit Instrumer	(s): hts: San Bernardin	o CANS2	
For period: to	10/17/2018			Inclu	ides cases closed w	thin the past 3 mo	nths	
	Client ID	Opening	Closing	Initial	Update A	Update B	Update C	Update D
<b>RESPONSIBLE US</b>	ER							
asis/162.Filats/159	ID37159	Oct 10, 2014		EOct 10, 2014	Feb 2, 2018	May 11, 2018	Aug 11, 2018	1 Nov 11, 201
ast38324.First38324	ID38324	Mar 7, 2014		EOct 15, 2014	Feb 2, 2018	May 11, 2018	Aug 11, 2018	0 Nov 11, 201
AND0507 Emil00507	1010507	New 10, 2014		ET New 10 2014	101 Eab 18 2010 m	A 114. 10 3510	A Aug 10 2010	201 0000 00 200



## CANS/ANSA DATA COUNTS EXPECTED ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (EXPECTED ASSESSMENT REPORT)

The Expected Assessment report provides an aggregate measure of CANS/ANSA completion rates for Initial, Update, Discharge, and Total completed assessments. The report may be aggregated to hide individual staff rates and show completion rates for a clinical unit or agency.

The Expected Assessment report is auto-emailed from the OA system every month to the Domain Clinical Admin, Clinical Staff, Supervisor, and Clinical Admin OA roles, but can be manually run at any time.

#### **Questions Answered by Expected Assessments Report:**

- What percentage of expected CANS/ANSA assessments are done? For:
  - o Initials?
  - Updates? (based on 3 or 6 months from last CANS/ANSA)
  - Discharges?
- Is there enough CANS/ANSA data to allow for aggregate outcome evaluation?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to Expected Assessments Report:

- Primarily used by supervisors and managers to:
  - Identify staff who are doing an exceptional job of completing CANS/ANSA.
  - Help staff who are struggling to complete CANS/ANSA.

#### **Common Parameters for the Expected Assessments Report:**

- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame.
- <u>Start Date:</u> The start date for the time period of the report, if necessary, if date range not selected.
- <u>End Date:</u> The end date for the time period of the report, if necessary, if date range not selected.
- Aggregate Assessors: Check to group all assessors together
- <u>Reporting Units:</u> The RU(s) needed to produce the report
- <u>Aggregate RU:</u> Check to group all agency RUs together
- <u>Assessment Status(es):</u> Submitted and Approved



#### How to Read the Expected Assessment Report

- % Initial Completed Percent of the expected Initial CANS-SB Assessments that were completed in the OA system within 45 days of due date.
- % Update Completed Percent of the expected Update CANS-SB Assessments that were completed in the OA system within 45 days of due date.
- % Discharge Completed Percent of the expected Discharge CANS-SB Assessments that were completed in the OA system within 45 days of due date.
- % Total Completed Percent of the expected Total CANS-SB Assessments that were completed in the OA system within 45 days of due date.

#### Expected Assessment Report Example

RU(s)

#### CANS/ANSA Data Counts Expected Assessment Summary

Data Source: DWH Period: 7/1/2017 to 6/30/2018 Assessment Submitted, Approved Statuses:

	% Initial Completed	% Update Completed	%Discharge Completed	% Total Completed
All RUs				
Green, Mertie	83% (10/12)	28% (7/25)	88% (14/16)	58% (31/53)
Howell, Sabrina	100% (2/2)	50% (6/12)	100% (7/7)	71% (15/21)
Leuschke, Winfield	73% (11/15)	35% (12/34)	87% (26/30)	62% (49/79)
Rolfson, Francesco	75% (6/8)	20% (1/5)	88% (7/8)	67% (14/21)
Sauer, Eugene	100% (6/6)	52% (11/21)	100% (17/17)	77% (34/44)
Shields, Afton	100% (29/29)	80% (4/5)	100% (31/31)	98% (64/65)
Simonis, Duncan	100% (19/19)	52% (25/48)	100% (23/23)	74% (67/90)
Upton, Marisa	100% (26/26)	93% (43/46)	100% (35/35)	97% (104/107)
Totals:	93% (109/117)	56% (109/196)	96% (160/167)	79% (378/480)



## MONITORING CLIENT LEVEL SCORES OUTCOME SNAPSHOT COMBINED REPORTS

(OUTCOME SNAPSHOT REPORT & OUTCOME SNAPSHOT COMBINED)

The Outcome Snapshot Report shows a count of assessment item scores (i.e., 0, 1, 2, and 3). The Outcome Snapshot – Combined report groups the scores as Non-Actionable (0, 1) and Actionable (2, 3). The report provides a snapshot of the actionable vs the non-actionable needs at a given assessment point (Initial, Update, Discharge).

This report is auto-emailed every month to the Supervisor, Clinical Admin, and Domain Clinical Admin OA roles, but can be manually run at any time. The autoemailed report provides data on Initial CANS for the previous month (i.e., what were the needs of clients recently brought into care).

#### **Questions Answered by Outcome Snapshot-Combined Reports:**

- What percentage of clients need help (i.e., action) for a specific item?
- What percentage of clients need immediate and/or intensive needs for a specific item?
- What percentage of clients have specific useful strengths at intake? At discharge?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to Outcome Snapshot-Combined Reports:

- Auto-Email report used by supervisors to get summary of new clients entering care in previous month.
- Used by evaluators to report information on needs addressed by the program.

#### Common Parameters for the Outcome Snapshot-Combined Report:

- Client Status: Both
- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame
- <u>Start Date</u>: The start date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- <u>End Date</u>: The end date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- Instruments: CANS/ANSA
- <u>Assessment Items (CANS/ANSA)</u>: Life Domain Functioning, Child/Youth Strengths, Acculturation, Child/Youth Behavioral/Emotional Needs, Child/Youth Risk Behaviors, and any modules as appropriate (e.g., Ages 0-5/Early Development Module for SART/EIIS programs).
- Assessment Type(s): Initial, Update, Discharge
- Assessment Status: Submitted, Approved
- <u>Rpt Unit/Tag Filter</u>: The RU(s) needed to produce the report



- <u>Aggregate RU</u>: Unchecked (Check if you want to group RUs together)
- Tags: Unchecked
- <u>Scores</u>: ALL
- <u>Age Range(s)</u>: Specified Age Range or All
- <u>Sort By</u>: Unchecked or user discretion
- Gender : Unchecked (defaults to Both) or user discretion

## **Outcome Snapshot - Combined Report Example**

	CANS	Outo	come Snaps	shot - Combi	ned		
For period: RU(s): Gender: Assessment Reasons:	7/1/2017 to 6/3 CCICMS (368 BOTH Initial	0/2018 11)	3	Data Source: Tag(s):	D	WH JI	
All Items with Score:	0,1,2,3	roved		Clients Status:	A	ctive/Inactive	
	Non- Actionable			Actionable			1
Program Name	0	1	Subtotal	2	3	Subtotal	Total
by Assessor by Approver							
Life Domain Function	ning						
Family Functioning Living Situation Social Functioning	4 13 1	16 37 26	20 (13%) 50 (32%) 27 (17%)	82 73 93	56 35 38	<u>138 (87%)</u> <u>108 (68%)</u> <u>131 (83%)</u>	158 158 158
Developmental/Intellectual Job Functioning	<u>118</u> <u>13</u> 112	30 <u>30</u> 9 27	<u>62 (39%)</u> <u>148 (94%)</u> <u>22 (76%)</u> 139 (88%)	<u>15</u> 9 4 16	1 3 3	<u>96 (61%)</u> <u>10 (6%)</u> <u>7 (24%)</u> 19 (12%)	158 158 29 158
Decision Making Medical/Physical Sexual Development	<u>93</u> 92	32 40 39	<u>40 (25%)</u> <u>133 (84%)</u> 131 (83%)	78 18 20	<u>40</u> <u>7</u> 7	<u>118 (75%)</u> <u>25 (16%)</u> 27 (17%)	<u>158</u> <u>158</u> 158
Sleep School Behavior School Achievement	43 28 24	41 43 31	84 (53%) 71 (46%) 55 (36%)	52 57 58	22 26 41	74 (47%) 83 (54%) 99 (64%)	<u>158</u> <u>154</u> <u>154</u>
School Attendance	<u>60</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>101 (66%)</u>	<u>29</u>	23	<u>52 (34%)</u>	<u>153</u>
Feb 22, 2019, 12:49 PM							Page 1 of 1



## ALGORITHM CLIENT SCORES BY OU REPORT

CLIENT ACTIONABLE ITEMS REPORT (CAIR)

The Algorithm Client Scores by OU report, most commonly used to compile the Client Actionable Items Report (CAIR) provides counts of core needs actionable items (scores of 2 or 3) for each client, categorized and grouped by an average algorithm score range (e.g., 0-4, 5-9).

The CAIR is auto-emailed every month to the Clinical Admin, Domain Clinical Admin, and Supervisor roles, but can be manually run at any time. The autoemailed CAIR provides the most recent CANS within the past six months for clients with an open episode.

#### Questions Answered by Core Actionable Item Report (CAIR):

- Are there clients with high needs who should be considered for additional services (e.g., TBS Referral)?
- Are there clients with a low need level who should be considered for discharge or another level of care?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to Core Actionable Item Report (CAIR):

• Supervisors should review the auto-emailed CAIR for low and high scoring clients, and then explore if any action is needed with the clinical staff.

#### Common Parameters for the Algorithm Client Scores by OU Report:

- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame
- <u>Start Date</u>: The start date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- <u>End Date</u>: The end date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- Allow Runtime Override: Unchecked
- <u>Algorithm</u>: Select Core Needs Actionable Items w/Classifications
- Assessment Type: Initial, Update
- Limit Results to Latest Assessment: Unchecked or user discretion
- Limit Results to Open Placements: Unchecked or user discretion
- <u>Rpt Unit/Tag Filter</u>: The RU(s) needed to produce the report
- Tags: Unchecked
- <u>Assessment Status(es)</u>: Submitted, Approved



#### Algorithm Client Scores by OU (CAIR) Report Example

The report delivers the list of clients in a particular algorithm score group. Which includes the average of total algorithm scores along with the average for the particular group.

## Algorithm - Client Scores by RU

Data Source: DWH

Algorithms: CANS Core Needs Actionable Items w/ Classifications

Period: 7/1/2018 to 2/26/2019 Assessment Statuses: Submitted, Approved

Only Last Assessment: Yes

RU(s): Only Open Placement: No

Reporting Unit					
Algorithm Name:		AVG: 18.57	CANS Core I	Needs Actiona Cla	ble Items w/ issifications
Classification (Stra	atification):	AVG: 1.83			0-4
Score values range:				From	0 up to not incl. 5
Client Name	Client Identifier	Assmt Date	Assmt Reason	Assmt ID	Score
Last101491, First101491	ld101491	Nov 21, 2018	Initial	1032083	0
Last62417, First62417	Id62417	Oct 30, 2018	Update	1035018	0
Last70240, First70240	Id70240	Oct 29, 2018	Update	1031153	1
Last68638, First68638	Id68638	Nov 20, 2018	Update	1023826	3
Last69307, First69307	Id69307	Jul 27, 2018	Update	1003276	3
Last93222, First93222	ld93222	Jan 31, 2019	Update	1039366	4
Classification (Stra	atification):	AVG: 7.80			5-9
Score values range:				From 5	up to not incl. 10
Client Name	Client Identifier	Assmt Date	Assmt Reason	Assmt ID	Score
Last42673, First42673	Id42673	Nov 19, 2018	Update	1028340	5
Last73056, First73056	ld73056	Oct 4, 2018	Update	1024648	5
Last82720, First82720	Id82720	Aug 24, 2018	Update	1000160	6
Last57116, First57116	ld57116	Nov 27, 2018	Update	1025510	7



## MONITORING SERVICE EFFECTIVENESS RELIABLE CHANGE INDEX REPORTS

The Reliable Change Index (RCI) report compares the aggregate scores of CANS/ANSA items across time to show if significant changes have occurred. Based on the RCI statistical test, the RCI categorizes individual client scores as: Improved, stayed the same, or declined in an area. There are three different RCI reports in OA. The description section of each report will tell you if it is an RCI by OU, RCI by Domain, or RCI by Custom List. Each report utilizes the same RCI statistical test, but either allows for different combinations of CANS/ANSA items or reports the results slightly differently. The greatest difference between the three RCI reports is that the RCI Custom List allows for the selection of individual assessment items, where the others report by entire domain/module only. Choose the RCI format most appropriate for your needs.

#### **Questions Answered by RCI Reports:**

- What percentage of clients, according to the statistical test, improved between Time 1 (e.g., Initial) and Time 2 (e.g., Planned Discharge) in regards to a set of CANS/ANSA items (e.g., Life Domain Functioning items)?
- What percentage of clients stayed the same?
- What percentage of clients showed an increase need (i.e., "Declined")?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to RCI Reports:

- Primarily used by evaluations staff to provide outcome data, so information may be included to show effectiveness of a program.
- Supervisors may use to celebrate success of clinical unit or agency.
- Supervisors may use the RCI to identify clients who need additional services by setting Time2 to "Last Update", as this will show which clients are having an increased need over time.

#### **Common Parameters for the RCI Report:**

- Client Status: Both
- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame
- <u>Start Date</u>: The start date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- <u>End Date</u>: The end date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- Instrument: CANS/ANSA, as appropriate
- <u>Assessment Domains (CANS/ANSA)</u>: Life Domain Functioning, Child/Youth Strengths, Acculturation, Child/Youth Behavioral/Emotional Needs, Child/Youth Risk Behaviors (and any modules as appropriate, e.g., Ages 0-5/Early Development Module for SART/EIIS programs). Note: for the RCI



custom, this is where you can choose a collection of items (e.g., All Core Needs) instead of the entire domain (e.g., Behavioral/Emotional Needs).

- From Assessment Type: Initial
  - <u>May</u> change to 1<sup>st</sup> Update if believe the initial assessment under reported difficulties
- <u>To Assessment Type</u>: Planned Discharge
  - <u>May include Unplanned Discharge to include all clients</u>
  - May change to only "Last Update" to identify clients with increased needs
- <u>Assessment Distance</u>: Unchecked
- Minimum Duration: Unchecked
- <u>Assessment Status(es)</u>: Submitted, Approved
- <u>Rpt Unit/Tag Filter</u>: The RU(s) needed to produce the report
- <u>Aggregate RU</u>: Check if you want to group all RUs together
- <u>Tags</u>: Unchecked
- <u>Gender</u>: BOTH
- <u>Age Range(s)</u>: All



#### Reliable Change Index Report Example

## Percentage of clients who improved/declined by San Bernardino CANS domain based on RCI

Data Source: DWH	Period:	7/1/2016to6/30/2018
Reasons: InitialtoPlanned Discharge	RU Tag(s): Reporting Unit	
Assint. Status. Submitted, Approved		



#### Reporting Unit Life Domain Functioning Strengths Domain Improved Cultural Factors Same Declined Behavioral/Emotional Needs **Risk Behaviors** 20% 80% 100% 0% 40% 60% Improved Same Declined Life Domain Functioning 507 (79.7%) 102 (16.0%) 27 (4.2%) Strengths Domain 477 (75.0%) 139 (21.9%) 20 (3.1%) Cultural Factors <u>17 (2.7%)</u> 612 (96.2%) <u>7 (1.1%)</u> Behavioral/Emotional Needs 485 (76.3%) <u>119 (18.7%)</u> 32 (5.0%) **Risk Behaviors** 225 (35.4%) 390 (61.3%) 21 (3.3%)

NOTE: The RCI does not work well with domains that have a low percentage of actionable items (e.g., "2" or "3" for Needs) since most clients will appear to "stay the Same". This does not represent lack of improvement, it represents a low percentage of need for this domain. Use the Outcome Snapshot Combined report to see if a domain has sufficient percentage of actionable items.

#### Reporting U



## TCOM MULTI-LEVEL COLLABORATIVE FORMULATION

The TCOM Multi-Level Collaborative Formulation report is run for either Strengths or Needs and provides Time1 to Time 2 comparison for the ten most frequent actionable items. It graphically shows the percentage of clients who started services with an actionable item compared to the percentage with an actionable item at discharge. When running for Needs it includes the six most common Behavioral/Emotional needs and the four most common Life Functioning needs.

#### **Questions Answered by TCOM Multi-Level Collaborative:**

- What are the most prevalent needs being addressed by this clinical unit?
- Is there reduction of needs for these items after completing the program?
- What are ten most prevalent Strengths upon intake that need to be developed?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to TCOM Multi-Level Collaborative:

 This is an aggregate outcomes report which provides information on the most prevalent Needs and Strengths it may be used to celebrate successes of the clinical unit, report out on these successes, and/or identify areas to focus on through supervision or in-services.

#### Common Parameters for the Algorithm Client Scores by OU Report:

- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame
- <u>Start Date</u>: The start date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- <u>End Date</u>: The end date for the time period of the report, if date range not selected.
- Allow Runtime Override: Unchecked
- Type: Select Needs or Strengths
- From Assessment Type: Initial
- Discharge Type: Planned Discharge (may use Unplanned to see differences)
- Rpt Unit/Tag Filter: The RU(s) needed to produce the report
- Assessment Status(es): Submitted, Approved



#### TCOM Multi-Level Collaborative Example

#### Multi-Level Collaborative Formulation (Strengths)

Data Source: DWH Period: 7/1/2016 to 6/30/2018 Instrument: San Bernardino CANS

Discharge

Assessment Reasons: Initial to Planned

RU(s): LSS - SF/EW (36C4EW) SCCS - SF/EW-Rancho (36FPEW) SCCS - SF/EW-RED (36FQEW) VCSSVV - SF/EW (36CAEW) MHS - SF/EW (36DUEW) VCSSSB - SF/EW (36CNEW) LSS - SF/EW-YV (36GMEW) Uplift Fam Svc - SF/EW (36EHEW) LSS - SF/EW-Barstow (36GLEW) MCT - SF/EW (36HZEW)



Domain Color Key: Strengths Domain



## TCOM IMPACT REPORT

The Impact Report is the most complicated outcomes report utilized in Objective Arts, but it provides a lot of information on the needs and strengths of clients served and how effectively they are helped. The Impact Report provides information on four (4) distinct groups of clients.

- Group 0: Clients with an Initial CANS/ANSA completed during the established time frame (e.g., Last Fiscal Year)
- Group 1: Clients with a Discharge CANS/ANSA (planned and/or unplanned) completed during the established time frame.
- Group 2: Clients with an Initial CANS/ANSA where an item was scored as either 0 or 1 AND there was an Update or Discharge CANS/ANSA completed during the established time frame.
- Group 3: Clients with an Initial CANS/ANSA where an item was scored a 2 AND there was an Update or Discharge CANS/ANSA completed during the established time frame.

#### Questions Answered by the Impact Report:

- <u>Group 0</u>:
  - Presenting Column: For the clients who entered care during the established time frame, what percentage of clients needed help (i.e., had an actionable score of 2 or 3) for a specific item at intake?
- <u>Group 1</u>:
  - Presenting Column: For the clients who were discharged from care during the established time frame, what percentage of clients needed help (i.e., had an actionable score of 2 or 3) for a specific item at intake?
    - NOTE: If the Impact Report is run to compare Initials to Planned Discharges, then comparing the Presenting Columns between Group 0 and Group 1 may identify a specific Need that results in a higher percentage of Unplanned Discharges.
  - Resolved Column: Of those clients with an actionable need (i.e., 2 or 3) at intake, what percentage resolved this need (i.e., now scored 0 or 1) at the time of discharge?
  - Improved Column: Of those clients with an actionable need (i.e., 2 or 3) at intake, what percentage improved this need (i.e., now scored 0 or 1 and/or moved from 3 to 2) at the time of discharge?
  - Transition Column: What percentage of Group 1 clients transitioned out of care with an actionable score on an item?
  - Net Gain: If we compare the percentage of clients who started treatment with a specific need to the percentage with this need upon transition, did we have a positive impact on the group?
- <u>Group 2</u>:
  - o Identified Column:



- Are clinical staff identifying additional needs after the initial assessment?
- If yes, is there a specific need with a high rate of being identified after the initial assessment? Is there additional training which could be provided to staff on this item/construct to improve initial assessments?
- Group 3: If no, is there additional training for staff to be aware of client changes and to reassess during the course of care?
  - Worse Column:
    - Is there evidence that staff are sensitive to a client experiencing an increased need during the course of care?
    - Is there any item/construct for which an increased need is identified frequently enough to warrant additional trainings on helping that need/construct?

#### Staff Actions to take in response to the Impact Report:

• The Impact Report provides direct information that can be include in a program evaluation [e.g., X% of youth successfully completing the program needed help with (insert name of item here) and Y% of them improved on this need].

Be cautious with small number of clients as this typically inflates percentages.

#### Common Parameters for the Impact Report:

- <u>Sliding Date Range</u>: Specified Date Range, make appropriate selection for required time frame
- <u>Start Date</u>: The start date for the time period of the report, if necessary
- End Date: The end date for the time period of the report, if necessary
- Instruments: CANS/ANSA
- <u>Assessment Items (CANS/ANSA)</u>: Life Domain Functioning, Child/Youth Strengths, Acculturation, Child/Youth Behavioral/Emotional Needs, Child/Youth Risk Behaviors, and Ages 0-5/Early Development Module for SART/EIIS Programs
- From Assessment Type(s): Initial
- <u>To Assessment Type(s)</u>: Planned Discharge or user discretion
- Assessment Distance: Unchecked
- <u>Minimum Duration</u>: Unchecked
- Rpt Unit/Tag Filter: The RU(s) needed to product the report
- Aggregate RU: Check to group RUs together
- <u>Tags</u>: Unchecked
- Assessment Status(es): Submitted, Approved
- <u>Age Ranges</u>: All or user discretion
- <u>Gender: Unchecked or user discretion</u>



#### Impact Report Example

#### **TCOM Impact Report**

RU Tag(s): Reporting Unit

Period: 7/1/2016 to 6/30/2018

Discharge Reasons: Planned Discharge

Assessment Statuses: Submitted, Approved

Data Source: DWH

Instrument: San Bernardino CANS

Items: Psychosis (Thought Disorder), Impulsivity/Hyperactivity, Depression, Anxiety, Mania, Oppositional, Conduct, Adjustment To Trauma, Attachment Difficulties, Anger Control, Eating Disturbances, Emotional and/or Physical Dysregulation, Behavioral Regressions, Somatization, Substance Use

		Group 0: Clients with an Initial Assessment	Grou	up 1: Clients wit	h Initial and Dis	charge Assessr	nents	Group 2: All Clients with a 0 or 1 at Initial	Group 3: All Clients with a 2 at Initial
	Total	Presenting	Presenting	Resolved	Improved	Transition	Net Gain	Identified	Worse
	Clients with Initial	% 2 or 3 at Initial/ Total Initials	% 2 or 3 at Initial/ Total Discharged	Of Presenting, % Discharged with 0, 1	Of Presenting, % Discharged with Lower Score	Of all Initial, % Discharged with 2, 3	(%Pres%Trans.) / %Pres.	Of 0, 1 at Initial, % 2, 3 at Update/ Discharge	Of 2 at Initial, % 3 at Update/ Discharge
Reporting Unit									
Behavioral/Emotional Needs									
Psychosis (Thought Disorder)	1128	8% (93/1128)	<u>7% (42/626)</u>	<u>67% (28/42)</u>	<u>83% (35/42)</u>	<u>3% (17/626)</u>	59%	<u>1% (10/938)</u>	<u>2% (1/47)</u>
Impulsivity/Hyperactivity	1133	44% (501/1133)	<u>49%</u> (306/629)	42% (130/306)	<u>65%</u> (199/306)	<u>31%</u> (194/629)	36%	<u>8% (43/556)</u>	<u>10% (27/274)</u>
Depression	1138	59% (673/1138)	<u>59%</u> (368/628)	<u>59%</u> (217/368)	<u>79%</u> (290/368)	<u>25%</u> (157/628)	57%	<u>7% (30/412)</u>	<u>3% (11/316)</u>
Anxiety	1135	50% (568/1135)	<u>50%</u> (315/631)	<u>56%</u> (177/315)	<u>71%</u> (225/315)	<u>24%</u> (152/631)	51%	<u>9% (46/512)</u>	<u>5% (18/341)</u>
Mania	93	8% (7/93)	0% (0/1)	N/A	N/A	0% (0/1)	N/A	0% (0/10)	0% (0/1)
Oppositional	1136	55% (623/1136)	<u>56%</u> (352/630)	<u>56%</u> (196/352)	<u>75%</u> (263/352)	<u>27%</u> (168/630)	52%	<u>10% (45/462)</u>	<u>11% (35/314)</u>
Conduct	1129	18% (208/1129)	<u>19%</u> (121/625)	<u>61% (74/121)</u>	<u>75% (91/121)</u>	<u>8% (51/625)</u>	57%	<u>2% (17/834)</u>	<u>3% (3/109)</u>
Adjustment To Trauma	1130	40% (456/1130)	<u>38%</u> (239/624)	<u>44%</u> (104/239)	<u>64%</u> (152/239)	<u>24%</u> (148/624)	38%	<u>5% (29/604)</u>	<u>4% (10/237)</u>
Attachment Difficulties	448	23% (103/448)	<u>23% (45/199)</u>	<u>36% (16/45)</u>	<u>40% (18/45)</u>	<u>17% (33/199)</u>	26%	<u>2% (6/257)</u>	<u>6% (4/64)</u>



## **ADDITIONAL REPORTS**

## **TREATMENT PROGRESS REPORT (TPR)**

For the Treatment Progress Report, you must first click on the Forms tab located below the assessment timeline. From this tab you can view previously generated Treatment Progress Reports for this client. To generate a new Treatment Progress report, click on the New Form button and select "Treatment Progress Report."





## NARRATIVE REPORT

For the Narrative report, you do not have to select any assessments from the client's Assessment List. When this report is selected, a pop-up box will appear showing submitted and approved assessments organized by episode. From this pop-up box, you can select up to three assessments to compare.

Note: Within the report you can choose to include or exclude items from the Strengths Domain and any notes attached to the items. You can also select items that you do not want to appear on the printed report by clicking on the item once. When the item is clicked once, it will appear as "greyed out". Greyed out items will not be included in the printed version of the report. If you grey out the wrong item(s), just click the item(s) a second time to return to the black font color, and the item(s) will be included in the printed report.

The Narrative Report was created to provide an efficient way to share CANS information with others. It is especially suited for review at Child and Family Team Meetings. These are some specific reasons to use the Narrative Report:

- Share within Child & Family Team Meeting to ensure good communication
- Share with Child & Family as the output of clinical assessment
- Share with Child & Family to show progress made on specific concerns
- Provide a copy to CFS Social Worker to ensure clear communication

Specific items may be hidden at the clinician's discretion (e.g., substance abuse, sexuality).

Reports that contain PHI are often shared in Child & Family Team Meetings, and technically, anyone with whom you can share a copy may keep a copy. However, pragmatically, please use good clinical judgment regarding who keeps a copy of the Narrative Report.

CANS Based Narrative Summ	nary for: Last429, First429					
Client ID: Id4 Client Name: La: Org Unit: INF	29 st429, First429 FO (38DK1)	Date of Birth: Client Gender:	September 28 FEMALE	3, 2001		
	Child and	d Adolescent Needs and Str San Bernardino Count Narrative Report	engths (CANS) - y			
	Life Domain Functioning	Behavioral/Emotional N	eeds	Risk Behaviors		
High Need: Act Immediately and/or Intensively						
Help is Needed: Address in Ser	vices - Family Functioning - Living Situation - Social Functioning - Recreational - Legal - Decision Making	Impulsivity/Hyperactivity     Oppositional     Anger Control     Emotional and/or Physie     Substance Use	al Dysregulation			
Previous Need, Now Improved	School Behavior	Depression		Delinguent Behavior		
Strengths Included   Notes Not Inc Intensive or Immediate I No items met criteria for in	luded   Print (Click Items to Hide) Need(s): nclusion to this section.			2015-04-25 inttal	2015-08-16 Lipolate	2015-11-15 Update
Need(s) that should be a	addressed:			2015-04-25 Inte/	2015-05-15 Update	2010-11-16 Usciete
Family Functioning: The youth manifested in frequent argumen	has moderate problems or conflicts v ts, the lack of positive relationships, o	with other family members. Th Ir other types of conflict.	s may be	2	1	2
Living Situation: The youth has there is not an immediate risk fo the youth causes significant pro	s moderate to severe problems with fu r being removed from the living situat blems for others.	unctioning in the current living ion, these difficulties need to t	situation. While e addressed as	2	1	2
Social Functioning: The youth relationships. This will correspond is manifested, it is perceived as	has moderate problems developing a nd to a variety of difficulties, dependin a concern that needs to be addressed	and maintaining age appropria g upon the youth's age. Rega d.	e social dless of how this	1	1	2
Recreational: There is concern due to a limited interest and/or a intervention. Steps should be tai	regarding the youth's use of leisure ti access to recreational activities, both of ken to increase the youth's participation	ime (e.g., recreational activitie of which may be overcome wit on in leisure activities.	s). This may be h adult	2	1	2
Legal: The youth is involved wit are no current or pending legal in managing these difficulties is	h the juvenile justice system due to de difficulties that may result in detainme needed.	elinquent and/or criminal beha nt or court ordered placement	vior. While there continued help	2	2	2
Decision Making: The youth's of problematic and are harmful to f Action or intervention is still requ	decision-making processes and aware urther development, but do not place uired.	eness of consequences are pe the youth at risk of significant	rceived to be physical harm.	2	2	2

Choose RU Episode/Program Episode/Program Placement Start Date Assessm PC - TBS-closed 2012-12-20 314564.PC - TRS-00 CANS 954679-PC - TBS-closed CANS 2012-12-20 □ INFO 2018-02-23 1024766-INFO CANS 2018-11-15 < 997736-INFO CANS 2018-08-15 292103-INFO CANS CCICMS 2012-04-10 331533-CCICM CANS 331438-CCICMS CANS 2013-04-30 331433-CCICMS CANS 2013-01-30 CANS 951534-CCICMS 2012-10-30 FSA - SATS-SB - closed 2013-01-08 PC - TBS-closed 2012-04-30 954678-PC - TBS-closed 2012-09-18 CANS Mesa Counseling Services 2016-05-03



## **PRINTING A SCORE SHEET**

- 1. From the Clients List on the Menu Bar, select the client.
  - Remember you can sort and/or filter the client results to help you find a specific client.
- 2. Once you find the client you are looking for, click on the row where the client's information appears.
  - After selecting a client you will navigate to that client's Assessments screen. If the client has
    assessments entered into OA for him/her, there will be a list of those assessments. When a
    client is open to your program RU, you are allowed to see all of the client's assessments, even
    those created in programs and agencies that you are not assigned to. This screen will show all
    of these assessments. If there are no assessments in the system for the client, the screen will
    be blank and state "No data found!" (see below).

Assessments	Incidents			
Assessment	s List for Barrows, Aliya		Start typing	a last name <b>T</b> Show Filter
ID \$	Instrument \downarrow 🕇	Client Name ↓↑	Date $l_{\pi}^{z}$	Status ↓↑
		No data found!		

- The list of assessments, if any, can be sorted and/or filtered.
- 3. Once the correct assessment is located, click the row where the assessment information appears.
  - The assessment will open in the following format:

Client ID: Client Name:	Id84918 Last84918, First84918	Date of Birth: Client Gender:	November 2, 2004 FEMALE	
Assessment ID: Assessor: Entered by:	1014900 Upton, Marisa Upton, Marisa	Assessment Date: Assessment Status:	October 16, 2018 Approved by King, Raphael on 12/10/2018	
Rpt Unit: Tags:	VCSSSB - SATS - D St (36FSE) English (LANA), ETHA (ETHA), W	White (White), Hispanic Origin (U) (H	OU), San Bernardino (EC36)	
<ul> <li>Assessment Information</li> </ul>	nation			C
Reason for Assessm	ient:		Planned Discharge	
If reason for assessm	nent is Major Life Event, Describe:			
Caregiver Section	(5)			G
1. Caregiver section	s) does not apply at this time			
✓ Life Domain Funct	ioning			-
				6
2. Family Functioning	7		2	C
2. Family Functioning 3. Living Situation	2		2	C
2. Family Functioning 3. Living Situation 4. Social Functioning	2		2 2 2	Ľ
2. Family Functioning 3. Living Situation 4. Social Functioning 5. Recreational	9		2 2 2 1	Ľ
2. Family Functioning 3. Living Situation 4. Social Functioning 5. Recreational 6. Developmental/Int	9 I ellectual		2 2 2 1 0	Ľ
2. Family Functioning     3. Living Situation     4. Social Functioning     5. Recreational     6. Developmental/Int     7. Job Functioning	9 I ellectual		2 2 2 1 0 NA	Ľ
2. Family Functioning     3. Living Situation     4. Social Functioning     5. Recreational     6. Developmental/Int     7. Job Functioning     8. Legal	g ellectual		2 2 1 0 NA 0	
2. Family Functioning     3. Living Situation     4. Social Functioning     5. Recreational     6. Developmental/Int     7. Job Functioning     8. Legal     9. Decision Making	g ellectual		2 2 1 0 NA 0 1	

4. Click the Print button on the bottom row and choose Print CANS SB.